Revenue (1898) Department.

MISCELLANEOUS SERIES.

G.O., No. 1913

DATED 2nd May

[Despatch Abstract.]

Stating that the Govt: sees no reason to interpose on behalf of their late masalchi of the Collector's office, South Arcot, appealing against his dismissal from the above post

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

Revenue (1898) Department.

CURRENT No. 2469

2/3/98

Order misc. No. 19138/2.5.95.

29/4/98

The Govt. see no reason to interfere in the petr's behalf.

2/4/98

To the BR (L.R.) with 8 encls. Dupe 6.5.98

To the petr

11/4/98

re receipt.

From the Board of Revenue (Land Revenue) Madras.

Rg. No. 43.

Dated 24th March 1898.

Received 28 "

Registered 29 "

Enclosures 9

Spare copies

SUBJECT.

Submitting to Government petition from Sheik Khan, late Thasaldhi of the Collector's office, South Arcot, appealing against his dismissal from the above post.

K.J. 2/3/98

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

L. M. W.
COLLECTOR'S OFFICE
5 MAR 98
SOUTH ARCOT

41
acc. pet. 3/98

To.

His Excellency the Governor in Council
Fort Saint George
Madras.

May it please your Excellency

The humble appeal petition of Sheik Khan late Masalchi of the Collector's office South Arcot against the orders of the Board of Revenue declining to interfere with the Collector's order dismissing him from the Masalchi's post.

2. I was dismissed the service on two charges brought against me by the Treasurer viz:-

(1) That I temporarily misappropriated annas 10 by charging a higher rate for nails than what I really paid for

(2) That I took leave on a false plea

3. Regarding the 1st charge I most respectfully beg to submit that the facts of the case are as follows. On the 29th May 97 the Treasurer gave me Rs 3 to purchase 2 viseas of nails

As I had other pressing business I entrusted the whole amount to my cousin to purchase them. He purchased the nails and gave them to me with a balance of Rs 1-6-0 stating that they were purchased at the rate of annas 12 per viss. The balance of Rs 1-6-0 as well as the nails were delivered to the cash Keeper on the next day. He remarked that the price was very dear and when I told him that the nails were not purchased by me but by my cousin he asked me to ascertain the real price and to strike the bargain at a cheaper rate. Thereupon I threatened my cousin and asked him the real price whereupon he became frightened and told me that the nails were purchased at 8 annas a viss giving back at the same time annas 10. I then returned the amount to the cash Keeper who was then fully satisfied with my explanation of the real facts. It will thus be seen that I had no hand in the business and that I was duped by my cousin whom I believed entirely.

4. As regards the 2nd charge the

facts of the case remained at home
that my mother was serious ill I left
Bhuvanagiri and I went away to that
place putting an application for casual
leave for the 29th & 30th June 97. I brought
my mother back to Cuddalore and attended
the office on 1-7-97. The Cash Keeper questioned
me and stated that my father-in-law told
him that I did not go to Bhuvanagiri but
remained at Cuddalore. As I am in bad terms
with my father-in-law on account of some
family disputes he is the last man to
know anything about my family or other affairs.

5. Thereupon the Treasurer took a state-
-ment from me which were to the above effect
I never admitted to him that I gave a false
statement to get leave. But on account
of some misunderstanding which God alon
knows he recorded statements which I never
told him but which suited his object. I hei
a Mahomedan and he again, he seemed
have thought that he would be followed
my remaining in the office and wa
to get rid of me. On the full belief

would not have [illegible] at home
never told him, I quietly sign
statements without asking them to be
read to me.

6. It is for your Excellency to take
into deep Consideration the fact that had
be any shadow of suspicion in my
explanation regarding the mails affair,
he would not have kept quiet for more
than a month without reporting the
matter to his higher authorities. He admit
the bill and drew the amount on a contingen
bill. Then after a lapse of about 6 weeks,
reported all on a sudden that I temporar
misappropriated the Government money
Why he did not report and why he kep
quiet for 6 weeks, God alone knows. It
will thus be clear that the Cash Keeper
statement that I misappropriated
Government money is not true.
regards the leave affair, I beg to sub
that had my intention been to s
off work and to remain at home a
reported against me, I could ha

of your Excellency's perusal the Board's order appealed against, the Collector's order and other papers connected with my case.

