

Revenue (1898) Department.

MISCELLANEOUS SERIES.

G.O., No. 2119

DATED 14th May

[Despatch Abstract.]

Recording with an expression
of concurrence in the Board's views
B.P. sanctioning the entertainment
of certain forest guards on the temporary
establishment for guarding the
Rampa boundary.

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.
June 2287/99							

Revenue (189⁸) Department.

CURRENT No. 2756.

From

The Board of Revenue, Land Revenue
Madrás

Forest No. 231 Hls.

Dated 4th April 1898

Received

Registered

Enclosures 3

Spare copies



Order wise

Recorded with an
expression of concurrence
in the Board's views.

To the Board (N.C.).

Note:

No remarks seem to be called
for on the R.P. of which a copy
is submitted to Govt. for informa-

tion.

M
21/4/98

I concur in the views expressed by the Bd,
but how about the building for the museum
is asked? The Bd. may not about the

Sanctioning the entertainment of
certain Forest Guards on the Tempo-
rary Establishment for guarding the
Rampa boundary; and drawing the atten-
tion of the Collector of Godavari
and the Conservator N.C. to certain
general exceptions to appendix V of
the Forest Code which appear to
provide for the appointment of un-
passed candidates to the posts now
to be created.

27/4/98


BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.
BP	76	(For)	22.2.98
	545	(For)	14.12.97
BP	79	(For)	24.2.98
	445	Rev.	27-1-98

BP 2257/98. not disposed of

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.



Proceedings of the Board of Revenue. (Land Revenue).

Forest No 231. Mls, dated 4th April 1898

Read Indorsement of the Conservator of Forests, N.C., dated 9th March 1898, R.C.No.171 on letter from the Collector of Godavari, dated 23rd February 1898, R.C.No.179/B submitting to the Board further report on the working of Rampa rules called for in B.P.Forest No.545, dated 14th December 1898.

Read also letter from the Collector of Godavari, dated 26th March 1898, R.C.No.179/B requesting the Board that early orders may be passed on his Office R.C.No.179-B, dated 1st March 1898, regarding the organization and working of protective staff.

Read letter from the Conservator of Forests, N.C., dated 30th March 1898, R.C.No.1097 stating that a Deputy Ranger on Rs.40/- will be found from the augmented permanent establishment to guard the Rampa boundary in Godavari District.

Resolution.

The Board observes that in the temporary - establishment scale for 1898-99 sanctioned in B.P.F.No.76, dated 22nd February 1898, the Conservator's proposals for strengthening the establishment of permit gumastahs and tannahdars "to provide a better check over the removals of forest produce at the frontier of Rampa" have been accepted; but sanction was deferred to the entertainment of the marginally noted temporary establish-

- 1 Temporary Forester on Rs.40/-
1 Forest Guard on Rs.12.
2---do---at Rs.10---20
3---do---Rs. 3---24.
- ment under B-1-(c)"⁵ guard the Rampa boundary." As regards the Forester the

n.c

Conservator reports with reference to the Board's Proceedings above quoted that a Deputy Ranger from the permanent establishment can be deputed for the work. The entertainment of the staff of Forest Guards, as noted above, on the temporary establishment is sanctioned.

2 Both the Collector and the Conservator recommend that the examination rules should be relaxed so as to permit of the appointment of unpassed candidates ⁶ for the posts in question. Their attention is drawn to app. V of the Forest Code. The general exceptions attached to the rules therein prescribed appear to provide for the appointment of unpassed candidates to the posts now to be created.

5 The Board agrees with the Conservator in regard to the Collector's suggestion that he should be authorised to refuse the issue of permit books to private owners when it is suspected that Government produce is smuggled under the cover of such permits. The Collector's attention is invited in this connection to the Board's remarks under rule 5 in para 5 of Board's Proceedings Forest No. 79, dated 24-2-98.

(True Extract)

Reelin

Secretary

To the Collector of Godavari.

To the Conservator, N.C.

To the Accountant General.

Copy to the Secretary to Government, R.D. with letter and its two encls., in original to be returned.

C.M.N

C No 2756 of 1898.

Note for the under secretary.

With reference to the H.M.'s remark on the above current, it is submitted ^{under sec 148 of the Forest Code} that the Collector is competent to sanction the works in items 2, 3, 4 in the statement flagged A and that the Board can sanction the other two items. ^{Probably} Perhaps the Board has sanctioned these in some other connection. A second para may, perhaps, ~~at~~ it is submitted, be added drawing ^{to} the Board's attention to the matter.

Mc
2/5/98. *Yes do so.*
Mc
6/5/98. *For well*
Mc

Please see draft put up on the sheet below.

Mc
6/5/98.

See Please see amended Draft below

Mc
7.5.98 *For well*
Mc

Yes: the sanction does not rest with Govt
Mc

Mc
12.6.98/98
10/7/98

Read C. no. 2756 of 1898
B.P. (L.R.) no 231 Misc 24.4.98

S.O. Misc. no. 2119 of 14th May 98.

Recorded with an
expression of Concurrence in
the Board's views.

2. It is presumed that
the necessary action has been
taken on the District Forest
Officer's proposals for the
Construction of a road and
certain buildings - referred
to in para 2 of the Collector's
letter dated 23.2.98.

M
7.5.98
S
10.5.98

To the Board (L.R.) with Encl. - Depd.
20.5.98

M
6.5.98.

M
17/5/98

When given for copying _____
When copied _____
When examined _____
When signed _____

189

READ AGAIN G.O., No.
189

DATED

CURRENT No.

ENCLOSURES TO U. No.

ORDER OF GOVERNMENT

A A A A A A A

LETTER GOVERNMENT.

STATEMENT WITH DITTO.

PAPERS NOT FILED UP, BEING MEANT FOR DESPATCH
IN ORIGINAL.

N.B.—

A = Copy in full.
B = Not to be copied.
P = Copy abstract only.
U = Copy heading only.

Revenue (1898) Department.

MISCELLANEOUS SERIES.

G.O., No. 2120

DATED 17th May

[Despatch Abstract.]

Stating that the close proximity of the buildings to the Kurnool Road station is objectionable and requesting the collector to report whether there is any reason why the persons who have houses or huts within 200 yards of the railway premises should not be required to move them to that distance at once.