

Revenue (1898) Department.

MISCELLANEOUS SERIES.

G.O., No. 2059

DATED 11th May 1898.

[Despatch Abstract.]

Relining to interfere on behalf of D. Seshagiri
Rao of Gammalamadugu, Cuddalore Dist.
praying for remission of income tax
to which he has been assessed.

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.


FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

[6.]
1,000-10-2-97.

Revenue (1898) Department.

Current ~~DO~~ No. 3169



From Seshagiri Rao
of Tammalmaru,
Cuddapah Dt.

Dr. O.

Endorsement

the Govt. sees no reason
to interfere on the petitioner's
behalf.

KD
6/5/98

No. 2059 of 11th May 1898

7/5/98

No.

Dated 18. 4. 98.

Received 27/2

Registered 28/2

Enclosures 2

Spare copies

SUBJECT.

Praying for remission
of income tax to
which he has been
assessed.

1/5/98

The petitioner. Dated 13.5.98

BACK PAPERS

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

The peti. states as follows:-

The peti. appeals against B.P. No. 620 Mis. (enclosed). Some time ago the peti. presented a petition to the Dy. Colli. of Tammalnadu - for objecting to the income tax to which he was assessed. He produced his account books and statements in forms C.P.T. and A.B. of his gain and loss. These showed that he has a loss of Rs. 3730. 15. 10. The Dy. Colli. without assigning any reasons for it did not believe in the sworn statements of the peti. The Colli's report to the Board to the effect that the peti. did not produce his account books is not correct for the Dy. Colli. does not say that the peti. failed to produce them. The Board's decision therefore, based as it was on the Colli's report, is unjust. Besides the loss above referred to the peti. has also lost certain amounts which he has given to others as loans. He therefore prays that the entire amount of the income tax may be remitted.

C. W. 22/12/90.

49-
 ၁။ ဓမ္မိဘဝသမ္ဘူတိ နိဗ္ဗာန်သောသော
 ဓမ္မသမ္ဘူတိ နိဗ္ဗာန်သောသော
 ဓမ္မသမ္ဘူတိ နိဗ္ဗာန်သောသော

1. ದೇವರಾಯ ನಿಂದ ಸುಮಾರು 100 ರೂಪಾಯಿ ದೇವರಾಯ
 ಸು. 8 ರೂಪಾಯಿ ಮೊತ್ತವನ್ನು 1902 ರಲ್ಲಿ ಸುಮಾರು 8 ರೂಪಾಯಿ
 2. ಅಕ್ಕ ರೂಪಾಯಿ 1902 ರಲ್ಲಿ ಸುಮಾರು 8 ರೂಪಾಯಿ
 3. ನೇರಾಯ ಸುಮಾರು 100 ರೂಪಾಯಿ 8 ರೂಪಾಯಿ
 4. ನೇರಾಯ ಸುಮಾರು 100 ರೂಪಾಯಿ 8 ರೂಪಾಯಿ

18-4-1898

ဖဏ္ဍိကဗျာ

ॐ नमो भगवते वासुदेवाय
 श्रीगणेशाय नमः

REVENUE DEPARTMENT.

C No. 316

From D. Peckham Row of Jammelmudagn

Dated 18th April

1898

FOR ORDERS.

The petitioner was originally assessed to income tax on an income of Rs 2,605; but on a petition of objection presented by him under Section 26 of Act II of 1886 the assessable income was reduced to Rs 2000. He appealed to the Board of Revenue and that authority after receiving a report from the Collector dismissed the petition stating:— "The petitioner had due notice to be present with witnesses and accounts at the hearing of his objection petition. According to the Collector's report this was not done and the statement in his present petition that the Dy Collector did not examine his accounts cannot have any value attached". The petitioner now appeals to Govt and states that the Collector's report

c7/s/98
n/95/98

Handwritten text in Kannada script, likely a petition or official document. The text is written in a cursive style and includes several lines of prose. There are some markings and numbers interspersed, such as "20/4/93" and "20/4/93". The document appears to be a petition, as indicated by the word "PETITION" written in English at the top. The text is written in Kannada, and the handwriting is somewhat difficult to decipher due to its cursive nature. There are some markings and numbers interspersed, such as "20/4/93" and "20/4/93". The document appears to be a petition, as indicated by the word "PETITION" written in English at the top. The text is written in Kannada, and the handwriting is somewhat difficult to decipher due to its cursive nature.

report to the Board to the effect
that the petitioner did not produce
his account books is not correct.

2. He has tried that ^{there is no reason for} the Court has
believed in the petitioner in preference to the Court on a question
decide to interfere on the
of fact and that the Court may decide to interfere on his behalf.
petitioner's behalf.

3. Please see a draft order
put up on the back of the
current.

H.M.

KD
6/5/98
This is not a case
in which, it is submitted,
the Court should interfere

8/7/578

KD

10/5/98

FOR ORDERS.

When given for copying _____
When copied _____
When examined _____
When signed _____

189

READ AGAIN G.O., No.

189

DATED

JURIST N.

ENCLOSURES TO G. No.

ORDER OF GOVERNMENT

A A A A A A A

ORDER OF GOVERNMENT.

STATEMENT WITH DITTO.

APERS NOT FILED UP, BEING MEANT FOR DESPATCH
IN ORIGINAL.

N.B.—

A = Copy in full.
B = Not to be copied.
P = Copy abstract only.
Q = Copy heading only.