

Revenue (1898) Department.

MISCELLANEOUS SERIES.

G.O., No. 2181

DATED 20th May

[Despatch Abstract.]

Approving and transposing to the Finl Dept. letter from the Collr of the Nilgiris, requesting sanction for the retention of the Sim's Park Establt. for 1898-99.

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.
Cro 3986	191	Ep 24 83	11-6-98				

Revenue (1898) Department.

CURRENT No. 2992

From

20/5/98.

Order him no. 2181/20th May 98.

By Ag. Collector of
K. Nilgiris

Approved and trans.
ferred to the Financial
Department with reference Dated 19th April 1898.
to G.O. R No 786 Financial Received 21st
dated 17th June 1897.

Ref in Current No. 542 of 78

Flag A m, 0 3315-17-97

Registered 21st

Enclosures one.

Spare copies

25/4/98
20/5/98



SUBJECT.

To the Finl Dept. Requesting sanction for the
retention of the line for
sanction for the retention of the establishment for 1898-99
of the temporary establishment
in question is renewed every
year. Why is it temporary?

22/4/98.

25/4/98

Memo sent to
Madras for papers.

26/4/98.

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.
	911	Revenue	4.11.87
	3325	Rev	1.7.87
	3041	Rev	15.6.87

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

Cno 2992/88

Note for Mr Miranda

Please see under secretary's query on Cno 2992/88. & put up papers. The original

of Financial Rs no 20 R. & 6.1.87 (from in Madras)

may probably give some information.

Mr Narayana Menon says that some report was received in 1883 or 1884. Please look up & put up the papers.

Mk
26/4/88

From enquiries made in the Finl. Dept., it appears that G.O. No. 20 R. d/6.1.87, which is likely to give some clue, was not received there. The indexes from 1890 to 1896 have been looked into but in vain. The papers are in 1884 & 1887.

A.S.
3.5.88

Memorandum to H.D.

M 4/5/88

With reference to the under secretary's query on Cno. 2992 of 1888. 'Why is it (Sim's park establish. ment) temporary,' it is submitted as follows: -

The establishment consists of an overseer on Rs 40 and a watchman on Rs 9 per mensem.

In 1887, Mr Lawson asked that the overseer who was then getting Rs 35 per mensem ^{might} be placed on the permanent establishment and that his pay might be raised to Rs 50. The Govt did not approve of the ~~approach~~ proposal to make the overseer's place permanent but raised his pay to Rs 40.

The salary ^{however} of the overseer and of the watchman ~~whom~~ whose entertainment was sanctioned in G.O. No 843 & 14.7.84 was ^{being} billed for from the Treasury as working charges of the Park till the year 1896 when the A.G. ~~for~~ objected to this procedure

G.O. No 1693 A
21.11.87

E

Collector's letter
& 20.11.87 in G.
Rs no 20 Finl
6.1.87