0/9 3,000—5-8-98.

Revenue (1898) Department.

MISCELLANEOUS SERIES.

G.O., No. 2047

DATED 10th May

[Despatch Abstract.]

S. 5170 2-10-97

Stating that the ruling contained in does not apply to Hari Rao's deputation and that Sub. proleu allowances may be passed to the persons who acted in the arrangements consequent on his deputation.

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

Revenue (1898) Department.

CURRENT No. 2100.

Order

From The Board of Revenue Department of Rev. Settlt, Land Records and Agriculture. Madras

No. 1820 Miscellaneous

Dated 12 March 1898.

Received 15 a

Registered 15 a

Enclosures

Spare copies

SUBJECT.

Requesting Government to authorise the Accountant-General to pass sub-protem allowances to the Clerk appointed as a substitute for the one deputed to work under the Indian Famine Charitable Relief Fund Committee.

21/3

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.
	524	3757	
	5770		2-6-97
	6045		12-6-97
		Misc.	

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

(Sett. 22—2,000—11-3 97.)

REVENUE BOARD OFFICE MADRAS

Proceedings of the Board of Revenue

(Revenue Settlement, Land Records and Agriculture),

Dated 12th March 1898.

No. 1820 Miscellaneous

READ

Resolution

In Government order No. 961, dated 16th February 1897, the Board of Revenue, in this Department, was requested to spare the services of a clerk for the Executive Committee of the Indian Famine Charitable Relief Fund, Madras Branch, and it was stated that the clerk selected would be placed on special duty, so as to enable the Board

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.
	752	3757	
	5700		2-8-97
	10455		13-12-97

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

(Estt. 22—2,000—11-3 97.)

REVENUE BOARD OFFICE MADRAS

Proceedings of the Board of Revenue

(Revenue Settlement, Land Records and Agriculture).

Dated 12th March 1898.

No. 1820 Miscellaneous

READ

Resolution

In Government order No 961, dated 16 February 1897, the Board of Revenue, in this Department was requested to spare the services of a clerk for the Executive Committee of the Indian Famine Charitable Relief Fund, Madras Branch, and it was stated that the clerk selected would be placed on special duty, so as to enable the Board

Board to appoint a substitute. Clerk Hari Rao, whose pay was Rs 45, was accordingly deputed and in the arrangements which were consequently made in the office, R. Narayana Rao who was previously drawing Rs 25 was promoted to Rs 40 and told off to perform the arduous duties of the town clerk of the Famine Commissioner, it being understood that he would be entitled to the full pay of the appointment: The Accountant-General, however, refused to pass such protem allowances to this and other clerks in the arrangement, at first on the ground that under Article 110 of the Civil Service Regulations full pay was not admissible unless the appointment lasted more than six months, and when this

120
1-3-77.

Condition was fulfilled, on the ground that under a ruling of Government 1877; only acting allowances were admissible in the case of substitutes for officers on famine duty. The applicability of the ruling of 1877, to the case of appointment made during the recent famine was then under the consideration of Government and it was finally decided that the above ruling should be observed on the present occasion also, but that retrospective effect should not be given to it as such a course might inflict hardship on low paid subordinates. Under this order, clerk Narayana Rao earned to be entitled to the full pay of his appointment at least until the date of Government order 2 October 1877 declaring the ruling of 1877 to be

70 Rs 2-10-97.
45 Rs 13-12-97.

Bo be applicable, but the Accountant
da General held that the effect of the
ac Government order was only to prevent
.me retrenchments being made in cases
in where full pay had already been
wa drawn and not to allow full
pr pay where it had not yet been drawn.
per 2. In the case of Clerk Narayana
cle Rao, the Board beg to submit that
he when appointing him it was under
ap the impression that under Government
G.O. order 964, dated 10 February 1897,
on full pay of the appointment would
ac be available for the substitute,
at and that if it had known before-
to hand that subsistem allowances
-lar were inadmissible under any
Em circumstances, it would have
that made other arrangements so
as to give the Clerk appointed
as tour C.K a large increment to

to his pay than the acting allowance
of Rs 8 per mensem. His work was
of a specially arduous nature as he
had to accompany the Commissioner
on tours through the affected districts
for nearly a year, and considering
the high prices which obtained
in the affected tract and the serious
inconveniences incidental to travelling
in such tracts, the Board considers
that he fully deserves the full pay
of the appointment which he holds
VOR Rs 40 per mensem. In these circum-
-stances the Board requests that
the Government be pleased to autho-
-rise the Accountant-General to
pass subsistem allowances to
Clerk Narayana Rao as a special
case, for the period of his employ-
-ment in the arrangements conse-
-quent on the deputation of a clerk
on

on special duty with the Indian Famine Charitable Relief Fund Committee.

(Lieutenant)

Famine Secretary

To

The Secretary to Government
Revenue Department

V.R.C.
14.3.98.

V.O. 964 Rev. 4
16.2.97

V.O. 139 A Rev. B
5.3.97

Read B. P. 1820, dt. 12.3.98 with (Copy 2 pcs).

Note.

The Provincial Committee of the I.F.C. Rel. Fund having requested the Govt. to assist the Committee with the services of a clerk, the Govt. asked the Board of Revenue to state whether it can spare one from the Settlement Branch. The Govt. further stated that the clerk so deputed will be placed on special duty so as to enable the Board to appoint a substitute. The Board of Revenue having answered in the affirmative, and one of its clerks, T. Hari Rao, B.A., was placed on special duty with the Executive Committee of the F.F.C.R. Fund, Madras Branch.

