

Revenue (1898) Department.

• MISCELLANEOUS SERIES.

G.O., No. 2117

DATED 16th May 98

[Despatch Abstract.]

Recording in the remarks, G.O. L. & M. Despatch: passing orders
on the explanation of M. R. K. ^{P.C.} Srigaranatha Pillai & Radin Navaz
Khan Sahib. for having renewed certain printing contract while
Chairman of Trichinopoly mullay, contrary to the orders of Govt.
on the subject.

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

Revenue (1898) Department.

CURRENT No. 3406.

Secy.

resp. and return
to H.C.
16/5/98

From

The Local Mun. Dept.

Order no. 2117 d/16th May 98.

Recorded.

2. The A. G. will be requested to carry out the necessary correction in the lists of Deputy Collectors.

No. 855 whis

Dated

Received

Registered

Enclosures /

Spare copies

14.5.98
V.S.G.
S.A. 16/5/98

SUBJECT

Printing of forms &c

The Board of Rev. S.R.
(A & O)
Acct. General } Des. 19.5.28.
(A & O)

8/5/98 note

The Board is necessary entered against the names of the officers will be entered in the department maintained in the office

14.5.98
V.S.G.
S.A. 16/5/98

Passing orders on the explanations of M.R. Singaravelu Pillai and Zahir Naway Khan Sahib the two official Chairmen of the Trichinopoly Municipality for having renewed the printing contract with the local printer contrary to the orders of Govt. and for having advanced a sum of Rs 500 to the same person and neglected to recover it subsequently.

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

Page 16 of the
Lom vol A

note for the Under Secretary

The L & M Dept
sends for concurrence or remark
the draft of the G.O. which it
proposes to issue on the conduct
of Mr. P.S. Singaravelu Pillai
and Qadir Nawaz Khan Sahib
Bahadur in regard to certain
matters connected with the
administration of the Trichinopoly
Municipality.

2 The Hon Mr Grose
with the concurrence of H.E. the
Governor has decided that as
a ~~severe~~ punishment to P.S.
Singaravelu Pillai, he should be
reduced to the bottom of the
sub pro tem list of Deputy Col-
lectors; and the order of re-
duction is embodied in the
latter part of para 1 of the
draft G.O. The Lom Dept now
enquires whether this order of
reduction could be issued by it
under the counter initials of the
R.D. or whether it should issue
in the R.D. itself. It is submitted

that

that though it may be desirable to pass orders of reduction of officers in which the Dept. wherein their appointments are made, the practice followed in the Secretariat ^{allows} of their being issued in any Dept. of Govt. in which the conduct of such officers comes up for consideration, the orders being issued with the concurrence (i.e. under the counter initials of the Secy) of the Dept. in which the appointments are made. For a precedent, attention is solicited to M.R. J. Gopalan Nayar's case disposed of in G.O. n^o 446 Indl of 15th Mar. 1898. His conduct as a magistrate came up for consideration in the Judicial Dept. and the order of reduction was also issued in that Dept. — with the concurrence of the R.D. It is submitted therefore that there is no objection to the proposed order being issued in the L.A.M. Dept. provided that the Secretary countersigns it.

* in G.O. mis n^o 1434 Rev:
2423rd Mar. 1898 B

But the Jud. Dept. has to do with Dpr collectors being Dpr Magistrates.

I think it will be better to insert the order about delay of Singaravelu Pillai's promotion in the L.A.M. Dept. as it is in complete with it.

15

3. The office, however, has a doubt as to the accuracy of the portion of the draft order referring to Singaravelu Pillai's reduction. It is stated

"H.E. the G. in C., therefore, directs that M.R. Singaravelu Pillai be placed at the bottom of the list of Sub pro tem Deputy Collectors"

The wording of the draft, no doubt, follows that of the H.M's remarks but it implies, it is submitted, that Sub pro tem Deputy Collectors have a preferential claim for confirmation over acting men. It is, however, understood that, other things equal, officers are confirmed according to their service in the acting and not in the Sub. pro tem list and that sometimes Sub pro tem men are sent to "act" For an instance in point, attention is solicited to the case of C. Krishnaswami Naidu who is now ~~in~~ the 6th Grade in

in the permanent vacancy caused by B.S. Rangayya Naidu's retirement from the bound volume of the monthly lists of Deputy Collectors for the year 1897, it will be seen that till November last K. Naidu's name ^{had} appeared high in the sub-pro tem list of Deputy Collectors. The Dec? list shows that he was reverted to the place of a Tahsildar, his permanent appointment, and the Feb? list again places his name ^{and as an acting mag} high in the acting list. The circumstances under which these changes took place are set forth in notes No 170 and Chas. stitched with G.O. misc No 5515 Rev. 2/2/98 Oct 1897 and it will be seen clearly from that it was not as a punishment that his name was taken out of the sub-pro tem list but because it was thought undesirable to send him back to his old appointment in Kistna and there was ^{no} convenient place he could be sent to. In the Acting list, Krishnaswami Naidu holds the ~~second~~ place now and

