

Revenue (1898) Department.

MISCELLANEOUS SERIES.

G.O., No. 2097

DATED 16th May

[Despatch Abstract.]

Informing the A.G. that this Govt has
no intention of keeping up the observatory at Rajahmundry
for provincial purposes and that no expense will be
incurred in connection with it for provincial purposes.

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

Revenue Judicial (1898) Department.

CURRENT No. 2/89.

Riadapin Gp. 1494
28-3-98

From The Accountant General
Madras.

Order etc.

Referred to the metl
Reporters to the Govt of Madras
for report as to whether the
maintenance of the ~~metl~~ Observatory
at Rajamundry is necessary for
provincial purposes and if so,
~~whether the charges can~~
~~be met with it may in future,~~
~~be treated as provincial.~~

But the ~~metl~~ is
in charge of Imperial
Observatories. No. 1379
Dated 5 April 1898

Received 7/4

Registered 8/4

Enclosures

Spare copies

SUBJECT.

Requesting to inform whether
all charges connected with
the observatory at Rajamundry
may in future be treated as
provincial

Rajamundry is a rain-recording
station for provincial purposes,
and the person in charge of the
rain-gauge is ^{probably} also in charge
of the Imperial Observatory
which is merely rain-gauge
+ a condensation for measurement

RS
23-4-98

2nd Asst
But there are no
provincial observatories? Why
then should it be thought necessary
to ask whether we should start?

116
17/4/98

To the metl Reporter (out of
Madras)

23/4/98

66/5/98
29/5/98

the Local Net Reporter is publishing
a local chart and gets information
as to wind direction & force, and
she may wish to have the information
combined.

$$\frac{RS}{28-498}$$

~~See~~
~~Spal a donk~~

Is there any information
in the Mss as to the
number & nature of
the observations in
this Presq. If so please
put it up.

28-4/8

Reference is solicited to pp 219-220 of

152 Moines Admin Report for 1892-93 - cont'd.

4/30/98

It will remain an ordinary
tallow can repacking station or they
more - if it is included in the
Board's list. We don't want
to keep up any "provincial"
Stewatories ~~outside~~ in addition
to the "Imperial" Stewatories.
The Imp. Met. Dpt. is the best judge
of the necessity of a station and if
decides to abolish it as superfluous
its judgment should be conclusive. They
may tell the A.F. ~~on~~ alone, unless
the H.M. thinks the B. of Rev should be

consulted, tho I don't see the need of troubling it

The proposed answers

to even formally. The decision of course makes
 difference to any arrangements the P. may make,
Abdullah Rajahmaddu as an ordinary sailing vessel *Station 825* *10/10/40*

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.
	1494		28-3-9

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

Coronado which is
close by is one of the Stations

at the Brementory having been
abolished no charge ^{exp} are in it
from Poor old be incurred from
date of abolition

Treasury Account DEPARTMENT.

FORT ST. GEORGE,

Accountant-General's Office.

5th April 1898.

No. 1379

From

T. Hesketh Biggs Esq.,

ACCOUNTANT-GENERAL TO THE

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS.

To

THE Secretary to Government,
Revenue Department.

SIR,

With reference to Government Order No. 1494 Misc. dated the 28th March 1898, I have the honour to request you to be good enough to inform me whether all charges connected with the Observatory at Rajahmundry may in future be treated as Provincial.

I have the honor to be,

Sir
Your most obedient servant,
Wm M Bly
Accountant General.



(189) DEPARTMENT.

From

THE ACCOUNTANT-GENERAL,

MADRAS,

To

THE

No.

Dated

Received

No.


SUBJECT.

Revenue (1898) Department.

CURRENT No. 3508.

Order

From The Accountant General
Madras



Dated 11 May 1898

Received 13

Registered 13

Enclosures

Spare copies

SUBJECT.

Requesting whether Govt.
has passed any orders
on this office letter No.
1379 dt. 5 April 28 regarding
the treatment of charges
connected with the observatory
at Rajahmundry.

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

Treasury Account DEPARTMENT.

FORT ST. GEORGE,
Accountant-General's Office,
11th May 1898.

No. 1831

From

J. Hesketh Biggs Esq.

ACCOUNTANT-GENERAL TO THE

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS,

To

THE *Secretary to Government,*
Revenue Department.

SIR,

I have the honour to request you to be good enough to inform me whether Government has passed any orders on my letter No 1379, dated 5th April 1898 regarding the treatment of the charge connected with the observatory at Rajahmundry.

*I have the honour to be Sir,
Your most obedient servant,*

J. H. B.
Accountant-General.

B
11/5

done a Lanco
M/S

Rev
M

Letter from the A.G. No. 1379
5-4-98
(Cus. 2789)
also (Cus. 3508)

G.O. No. 2097 dt 16th May 98

The A.G. will
be informed that this Govt.
has no intention of keeping
up the Observatory at Rajah
mundry for provincial pur-
poses and that no expense
~~shall~~ ^{will} be incurred in
connexion with it from
Provincial funds ~~for the~~.

RA
14-5-98

18th
M.S.V.

S/Sy SD

To the Accountant General
.. Incl. Report to the Govt of
Madras
.. Board of Rev (Rev. sett)

Recd
15/5/98

When given for copying _____
When copied _____
When examined _____
When signed _____

189

READ AGAIN G.O., No.

189

DATED

CURRENT No.

ENCLOSURES TO G. No.

ORDER OF GOVERNMENT

.. A A A A A A A

LETTER OF GOVERNMENT.

STATEMENT WITH DITTO.

PAPERS NOT FILED UP, BEING MEANT FOR DISPATCH
IN ORIGINAL.

N.B.—

A = Copy in full.
B = Not to be copied.
P = Copy abstract only.
Q = Copy heading only.