0/9. 3,000—5-9-98.

Revenue (1898) Department.

MISCELLANEOUS SERIES.

G.O., No. 2211

DATED 21st May

[Despatch Abstract.]

Considering that Mr Le Fanu should be paid the actual expenses incurred by him for his journeys on the P.W.D. Steamer Victoria between the 23rd & 27 September 1897 & addressing the Govt of Jamaica

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

0/18 4,000—19-7-97.

Revenue (1898) Department.

CURRENT No. 2312

From The Accountant-General

Order No. 1143

Dated 21st March 1898

Received 22nd ...

Registered 23rd

Enclosures 2

Spare copies. -

SUBJECT.

Inspection of relief operations.

Stating that, as the case of Mr. de Fanu is similar to that of the Hon'ble Mr. Crole the charges of Rs 360½ for the steamer 'Victoria' in which Mr. de Fanu travelled for the ~~is~~ are inadmissible.

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

0
18 4,000—19-7-97.

Revenue (1898) Department.

CURRENT No. 1660

ACCOUNTANT GENERAL'S OFFICE
12 MAR 98
MADRAS

REVENUE DEPARTMENT
98 MAR 23

From The Board of Revenue,
Revenue Settlement, &c.

Order Endorsement No. 124 R.

Referred to the Accountant-General for early remarks.

For Ag. Secy. to Govt.

Fort St. George,
8th March 1898.

No. 1315 Misc.

Dated 24th February 1898.

Received ~~28th~~ 2nd March, 98

Registered ~~28th~~ ,, ,,

Enclosures 2.

Spare copies.

Q. 1143

Fort St. George
Accountant General's Office
21st March 1898.

The case of Mr W. J. H. Le Fanu is similar to that of the Honourable Mr C. J. Crole referred to in my letter to Government No 3723 dy 26th November 1897 and the charges Rs 360-8-0 for the steamer Victoria in which Mr Le Fanu travelled from 22 to 27th September 1897 are inadmissible for the reasons given therein. Mr Le Fanu has drawn daily allowance at Rs 10 for the above period.

Accountant General

SUBJECT.

Requesting, in the circumstances stated, the sanction of Government for the cost of the journey by steamer to Bhadrachalam made by W.J.H. Le Fanu Esquire, Famine Commissioner in September 1897 for the inspection of relief operations there, being met by the State.

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

now stitched with Cno. 2312 of 98

I Endt Rev: No 524 R dy 8.3.98

II Letter from the Acct Genl. No 1143 dy 21.3.98 (Cno 2312 of 98)

Noli

With its Procs No 1315 misc dy 22nd Feby 1898, the Board of Rev: submitted for the sanction of Govt a bill from the Executive Engineer, Godavari Eastern division for Rs 360-8-0 on account of the charge for the steamer Victoria in which our de Fann, the Famine Commissioner travelled in Sept last from Dowlaiswaram to Bhadrachallam and back. The Board stated that after a personal interview with H.E. the Governor our de Fann proceeded to Bhadrachallam to inspect the relief operations there and that "he was given to understand that a steamer "would be placed at his disposal by the "Public Works Dept, the charges beingmet "by the State." It was added that as the mile age permissible would not cover even half the charges our de Fann would not have visited Bhadrachallam had he not understood that Govt would supply the steamer free of cost, that

C 3/5/98.

Rec'd 5.5.98

that this point was explained by him to H.E. and that the order for the steamer would appear to have been sent direct to the Chief Engineer direct by Govt. From the T.O. and telegram the telegram stitched with G.O. R no 624 dy 9th mar 1898, it will be seen that the steamer was placed at Mr Le Fanu's disposal by the P.W.D. under instructions from H.E. the Governor, though no express mention is made therein as to who should bear the charges

2. The Acct General to whom the B.P. was referred for remarks now reports that the case of Mr Le Fanu is exactly similar to that of Mr Coyle dealt with in his letter under G.O. misc no 199 Rev. dy 9th mar 1898 and that the charges involved are for the reasons already adduced by him inadmissible. These reasons are (1) that the ordinary rules for travelling allowance "are not intended to cover the expense of every journey but the general expenditure incurred on the whole in travelling on Govt account" and (2) that the concession of drawing actual expenses granted to the Special Asst Agent, Godavari, on the ground of his having to travel frequently in the P.W. Steamer cannot be extended to cases like the present without the special sanction of the Govt of India. The Acct General adds that during the period of his journey Mr Le Fanu had actually been drawing a daily allowance of Rs 10, though not mileage.

3. Between Mr Coyle's case and the one now under report there seems to be a slight difference, for while Mr Coyle utilized the Steamer without ascertaining whether the Govt would bear the expense, Mr Le Fanu appears to have obtained an assurance that the charges would be met by the Stati. As however the Accountant General would not admit the charges without the special sanction of the Govt

Obtained an assurance from me- BR

of

of India, a draft G.O. and letter are submitted for approval. The letter has to issue in the Fin. Dept and may if it is submitted be sent to that Dept. for comm. initials.

