

Revenue (1898) Department.

MISCELLANEOUS SERIES.

G.O., No. 2244

DATED 23rd May

[Despatch Abstract.]

Stating that the Govt sees no reason to interfere in the matter of the petition from P. Ramasami Reddi and 2 others, Proprietors of Pulicherla and Mangalamattu Payakats, N. Dist. DC, praying for a reduction of Peishkash payable by them on the ground that certain lands forming part of the Payakats were taken up for famine roads in 1877-78.

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

Revenue ^{Mr. A. P. for note 27/6/98} (1898) Department.

CURRENT No. 3329



From The Board of Revenue
Land Revenue, Madras.

Order

No. 1107 Routine

Dated 2nd May 1898

Received 5

Registered 6

Enclosures 1

Spare copies

SUBJECT.


Ordering that the letter from the Collector of North Arcot read in Board's Proceedings No. 3847 bis, dated 13-10-97 may be forwarded to Government.

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.



Proceedings of the Board of Revenue
(Land Revenue).

No. 1107 Routine, dated 2nd May 1898

Read Government Order, Revenue
Department, dated 21st April 1898,
No. 1697

Resolution

Ordered accordingly.

/ True Extract /

James Davidson
ag. Secretary.

To The Secretary to Govt.
Revenue Department
with one sub. to be ret'd.

C/S
[Signature]

Read against the petition from V. Ramaswami
Riddi and two others dated Feb. 1898
(in C.O. 1697 of 21.4.98).
Read also B.P. ^{1107 R}_{2.5.98} (Cus 3329).

Note.

The petitioners who are auction
purchasers and proprietors of the
Pulich la and Mangalampett
payaka in the Chendragiri taluk
of the N. Arcot Dt. state that certain
lands, which formed part of the
estates, were taken up for famine
roads during 1876-77 and pray
that the assessment on these
lands amounting to Rs 49-13-1
may be excluded from the peshkars
due from the estates. The Collector
and the Board of Revenue having
declined to admit the claim,
they now appeal to Govt.

2. From the collector's
report to the Board of Rev, of 22.9.97,
on the case, it appears that the
lands were taken up for the roads
prior to the purchase of the estates
by the petitioners and that ~~there~~
a declaration of assessment in
regard to the estate has also

Enc. to Cus 3329/98 U

C. 19/5/98

been made by the Collector under
Act I of 1876 (Madras), ^{if therefore} ~~and~~ ^{therefore}
the petitioners were dissatisfied
with the Collector's declaration of ^{assessment}
on the ground that it did not ex-
clude the assessment on the
lands taken up by the roads, they
should have appealed to the Board
of this within 90 days. This they
do not appear to have done.

3. The Condition of the
lands at the time when they
were taken up for the roads
and whether the consent of the then
proprietors was obtained are not known,
but the petitioners have not shown
that the lands would have been
occupied and borne revenue had
they not been taken up for the roads.
They do not seem to have any
real grievance and the amended
draft order is accordingly submitted
for approval.

MS
17-5-98

MS
18/5/98
19/5/98

Copy put up. V

x vide Section 7 of Act I of 1876 (M)

23/5

Read petition from V. Ramasami
Reddi & two others d/ Feb. 1898.
Read also B.P. 1107 R. (Cus 3329)
2.5.98

Order Mis No. 2244 d/ 23rd May 98.

The Govt sees no
reason to interfere.

MS
21/5/98

MS
18/5/98

18/5/98

Ends to the Petitioners with 4 encls.
Copy to the Board of Rev. L. R.
with encls. returned.

MS
17.5.98

MS
14.5.98.