0/9 8,000—5-8-98.

15928/ 9-7-98

Revenue (1898) Department.

MISCELLANEOUS SERIES.

G.O., No. 1935

DATED 3rd May

[Despatch Abstract.]

Requesting the Board, with remarks, to call upon the Collector of Salem to report whether it would be more economical to build at once a Taluk Cutcherry on the Standard Plan.

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.
	486		2. 2. 99.				

Revenue (1898) Department.

CURRENT No. 2257.

Read W.P. Ref M307 dt 17 Mar 98

Order Mins No. 1935 dt 3.5.98.

1/5/98

The present Taluk cutcherry may seem ill arranged & will not afford the Tahsildar & his establishment the accomodation provided in them ~~according to~~ the Standard design even if ~~offic~~ a separate office is built for the Stipendiary & his establishment.

2. The Board is accordingly requested to call upon the Collector to report whether it would not be more economical to build at once a Taluk cutcherry on the Standard plan which will accommodate ~~adequately~~ both the

From The Board of Revenue, Land Revenue, Madras

REF. No. 507.

Dated 17th March 1898

Received 19 "

Registered 21 "

Enclosures 3

Spare copies

SUBJECT.

Submg. to Govt. letter from the Collr. of Salem & recommending that orders may be issued in the P.W.Dept. for the preparation of an estimate for constructing a new office on the standard design for the Stationary Sub. Magistrate at Salem.

Taluk Cutcherry & the Sub-magisterial
need to hand over the
Existing building
to the Police as
suggested by the Executive
Engineer in para 6 of
his letter dated 97
(No 924/M)

11th
28/4/98

For the Bd LR
with Secretary
27.4.98

Dept
6.5.98.

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

REGISTER No. 1577 / Criminal

Salem COLLECTOR'S OFFICE,
15th February 1898.

17-2-98

FROM C. H. Monsey ESQ.,
COLLECTOR OF Salem

To
THE SECRETARY TO THE
COMMISSIONERS OF Land Revenue
Madras

SIR,

I have the honour to propose that for the following reasons a new office on the Standard design may be built for the Stationary Sub. Magistrate of Salem as soon as funds are available.

2. The Sub-magistrate holds his office in a hall of the Taluk Cutcherry where the Tahsildar used to hold his Court before and which contains the dais etc:. The Sub-magistrate's Clerks also occupy the same hall. The Tahsildar sits in one of the small wing rooms opening out into the verandah and has to be out of the view of his establishment. He is put to much inconvenience by this arrangement which is equally incompatible to the Sub. magistrate and his establishment. I enclose a ground plan of the build-

Executive Engineer's Office
1st October 1897

No: 824/M.

From

H. H. O'Connell Esq:
Executive Engineer
Salem Division

To the Collector of
Salem

Sir,

With reference to your no: 1697/Cur dated 28.9.97, I have the honour to State that I inspected the Taluk Cutcherry and find the building Sound. The accommodation however appears to be limited.

2. In the Standard design for a Taluk Cutcherry the area allowed for Tahsildar and his establishment is 1609 Square feet with record room of 960 Square feet.

3. The Salem Tahsildar has o...

3. The Taluk Cutcherry is not one built for the purpose on any Standard design and the accommodation in it is limited. The Tahsildar has now only 724½ Square feet for himself and his establishment, ie, excluding the record room, and if the hall occupied by the Stationary Sub. magistrate were added to it, it would increase the Space available for the Taluk Establishment to 1249 Square feet against 1609 Square feet allowed in a type design building (vide Copy of the Executive Engineer's letter enclosed). It is therefore necessary that the Stationary Sub. Magistrate's office should be removed to another building at an early date. That the Gumastahs and the public resorting to the office for transacting treasury business etc: should be huddled together is not only undesirable from the Sanitary point of view, but also in the interests of the work itself.

1679
REVENUE DEPT.
Encl. No. 1
Cur. No. 7316

I have the honour to be
Sir
Your most obedient Servant

C. Mowbray
Ag: Collector

pied by
16.2.98 22.97
on 16/2

2/8.7.98

Reference on *No.* *of* 1898.

In replying the above should be quoted.

(LAND REVENUE.)

REVENUE BOARD OFFICE. MADRAS.

Read letter from C.H.Mounsey Esq.,Collector of ---- Salem to the Secretary to the Commissioners of Land Revenue, Madras, Regr.No.1697 Current ,dated 15th February 1898.