BMM 29-10-98 30

But in Mr Wales case the reimbursement of the daily t.a. was made a condition of the payt of actual expenses. Why is that not proposed in this case

S.K 3.5.98

Note

It is submitted that para 3 of the draft letter proposed was intended by this office to exclude the possibility of Mr Le Fanu's receiving anything but the actual expenses during the period of his journeys on the steamer. It was thought that if the request thereon made was sanctioned by the Govt of India, Mr Le Fanu would as a matter of course, to refund the daily allowance already drawn by him. To make the point clearer it will perhaps be sufficient better to add, at the end of the draft letter, the following clause

"in lieu of the daily allowance already drawn by him"

BMM 3.V.98

No addition is necessary

S.K

Fin.

Application may be made to Govt of I. as in Wales case.

Please see drafts

S.K 7/5/98

Does Fin Dept. accept draft

J.H 12/5/98

Fin Dept

Read in Fin. 12/5/98

Ad Mr Le Fanu has replied to Fin Sec's letter that Fin Dept abstract of T.A. current

Ad 12/5/98

C. 17.5.98
Rb. 18.5.98
Financial Dept

Submitted for approval
Cmb 14.5.98

As Mr Crole was allowed the concession after ref. to India, Mr Le Fanu is equally if not more entitled to it. In Mr C's case as I noted before I think the principle is wrong.

H.A 16.5.98

Hon has not indicated his wish
Cmb

To.
Rwsma
u.o.
A.A 20.5.98

Cmb
18.5.98

H.E. the Governor

Mr Le Fanu is entitled to the same concession as Mr Crole was allowed.

21/5/98

7/5/98
A.A 10.5.98

To the Fin. Dept (A & O)
with bill and draft letter

Board of Rev. Rbt
(Q. A-G. encl A & O)
Acct General (A&O)

With reference to the correspondence ending with your letter No 2015 S. R. of the 15th

A.A

Read again Encl. No 624 R of 8th Mar. 1898
" also letter from the Acct General No 1143 of 21st Mar. 1898 (Chr. 2312 of 98)

Order Miscl No. 2211 of 21st May 98.

In the circumstances reported by the Board of Revenue the Govt considers that, as in Mr Crole's case, Mr Le Fanu should be paid the actual expenses incurred by him for his journeys on the Public Works Dept Steamer Victoria between the 23rd and 27th Sept. 1897. The Govt of India will accordingly be addressed in the Financial Dept.

Issued 26/5/98

3/5

To
The Secy to the Govt of India
Fin. & Com. Dept

Sir,

With reference to the correspondence ending with your letter No 2018 S.R. of the 8th May 1898, I am directed to submit

submit for the favourable consideration of the Govt of India the following case of Mr W. N. Le Fanu, ~~the late~~ Lately Member of the Board of Rev. & Famine Commissioner, Madras, which is almost similar to that dealt with in the letter above referred to.

2. In Sept last, Mr Le Fanu ~~was~~ under visitation from the Forest ~~Dte. the Collr.~~ to proceed to Bhadrachallam to inspect the relief operations there and, as the place could not be reached by land in less than a month, he ~~was allowed~~ travelled on the P.W.D Steamer Victoria from Dowleishwaram and back to Bhadrachallam and back. The journeys were undertaken between the 23rd and the 27th Sept and the Steamer charges amounted to Rs 360-8-0. During the period of these journeys Mr Le Fanu ~~recvd~~ drew his daily allowance of Rs 10 ~~per~~ and this was ~~in addition to~~ payable

~~and had he not been given~~ to understand that the Govt would supply the steamer free of cost, he would not have travelled ~~as~~ therein and visited Bhadrachallam ~~the Steamer~~ ~~man~~ ~~had~~ ~~not~~.

3. I am in the above circumstances to request that the Govt of India will be pleased to sanction the payment to Mr Le Fanu of the actual expenses incurred by him during the period of his journeys on the steamer above referred to in lieu of the daily allowance already drawn by him

18/11/28
30/11/28

3/5/28

[R.G. 152]
2,000—22-3-98

CURRENT No. 1371
Fin'l DEPARTMENT.

From Govt. of India,
Fin'l & Comm'l Dept.

No. 2018.SR

Dated 4.5.98
Received 10.5.98
Enclosures

SUBJECT.

Sanctioning the grant of actual travelling expenses to the Hon'ble Mr Crole, C.I.E., 1st Member of the Board of Revenue for the journeys made by him in the P.W.D. Steamer, Victoria'

Govt. of India letter dated 13/5/98 not received yet.

A A A