I beg to remain,

Your Excellency's

Most obedient and humble Servant.

5th March 1898

Reference on *No.* *of* 1898.

In replying the above should be quoted.

(LAND REVENUE.)

REVENUE BOARD OFFICE. MADRAS.

Read — Endorsement of the Collector of South Arcot, No. 41 acc-petn of 98, dated 13th March 1898 on petition from Sheik Khan, late Drasaldhi of the Collector's office, South Arcot, dated 5th March 1898.

Reference No. 43, dated 24th March '98.

Submitted to Government.

2. The petitioner, Sheik Khan, was the Drasaldhi of the Collector's office, South Arcot District till September 1897, when, he was dismissed by the Collector on the following two charges of misconduct:—

(1) having falsely stated the price of nails which

8

1

2469

which he had been asked to buy, as 13 annas a viss, while they had only cost him 8 annas a viss, and

(2) having falsely stated, as a ground of applying for leave, that his brother was ill at Bhuvanagiri.

3. Against his dismissal the petitioner appealed to the Board, which, in its Proceedings 21 2 nis: 24-1-98, saw no reason to interfere on his behalf.

4. In the petition received with the Collector's endorsement read above, the petitioner appeals to Government against this Board's Proceedings, but urges no grounds in his defence, which were not before the Board when it passed the order complained of. The Board has accordingly no recommendation to make on his behalf.

Secretary.

To
The Secretary to Govt. R.D.,
with the Govt. petition and the Collr's
2nd. & one file of enclosures -
all except the Govt. Petn. to be
returned. &c.

Read C. No. 2469 of 1.98.
B.P. (L.R. Fin.) No 43 Dt. 4.3.98.

For orders.

With the above current, the Board of Revenue submits to Govt a second appeal from one Sheik Khan, who was dismissed by the Collector of S. Arcot, Mr Castle. Stuart Stuart, from the post of Mandi in his office on the following two charges:-

(1) falsely stating as a ground for applying for leave, that he had to go to Bhuvanagiri on account of the illness of his mother there;

(2) falsely stating that the price of nails which he was asked to buy was 13 as a viss while it was only 8 as and temporarily misappropriating the sum of as 10, the excess for two visses purchased by him.

Attention is invited to the Collector's Proceedings Dt. 20.9.97, in which the facts of the case are fully set forth.

C 7-4-98. G

The

The first charge was clearly admitted by the petr in his depositn before the Treasurer on the 10th July 1897, but now in his appeal to Govt. he urges that he never made the admission and that owing to some misunderstanding the Treasurer had recorded statements which he never made. | Probably another lie. Ellis

In regard to the 2nd charge the petr's defence is that he did not purchase the nails himself, that one of his relatives | This in itself if credible is ~~under~~ against him. Ellis to whom he entrusted the task has practised a fraud.

The Collector ordered the petr's dismissal saying that such a thoroughly untrustworthy person as the petr could not for a moment be retained in the public service.

The Board on appeal, declined to interfere with the Collector's order and it is submitted that there are no grounds for the interference of Govt.

A draft order is put up for approval on the docket by the current. Ellis 27/11/98

Hon.
No sufficient ground for the Govt to interfere
SBS 27/11/98

When given for copying ——
When copied ——
When examine ——
When signed ——
} 189

READ AGAIN G.O., No. DATED
189 ,

CURRENT No.

ENCLOSURES TO C. No.

ORDER OF GOVERNMENT .. .. .. A A A A A A A

LETTER OF GOVERNMENT.

STATEMENT WITH DITTO.

PAPERS NOT FILED UP, BEING MEANT FOR DESPATCH IN ORIGINAL.

N.B.—
A = Copy in full.
B = Not to be copied.
P = Copy abstract only.
Q = Copy heading only.