2. The Board of Revenue now requests sanction to the grant of subsistence allowances to the clerks, per the arrangements consequent on such deputation. Hereto This is permissible under the note to Art. 110 of the C.S.R. inasmuch as the special duty in the case

Br

Jt has lasted for more than six months. But in September last, the Accountant General brought to the notice of Govt that in 1877 the Govt had ruled that only acting allowances should be paid to the substitutes appointed in the place of officers deputed on famine duty and enquired whether it was the intention of Govt that the said ruling should be enforced in the famine of 1896-97. At the same time he pointed out that under the note to Art 110 of the C.S.R. the grant of Sub-pistan allowances were admissible in all cases in which the deputation was for six months or more. The Govt thereupon ruled (please see Sir H. Bliss' letter No. 5170 / 2.10.97) that the ruling of 1877 should be adhered to. But Sub pistan allowances had in most of the cases been drawn already, and as a retrenchment in Govt expenditure ~~by giving retrospective effect to the order of 2nd October~~ would fall hardly on poor paid subordinates, the G.O. of 2nd October was not given a retrospective effect.

C

C.O. 1045
13.12.97

3. In the Board's office, however, the person who acted in the arrangement consequent on the deputation of a clerk to work under the Madras Committee of the I.F.C.R Fund, did not in the first instance draw any Sub pistan allowances because it was not known that the special duty will last for six months or more; but when this period expired, the Accountant General declined to pass such allowances with reference to the ruling of Govt referred to in para 2 above. (It is somewhat doubtful if the deputation of the clerk on special duty to work under the I.F.C.R. Fund Committee, Madras can be held to be deputation on famine duty and as such falling within the ruling in question.)

4. The person primarily affected by the A.G's ruling is the Head clerk of the Commission (famine). He was getting Rs 25, and was promoted to Rs 40 in the arrangement

arrangement and was posted to do duty as town clerk under the Famine Commissioner. He accompanied the Commissioner on tour through the affected districts and it is reported that his work was of a specially arduous nature. The Board of Rev. therefore considers that he fully deserves the appointment which he holds, viz; Rs 40. The clerk draws an additional emolument of Rs 3 per mensem as acting allowance; but he was appointed to post the post under the impression that full pay would be admissible and the Board of Rev. states that had it been known that this was not admissible, it would have made other arrangements so as to give the town clerk a larger increment to his pay than the acting allowance. The Board of Rev. accordingly requests that subsistence allowance may be authorized to be paid to the clerk as a special case.

The post of 2nd class is no account retrospective effect being allowed

and having regard to the fact that the persons who acted in forming depôt arrangements in the affected districts had already drawn subsistence allowances which the Govt. has decided not to retrench, the Board's recommendation may, it is submitted, be approved, as it would be as well to authorize the A.C.s to pass sub-sistence allowances in the arrangements consequent on the deputation of the clerk to work under the Provincial Committee of the D.F.C.R. Fund, so that all persons in the arrangement may benefit. The increased cost will not be considerable as the clerk on Rs 40 acting on Rs 45 will get the full pay whether he is acting or not, so also the clerk on Rs 20 acting on Rs 25; while the clerk on Rs 25 acting on Rs 20 will get an increase of Re 1. if the arrangement be made for three months.

4/4/98. Secy

I submit the case of Hari Rao with Guilt dissent from

1.4.98

the general case of a Govt. servant deputed by Govt for famine duty. The ruling in G.O. 5170 d 21-10-97 clearly applies only to deputations in Govt. service.

Hari Rao may if he so wishes be considered to have been on Special duty, and those acting in his case will be entitled to Spl. allowances.

Ille
3-/4/98

Is there any objection in the Fin. Dept.

S.R. 26/4/98

Fin Dept

Read in Fin. 28/4/98.

Fin Dept

No objection

AA 2.5.98

Cmb
29.4.98

Rev. Dept. w.p.

Draft answering

S/By/98

B.P. 1820 / 12-3-98 (Con. 2100)

G.O. mis. No 2047 d/ 10.5.98

The Govt considers that the ruling in G.O. 5170 dated 2-10-97 does not apply to Hari Rao's deputation on special duty to work under the S. Ft. C. R. Fund Committee, Madras. Sub. pro tem allowances may be passed under the rule to Art. 110 of the C.S.R. to the persons who have acted in the arrangements consequent on this deputation.

Ille
5.5.98

RS
6-5-98

S.Ft. 10/5/98

I note that the A.G. and Board Hari Rao's employment under the D.F.C.R.

S/

To the Board of Rev (Rev. Sett)
" Accountant General.

Wended 12/5/98

When given for copying ——
When copied ——
When examined ——
When signed ——
} 189 .

READ AGAIN G.O., No. DATED
189 ,

CURRENT No.

ENCLOSURES TO C. No.

ORDER OF GOVERNMENT .. .. .. A A A A A A A

LETTER OF GOVERNMENT.

STATEMENT WITH DITTO.

PAPERS NOT FILED UP, BEING MEANT FOR DESPATCH IN ORIGINAL.

N.B.—
A = Copy in full.
B = Not to be copied.
P = Copy abstract only.
Q = Copy heading only