

Revenue (1898) Department.

MISCELLANEOUS SERIES.

G.O., No. 2127

DATED 18th May

[Despatch, Abstract.]

Report regarding the demarcation of part of the boundary between the Mysore Province and the Bellary DC. referred to the Board of Revenue for report as to whether the demarcation now done may be accepted.

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.
C.O.	5292/98.						
G.O.	3559	Revenue	3-2-98				

Revenue (1898) Department.

CURRENT No. 2924

Order Endorsement (C.O.) No. 2127 d/18th May 98.

Referred to the Board
of Revenue for report as to
whether the demarcation now
done may be accepted.

Rs
17-5-98

18th
18th

Princ

From The Superintendent
Madras Survey

No. 39

Dated 8 April 1898

Received

Registered 18th

Enclosures 97

Spare copies

SUBJECT.

To the Board of Rev (L. R)
with enclosures in
original & returned
(From orders)

Demarcation of part of
the boundary between the
Bellary District and the
Mysore Province
stating that
has been rectified.

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.
10502	2	13-2	77
10502	8	13-2	78
10502	15	13-5	96m
10502	24	13-5	96

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

(C. O. Form No. 13-A 2.)

SURVEY OFFICE, *Camp*
8th April 1898.

No. 39
 From 9

H. O' C. Cardozo, Esq., C.I.E.,
 Superintendent, Madras Survey,

To
 The Secretary to Government,
 Revenue Department.

REFERENCE. G. O. Revenue Dept. No. 5081 Mis.
 dated 28 September 1897, directing
 the revision of demarcation of
 part of the boundary between
 the Bellary District and the
 Mysore Territory, also G. O. No. 862 Mis.
 dated 19 February 1898, regarding
 the deputation of an officer
 from Mysore.

for,
 I have the honour to report
 that Mr. Martin, Deputy
 Superintendent, Madras Survey

Bellary, met the Assistant
Commissioner, Chittaldroog District,
on the 12 March 1898, and rectified
the demarcation of the boundary
in question.

2. A copy of their joint report
is submitted herewith.

3. The enclosures to G.O. No. 5081
dated 28 September 1897 are
returned.

I have the honour to be,
Sir,

Your Most Obedt. Servant,

W. A. S.
Superintendent
Madras Survey.

Ak
5/11/98

13/4/98

Letter from the Supt of Madras
Survey, No. $\frac{39}{2}$ 8-4-98
(Cus. 2927)

To Secretary

The Resident in
Mysore brought to the notice
of this Govt. an allegation
made by the Mysore Darbar
that the Madras Surveyors, in
surveying certain villages of
the Hospet Taluk in the Bellary
district, encroached upon a
portion of Survey No. 132 of
Banaravi Village in the
Mysore Province, and re-
quested that the necessary
instructions might be
issued to have the alleged
incorrect demarcation
rectified. The result of the
enquiry ordered thereon by this
Govt. showed that a portion
of the field no 132 ^{of the Mysore Taluk} measuring
1.80 acres was included within
the limits of Survey No. 16 of
the adjoining British Village
of Thammalapuram, and that

So $\frac{5-12}{27-1-97}$

Collier's letter
in G.O. $\frac{6081-82}{28-9-97}$

a small extent of 2 cents
pertaining to the latter field
was added to the field no 132
of the Mysore Province.
The inspection of the fields in
question by the Tahsildar of
Hoaspet further disclosed
that portions of a nulla
(river bed) in the British
territory were shown on the
Mysore Survey maps as
belonging to that Govt.
In these circumstances, it
was ordered by this Govt.
that the boundary line
should be correctly marked
out and demarcated
by an Officer of the Madras
Survey Dept in conjunction
with one from Mysore.
In pursuance of this order
Mr Martin, Dy Supdt of
Madras Survey, and the Asst
Commissioner, Chitaldrug
district accompanied by the
Tahsildar of Hoaspet Taluk, and
the Amildar of Molkalmur
in which the Mysore Village is Taluk

Ep. 5081-82
28-9-97

Current.

question is situate, inspected
the disputed locality on the
12 March 1898, rectified the
demarcation of the boundary
and have submitted a joint
report which forms an
enclosure to the Current.
The report shows that the
Madras Surveyors in demar-
cating the boundary between
Thummalapuram and Band
rawi at the disputed point
omitted to observe the survey
boundary marks erected by
the Mysore Survey and thereby
failed to follow the true
boundary between the two
villages at the above point,
and that the necessary altera-
tions have been made on
the ground. and As regards
the nulla referred to above,
there was no evidence to
show that it was included
in the Mysore map beyond
showing it as a detail on
the map, nor was there any
record to show that the nulla

Therapsid. See the collection having seen
 3-5-22 - ^{one} specimen. The sp. ^{very} distinct

A = Copy in full.
B = Not to be copied.
P = Copy abstract only.
Q = Copy heading only.