This matter was intended
29

and is above six of the officers including Singaravelu Pillai whose names are shown both in the "Acting" and the sub-pro tem lists

4. It is submitted, in the above circumstances, that it will perhaps be better to declare either

(1) that Singaravelu Pillai should ^{for purpose of confirmation} be considered to have forfeited 11 months of his service as acting Deputy Collector and this would have the effect of placing his name below that of C. Seshadri Ras Pantulu in the acting list, or

(2) merely that his confirmation as a Dy. Coll?

should be stopped until further orders, a remark, to that effect

with the number and date of the G.O. being entered against his name in the "Acting" and "sub-pro tem" lists. ~~Shardha's order on 10/11/97 is the basis of the present order and should be cancelled.~~

secre

in the permanent vacancy caused
 by B.S. Rangayya Naidu's retirement
 from the bound volume of the
 monthly lists of Deputy Collectors
 for the year 1897, it will be seen
 that till November last K. Naidu's
 name ^{had} appeared high in the Sub-
pro tem list of Deputy Collectors
 The Dec? list shows that he was
 reverted to the place of a Tahsildar,
 his permanent appointment, and
 the Feb? list again places his name ^C
 high in the acting list. The cir-
 cumstances under which these
 changes took place are set forth in
 notes No 170 and those stitched
 with G.O. misc No 5815 Res. dt 27th 14th Oct 1897 and it will be seen there-
 from that it was not as a punish-
 ment that his name was taken
 out of the Subpro tem list but be-
 cause it was thought undesirable
 to send him back to his old
 appointment in Krishna and there
 was ^{no} convenient place he ~~could~~
 could be sent to. In the Acting
 list, Krishnaaswami Naidu
 holds the senior place now
 and

D

E

This matter was
 intended

29

and is above six of the officers
 including Singaravelu Pillai
 whose names are shown both
 in the "Acting" and the "Sub
 pro tem" lists

4. It is submitted,
 in the above circumstances,
 that it will perhaps be better
 to declare either

(1) that Singaravelu
^{for purposes of confirmation}
 Pillai should be considered to
 have forfeited 11 months of his
 service as acting Deputy Collector,
 and this would have the effect
 of placing his name below
 that of C. Seshadri Rao Pan-
 tulu in the acting list, or

(2) merely that his
 confirmation as a Dy Coll^r
 should be stopped until further
 (if it will not be considered too great a punishment)
 orders, a remark to that effect
 with the number and date of
 the G.O. being entered against
 his name in the "Acting" and
 "Sub pro tem" lists. ~~Shardas~~
~~order was made in the 14th Decr~~
~~the 14th Decr 1897~~
 after the ~~order~~ ^{order} ~~was~~ ^{was} ~~made~~ ^{made}
 and

several orders, but in the case of Sargun
 and in the case of Sargun, the order is
 "although the order is not in the case of
 did it to be as proposed that
 clear for a safe and sound
 order.

18/10/98
 25.4.98

Secy

The H. has intention
 was it is submitted, to
 temporarily stop
 Sargun Pillai's
 promotion. It is
 suggested submitted
 that this would be
 best done by an order
 stopping his promotion
 pending the further
 orders. Every time a
 vacancy occurs, his
 name will not be
 there but he passed
 over until it is decided
 that he has purged his
 name.

18/10/98
 25/4/98

On the file

in considering any
 claim that he may
 have for future pro-
 motion.

I think this will be the
 best way.

JG
 27.4.98

objection the order of recording
 the punishment of Sargun
 Pillai, the order being accepted
 before issue in this Dept.

As to the mode of notifying
 his degradation, the better
 way will be, I think, by
 declaring 11 months of acting
 service forfeited. If we
 were to ~~proceed~~ declare
 that his place was below
 a certain officer named dif-
 ficulties might arise, as
 in certain cases it might
 be desirable to pass over
 the named officer himself.

