

Revenue (1898) Department.

MISCELLANEOUS SERIES.

G.O., No. 2327

DATED 1st June

[Despatch Abstract.]

Recording B. P. informing
the collector of Mysore that the "Cawli" concessions
should be held inapplicable to the villages in
the Nizam's territory.

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

Revenue (1898) Department.

CURRENT No. 3172

\$1,6198

Order no. 2327 of 1st June 1898.
Recorded 26/5/98

From the Board of Revenue
Revenue Settlement, Land
Records and Agriculture
Marras

No. 2816 Miscellaneous

Dated 26 April 1898

Received 29

Registered 30

Enclosures

Spare copies

SUBJECT.

Informing the Collector
of Kurnool that the Board
is of opinion that the "Coul"
Concession referred to by the
Canal Deputy Collector
should be held inapplicable
to the villages in the Nizam's
Territory.

To

The Board of Rev. Sett - 24/5/98
3.6.98

18.5.98


BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.
General Record papers. 2.16/5/98			

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

(Set. 12-2,000-15-2-09.)



Proceedings of the Board of Revenue
(Revenue Settlement, Land Records and Agriculture),

Dated 26th April 1898.
No. 2816 Miscellaneous.

READ

the following:-

Canal by Collector's office
Camp Bandiatmakur
Kurnool dated 31st March 1898

From. Mr. R. Ry. K. Subheraya du Pantulu
Jaru, Raw Bahadur
Canal Deputy Collector.

To

The Collector of Kurnool.

Sir,

I have the honor to request
the favour of your instructions
as to whether the "cowle" rules
referred to in para 2 of the rules
prescribed for the levying of water
- rate

rate on lands irrigated by the Kurnool-Bussapah Canal are applicable in the case of lands appertaining to the 3 villages of His Highness the Nizam and commanded by the Canal.

2. There is a "Cowle" application from a ryot of Balampad, one of the 3 villages, and the Tahsildar of Mandikotkur thinks that the application cannot be complied with because the village is one belonging to His Highness the Nizam.

3. I see, however, no reason why the "Cowle" concession should not be granted in the case of any canal-irrigable land in as much as it is a concession intended to assist ryots to meet the expense of conversion of dry lands into paddy fields, and means
only

only a certain amount in the prescribed water-rate in the first ten years; and it is nowhere stated in the rule that the concession should be confined to lands in Government villages alone.
4. If the concession is held as inapplicable to lands situated in villages appertaining to His Highness the Nizam, it would be questionable whether it would apply to lands situated in Sherabism villages also.

I have the honor to be
Sir
Your most obedient servant
(Signed) K. Subbarayudu Pantulu
Canal Deputy Collector
3-4-98

Ans. 956 of 98
Gnd

Copy submitted to the Board of Revenue, Revenue Settlement, Land Records and Agriculture, for favour of early orders. I concur with the special Deputy Collector

Kurnool ? (SD) C. Krishnaswami
7 April 1898
For Ag. Collector.
Resolution

Resolution

The Board is not aware whether village maps are available for the three villages in question, probably not? If there are no village maps, it is not clear how the Deputy Collector would propose to secure the identification of lands which are granted the concession of paying half-rates for the first five years, and three-quarter rates for the second five years.

2. It also appears questionable whether it is expedient to promise a supply of water for 10 years to fields scattered in three villages over which Government has no direct control. It seems necessary to retain the right of cutting off the supply of water from the villages in the quite possible event

event of our being unable to recover the water-rate from defaulters, through the Nizam's Revenue officials.

3. It is further desirable that the arrangements for supplying water should be such as to necessitate the least possible interference with the villages in the way of periodical inspections and enquiries, which the English officials can only make on sufferance.

4. For the above reasons, the Board is of opinion that the concession referred to should be held inapplicable to the villages in the Nizam's territory.

(True Copy & Extract)

R. B. Srinivasa
Secretary

To The Collector of Kurnool
Copy to The Secretary to Government,
Revenue Department

Copy to the Collector of Kurnool.

Edh
27/4/66

Read B. P. No. 2846 Sec of 26. 4. 98.

