— 5,000—4-3-98.

Revenue (1898) Department.

MISCELLANEOUS SERIES.

G.O., No. 2055

DATED 11th May 1898.

[Despatch Abstract.]

Sanctioning the Collr. of Godavari to advance Rs 234.6.7 from General Revenues, being the amount actually incurred for the subdivision of Juggampetta Estate

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.
Con. 3757/97							

Revenue (1898) Department.

CURRENT No. 2135.

D/D 11/5/98

From

The Accountant General, Madras.

Read again G.O. 492

Order Mis. No. 2055-82-3-98 11th May 1898

9/5/98

No. 1022

Dated 4th March '98

Received 16

Registered 16

Enclosures 7

Spare copies 3

SUBJECT

Reporting with remarks that Govt. can authorise the advance of Rs 234-6-7 actually incurred subject to adjustment by recovery from the parties of the sub division of Juggampeta Estate

under article 137(a) of the Civil account code, the Collector is authorised to advance Rs 234-6-7 from the General revenues subject to adjustment as pointed out by the Accountant General.

2 the Board's proposal in the concluding sentence of its Proc Ref No. 173 of 17.2.98 is approved.

To the Bd of Rev (L.R.) with 5 enclosures returned. Despd. 13.5.98.

" the A.G.

17.3.98 Receipted

BACK PAPERS.


G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.
Go	539	R	21-10-97
"	492	R	2-3-98

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

Revenue (1898) Department.

CURRENT No. 1520.



From The Board of Revenue, Land Revenue, Madras.

REF. No. 175

Order of 19 & No: 492-92 dated 2nd March 1898.

Referred to the A-G. for favour of remarks.

G. Baker
Actg: Secy. to Govt.

To the A-G.
with enclosures in original
& list not returned.
KO
1/3/98

Dated 17th February 1898

Received 21 "

Registered 22 "

Enclosures 5.

Spare copies

SUBJECT.

Subng. for the orders of Govt. letter from the Collr. of Godavari - re. cost of the special establishment employed for the sub.division of the Jaggampeta Estate.

1A

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.
to	5391	R 21-10-97	
"	777	R 15-2-98	
"	778	R 15-2-98	

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

2,000
20-1-98.

REVENUE BOARD OFFICE MADRAS

Proceedings of the Board of Revenue

(Land Revenue).

Rexx No.4250 Misc.Dated 11th November 1897

Read letters from the Collector of Godavari Ref.on C.No.3332-Ver.,dated 28th Octr.1897 and 4th Novr.97.

actually

Resolution The Board considers that the charges incurred ----- should be treated as costs in the suit.The Collector is accordingly requested to move the District Court to order the payment of the -- amount from the Estate.

(True Extract)
(sd) R.C.C.Carr
Secretary.

To the Collr.of Godavari.

(True Copy)
Secretary

Q.B.

BACK PAPERS

1898.

Board of Revenue

(Land Revenue),

MADRAS.

To

True Copy of Board's Progs.

No.4250 dated 11th Novr.1897.

Subject.

1898 — 5 — 1520

1898 — 8 — 2135

Reference on Cancl No. 152 of 1898.

In replying the above should be quoted.

(Land Revenue.)

REVENUE BOARD OFFICE. MADRAS.

Read letter from V.A.Brodie Esq.,Collector of Godavari,Ref.C.No.217-Rev.dated 25th January 1898.

Reference No.173 dated 17th February 1898.

Submitted for the orders of Government.

2. In its Proceedings No.559/Misc.Rev.dated ~~2nd~~ 21st October 1897,Government directed the Collector of Godavari to dispense with the special establishment employed,under the Board's orders,—but in anticipation of Government sanction,for the sub.division of the Jaggampeta Estate.In a communication subsequently received,the Collector reported that the special establishment had been dispensed with as directed by Government and requested sanction for — the pay,travelling allowances,&c.amounting to Rs.254.6.7.due to the establishment for the period of its employment in anticipation of sanction.The Board considered that the charge should be treated as costs in the suit and requested the Collector to move the District Court to order payment of the amount from the estate.

3. In his letter read above,the Collector reports that the District Court has ordered the payment of the charge by the parties to the subdivision,and that the amount will be recovered at once.He requests that the Accountant General may be asked to admit the charge on account of the special establishment.The Board recommends that the Collector may be authorized under Article 137 (a) of the Civil Account Code,Vol.I,to pay the establishment by an advance

1898.

Board of Revenue

(Land Revenue),

MADRAS.