S/P 25/4/98

Secy Please see order
 below the L.O.M.
 reference to this Dept
 below

18/10/98
 25/4/98

JG

Local and Municipal Department.

PROCEEDINGS OF THE MADRAS GOVERNMENT.

---0---

Read again

G.O.No.1477 M.Mis., dated 25th June 1897, requesting the Collector of Trichinopoly to obtain and submit to Govt. the explanation of M.R.Ry.P.S.Singaravelu Pillai and Muhammad Khadir Navaz Khan Sahib Bahadur for the deliberate disregard of the orders of Govt. with regard to the printing of Municipal Accounts Forms at the Central Press under the supervision of the Accountant General.

G.O.No.2423 M.Mis., dated 4th November 1897, recording, with remarks, report on the audit of the accounts of the Trichinopoly Municipality for 1896-97.

Read also the following papers.

From the Collector of Trichinopoly C.No.110/B.G., dated 15th October 1897, forwarding the explanations of Khan Bahadur Muhammad Khadir Navaz Khan Sahib and M.R.Ry.P.S.Singaravelu Pillai regarding the extension of the Printing contract with a local printer.

From the same officer Ref.on C.No.110/B.G., dated 24th December 1897, submitting records called for in Govt. Memo. No.1243 d/2nd December 1897, regarding the above subject.

From the same officer, Ref.on C.No.582/B.G., dated 19th Jany. 1898, forwarding the explanations of the two official Chairmen of the Trichinopoly Municipality regarding the advance of a sum of Rs.500 to the local printer.

Order No.855 M.Mis., dated 3rd May 1898.

The action of M.R.Ry.P.S.Singaravelu Pillai in having renewed the contract with the local printer, long before the expiry of the existing contract, although he had clear warning of the orders of Government on the subject in the audit notes of the Assistant Inspector of Local Fund Accounts for 1894-95, and in the Accountant General's letter of the 28th May 1896, No.111 L.F.D., was most reprehensible. As this letter was received in the Municipal office on the 30th May 1896, M.R.Ry.Singaravelu Pillai ought to have placed

Local and Municipal Department.

PROCEEDINGS OF THE MADRAS GOVERNMENT.

---0---

Read again

G.O.No.1477 M.Mis., dated 25th June 1897, requesting the Collector of Trichinopoly to obtain and submit to Govt. the explanation of M.R.Ry.P.S.Singaravelu Pillai and Muhammad Khadir Navaz Khan Sahib Bahadur for the deliberate disregard of the orders of Govt. with regard to the printing of Municipal Accounts Forms at the Central Press under the supervision of the Accountant General.

G.O.No.2423 M.Mis., dated 4th November 1897, recording, with remarks, report on the audit of the accounts of the Trichinopoly Municipality for 1896-97.

Read also the following papers

From the Collector of Trichinopoly C.No.110/B.G., dated 15th October 1897, forwarding the explanations of Khan Bahadur Muhammad Khadir Navaz Khan Sahib and M.R.Ry.P.S.Singaravelu Pillai regarding the extension of the Printing contract with a local printer.

From the same officer Ref.on C.No.110/B.G., dated 24th December 1897, submitting records called for in Govt. Memo. No.1243 d/ 2nd December 1897, regarding the above subject.

From the same officer, Ref.on C.No.582/B.G., dated 19th Jan'y. 1898, forwarding the explanations of the two official Chairmen of the Trichinopoly Municipality regarding the advance of a sum of Rs.500 to the local printer.

Order No.855 M.Mis., dated 3rd May 1898.

The action of M.R.Ry.P.S.Singaravelu Pillai in having renewed the contract with the local printer, long before the expiry of the existing contract, although he had clear warning of the orders of Government on the subject in the audit notes of the Assistant Inspector of Local Fund Accounts for 1894-95, and in the Accountant General's letter of the 28th May 1896, No.111 L.F.D., was most reprehensible. As this letter was received in the Municipal office on the 30th May 1896, M.R.Ry.Singaravelu Pillai ought to have placed

189

189

DATE

CURRENT No.

ENCLOSURES TO O. No.

ORDER OF GOVERNMENT

LETTER OF GOVERNMENT

STATEMENT WITH DITTO

PAPERS NOT FILED UP, BEING MEANT FOR DESPATCH
BY ORIGINAL.

N.B.—

A = Copy in full.
B = Not to be copied.
P = Copy abstract only.
Q = Copy heading only