(Case 3192).

Note.

The B. P. is submitted for the information of Govt; and the facts of the case are briefly as under:-

2. In order to assist ryots to meet the expense of conversion of dry lands into paddy lands, part of the "Rules for the levy of water-rate on lands irrigated by the Kurnool Cuddapah Canal," ~~permits~~ ^{permits} a reduction of 50 p.c. for the first five years and 25 p.c. for the second five years in the prescribed water-rate charges, the conversion being restricted to land ~~which has not been~~ well cultivated within the ~~last~~ ^{preceding} ten years. In a letter of 31.3.98, the Special Dy. Colly, Kurnool, Cuddapah Canal, stated that there was a "Covle" application from a ryot of Relampad, one of the three villages in the Nigani's territory Commanded by the Canal, and enquired whether the above rule ~~was~~ could be applied to

printed as an appendix to
B. S. O. No. 4.

Read in the Court

22/5/98
127/57

to the lands in these three villages.
The Subildar of Nandikotkur
thought that it ^{could} ~~be~~ ~~disputed~~ not;
but the Dy Collr, being of opinion
that there was no reason why,
in the absence of any express prohi-
bition, which did not exist in the
case, the concession should not
be granted in the case of any
canal irrigable land, and that
if it was inapplicable to lands in
the Hyderabad territory, it would
be questionable whether it would
apply to lands in Christian villages,
submitted instructions ^{of the Collr of Kurnool} in the matter.
The Collr of Kurnool agreed with
the Dy Collr and accordingly sub-
mitted the Dy Collr's letter to the
Board of Rev for orders.

3. The Board of Revenue
has ruled that the concession should
be held inapplicable to the villages
in the Nizam's territory, on the follow-
ing grounds:-

(i) that the villages, presumably,
have no village maps and that
it will therefore be difficult to secure
the identification of the lands which
are granted the concession;

(ii)

(ii) that it is questionable
whether it is expedient to ~~any~~ pro-
mise a supply of water for ten years
to fields scattered in three villages
over which Govt has no direct
control and that it seems necessary
to retain the right of ^{to cut} ~~cutting~~ off
the supply of water from the villages
in the event of there being found any
difficulty in recovering the water-
rate from the defaulters, through the
Nizam's ~~Govt~~ Revenue officials,
and (iii) that further, ^{it is} desirable
that the arrangements for supply-
ing water should be such as
to necessitate the least possible
interference with the villages in
the way of periodical inspection
and enquiries, which the British
officials can only make on
sufficiency.

4. Ever since the three
villages came under the ^{influence of me} ~~Canal~~,
~~the water was not given~~
and irrigation began
~~for irrigating the lands therein, and the~~
water-rate ^{is being} collected by the ~~rebell~~
in the adjoining British villages.
But ~~some~~ difficulty was felt
^{some time ago}
in collecting the money from

Vide para 20(c) of the Dy Collr's
report, printed at page 128
CR. 432
8.7.95 T.

Hyderabad
 defaulters and the Govt of Hyderabad
 was addressed as to the best
 means of safe-guarding British
 revenue. It seems therefore un-
 desirable to extend the scope of
 interference ^{in respect of} ~~in~~ these lands in these
 villages and the Board's orders may
 therefore be allowed to stand.

Vide correspondence
 ending with GO. 641
 4.2.97

[Signature]

A draft order recording
 the paper is put up on the docket
 of the current.

rd
 20-5-98

[Signature]

The Board
 instructions seem sound

[Signature]
 Yes
 Please see draft
[Signature]
 23/5/8

MS
 18-5-98

When given for copying _____
 When copied _____
 When examined _____
 When signed _____

189

Read again G.O. No. _____
 189

DATED

CURRENT NO.

ENCLOSURES TO G. No.

ORDER OF GOVERNMENT A A A A A A A

LETTER OF GOVERNMENT

STATEMENT WITH DITTO

PAPERS NOT FILED UP, BEING MEANT FOR DESPATCH
 IN ORIGINAL.

N.B.—
 A = Copy in full.
 B = Not to be copied.
 P = Copy abstract only.
 Q = Copy heading only.