To

True Copy of Board's Progs.

No.4250 dated 11th Novr.1897.

Subject.

1898

5

1520

1898

8

2135

Reference on ~~Cancl~~ No.~~183~~ of 1898.

In replying the above should be quoted.

(LAND REVENUE.)

REVENUE BOARD OFFICE. MADRAS.

Read letter from V.A.Brodie Esq.,Collector of Godavari,Ref.C.No.217-Rev.dated 25th January 1898.

Reference No.173 dated 17th February 1898.

Submitted for the orders of Government.

2. In its Proceedings No.559/Misc.Rev.dated ~~2nd~~ 21st.October 1897,Government directed the Collector of Godavari to dispense with the special establishment employed,under the Board's orders,—but in anticipation of Government sanction,for the sub.division of the Jaggampeta Estate.In a communication subsequently received,the Collector reported that the special establishment had been dis—pensed with as directed by Government and requested sanction for — the pay,travelling allowances,&c.amounting to Rs.254.6.7.due to the establishment for the period of its employment in anticipation of — sanction.The Board considered that the charge should be treated as costs in the suit and requested the Collector to move the District Court to order payment of the amount from the estate.

3. In his letter read above,the Collector reports that the District Court has ordered the payment of the charge by the — parties to the subdivision,and that the amount will be recovered at once.He requests that the Accountant General may be asked to admit the charge on account of the special establishment.The Board recommends that the Collector may be authorized under Article 157 (a) of the Civil Account Code,Vol.I,to pay the establishment by an advance

from general revenues. As regards the Govt. officers employed on the special duty in question, it is requested that the period of such duty may be treated as an interruption of duty falling under exception (e) to Art. 463 of the Civil Service Regulations.

Secretary.

To the Secretary to Govt. R.D. with G.R. 5364/5484 of 97 & 382 of 98 in orig'l. (to be retd.) & copy of Board's Progs. No. 4250 Mis. dated 11th Novr. 1897.

Q.B.

8

/2135

Treasury Accnts. Department.

Fort St. George,
Accountant-General's Office,
14th March 1898.

No. 1022

From

F. C. Harrison Esqre.,
ACCOUNTANT-GENERAL to the
GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS,

To

The Secretary to Government,
Revenue Department.

Sir,

I have the honour to return the papers received with order R. No. 492 of 2d March 1898 referring to me for remarks the proposal of the Board of Revenue that the Collector of Godavari should be authorized to pay in advance the actual expenditure on account of the Special Establishment for the Sub division of the Juggampet Estate and beg to report that under Article 137(a) of the Civil Account Code, Government can authorize the advance of the sum of Rs 234-6-7 actually incurred subject to adjustment by recovery of the amount from the parties to the Sub-division, as ordered by the District Court.

I have the honour to be,
Sir,
Your Most obedient Servant,

F. Harrison
Accountant General.

Letter from the A.G. 1022 (Cus 14-3-98 (2135

MENT.

To Secretary

Please see the opinion of the Advocate-General at the place marked A on page 7 of the file under No. 778. He stated that the 15-2-98 Collector could not legally recover the expenses incurred in effecting a partition. From para 3 of the current, however, it appears that the Dt Court has directed the parties to bear the charges. It is proposed to advance the amount from the General revenues in the first instance and to recover it subsequently from the parties.

2. In the concluding sentence of para 2 of the Board's Prop the Board requests that the period during which Govt officers were employed in the duty in question may be treated as an interruption of duty falling under exception (e) to Art 463 of the C.S.R. Submitted that

c/15/2/98 15/2/98.

463. An interruption in the service of an officer entails forfeiture of the service, except in the following cases

× × × × × ×

(e) transfer to non-pensionable service or establishment under Govt control.

× × ×

that the proposal may be approved.
3. Please see a draft order put up on the back of the current.

KD
4/5/98

Draft may come

8/4/6578

Draft

When given for copying ____
When copied ____
Seen examined ____
Seen signed ____
} 189 .

TMENT.

READ AGAIN G.O., No. DATED
189 ,

CURRENT No.

ENCLOSURES TO C. No.

ORDER OF GOVERNMENT .. .. .. A A A A A A A

LETTER OF GOVERNMENT.

STATEMENT WITH DITTO.

PAPERS NOT FILED UP, BEING MEANT FOR DESPATCH IN ORIGINAL.

N.B.—
A = Copy in full.
B = Not to be copied.
P = Copy abstract only.
Q = Copy heading only.