Reference No.507 dated 17th March 1898.

Submitted to Government with the recommendation --- that orders may be issued in the Public Works Department,for the -- preparation of an estimate for constructing a new office on the --- standard design for the Stationary Sub.Magistrate at Salem.The Work may be carried out when funds become available.

Secretary.

To the Secretary to Govt.,R.D.,with letter & enclos.in origl.to be returned.

Q.B.

Read B.P. 307 (C.No 2257)
17-3-98

Note.

With the Pro. read above the Board of Revenue submits to Govt a letter from the Collector of Salem dt 17 Feby 1898 in which he proposes that a new office on the standard design may be constructed for the Stationary Sub Magistrate, Salem as soon as funds are available. The Collector reports that the Sub Magistrate & his clerks now occupy a hall of the Taluk Cutchery where the Sub Judge used to hold his court before and that in consequence the Sub Judge sits in one of the small Living rooms opening out into the verandah and is out of the view of his establishments. He considers that the present arrangement is inconvenient both to the Sub Magistrate & the Sub Judge & that not only from a sanitary point of view but also in the interests of the work itself, the Stationary Sub Magistrate's office should be removed to a separate building at an early

C 29/4/98.
L 5/5/98

date - The Board of Revenue supports the Collector's proposal.

2. In the present building the Darsi room measures 13'×10½' or 136½ sqft against 25'×18'+18'×11' or 648 sqft in the standard design for taluk cutcherries and the accommodation available for the establishment excluding the verandi is 40'×18'+13'×10½' or 856½ sqft against 18(20¾'+16'+24'+10'+10') or 1453½ sqft in the standard design. The accommodation provided for the stationary Sub Magistrate this clerks is 40'×18' or 720 sqft against 25'×18'+12'×16'+25½'×16' or 1050 sqft in the standard design for stationary Sub Magistrate's office. It will be seen from the above that the present building cannot hold both the offices & that a separate building should be constructed for the stationary Sub Magistrate as proposed by the Collector & the Board of Revenue in order that more accommodation may be made available for the Darsildar & his establishment.

3. In para 4 of his letter of 1st October 97 (copy attached with the current) the Executive Engineer suggests that the present building be made over eventually

for the Police Superintendent's office & that a new taluk cutchery be built in the compound of the present Police Superintendent's office. This suggestion does not appear to have been considered either by the Collector or by the Board of Revenue. The cost of a first class taluk cutchery according to the type design with tiled roofing is about Rs 27,000 (vide page 24 of the estimate attached with D.O. 19 September 93 Rs 2393 W.) while that of a stationary Sub Magistrate cutchery is Rs 8,290 (vide page 9 of the estimate attached with D.O. 18 November 95 Rs 4645 Mis). The type design for first class taluk cutcherry provides accommodation for the stationary Sub Magistrate's office also (vide B.P. in D.O. 27 June 94 Rs 1417 W.) - If the present Darsildars office at Valem which does not seem to be well suited is to be abandoned eventually it will be more economical to construct at once a new first class taluk cutchery instead of a new stationary Sub Magistrate's cutchery. Submitted that before accepting the Board's proposal to construct a new office for the Sub Magistrate a report may be called for from the

the above point.

C.R.
7/4/98. Secy

This point might be
reported on; but at the same
time it must be remembered
that it will be
impossible to find the 8290 Rs
required for a Sadr magistrate
Office in the near future
(it will be much more
difficult to provide the
29,000 Rs required for a
Dewani Adalat & Collectory. State, Salem
is an important place
and should, if possible
have a proper Sadr and
plain Taluk cutcherry

Vergwell 8/4 28/4

Ulle
13/4/98

Secy
Please see
draft endorsed
Ulle
28/4/98

Hon
Please see draft for
approval.
28.4.98

When given for copying
When copied
When examined
When signed
} 189 .

READ AGAIN G.O., No.
189 ,
DATED

CURRENT No.

ENCLOSURES TO C. No.

ORDER OF GOVERNMENT .. .. .. A A A A A A A A

LETTER OF GOVERNMENT.

STATEMENT WITH DITTO.

PAPERS NOT FILED UP, BEING MEANT FOR DESPATCH IN ORIGINAL.

N.B.—
A = Copy in full.
B = Not to be copied.
P = Copy abstract only.
Q = Copy heading only.