Revenue (1898) Department.

MISCELLANEOUS SERIES.

G.O., No. 1892

DATED 29th April.

[Despatch Abstract.]

Approving the recommendation of the Board of Revenue to sanction the survey of the Estate of V. S. Krishnaswami Iyengar proprietor of Soranjeri Chingleput Dt.; and ordering ~~[illegible]~~ that the notification be published in the Fort St. George Gazette.

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

Revenue (1898) Department.

CURRENT No. 2775

Order

From The Board of Revenue, Revenue Settlement Land Records and Agriculture, Madras.

No. 2383 Mis.

Dated 4 " April 1898.

Received 7/4

Registered 8/4

Enclosures 4

Spare copies

SUBJECT.

Submitting to Government, Revenue Department, papers relating to an application made by V. D. Krishna Swami Iyengar, proprietor of Sarangeri, Chingleput District requesting to have his estate Surveyed at his own Cost and recommending that the Survey of the estate may be sanctioned.

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date

RECEIVED IN THE SURVEY OFFICE ON 25 MAY. 97 MADRAS

TO

E. GIBSON ESQUIRE,

Secretary to Government,

REVENUE DEPARTMENT.

The humble petition of V. S. KRISHNASAWMY AIYANGAR, *Proprietor of Soranjeri and Ooragadam Estates in the Taluqs of Saidapet and Conjeeveram respectively, Chingleput District.*

MOST RESPECTFULLY SHEWETH.

1. That Petitioner is the proprietor of the Mittah of Soranjeri in the Taluq of Saidapet, Chingleput District, comprising the six villages of 1 Soranjeri, 2 Ayalcheri, 3 Sekkadu, 4 Nedunjeri, 5 Pirayampattu, 6 Annaikutcherri and the Shotrium village of Ooragadam in Conjeeveram Taluq with a total area of about 4,000 acres.

2. That Petitioner pays annually to Government a peishcush of Rupees 3,031-12-9, in addition to which he also pays to the Local Fund Board of the District, Road cess amounting to Rupees 300 a year.

3. That as the villages aforesaid have not been regularly surveyed and demarcated, Petitioner as Land-lord finds it not only difficult but almost impossible to ascertain the extent of the holdings of his tenants for the purpose of fixing with any approach to accuracy the rent payable to him (Petitioner) every year by the several tenants as well as for ascertaining the actual amount of the Road cess payable by them.

4. That the present state of things has been a fruitful source of litigation not only among the tenants inter-se but also between the tenants and your Petitioner as well as between the tenants and the several Inam holders in his Estates.

5. That in consequence of the want of Boundary Marks your Petitioner is unable to state with any accuracy the extent of the holding of each tenant in the several puttahs which the petitioner is bound to tender under Act VIII of 1865.

6. That your Petitioner submits that in the interests of good Government, the prosperity of the Land-lord and the well being of the Ryot a scientific and accurate survey of all the permanently settled Estates by Officers of Government duly qualified, is desirable and even necessary. It will not only obviate the several disadvantages and difficulties under which Land-lords labor at present in consequence of the want of reliable statistics but will also reduce the mass of petty litigation which now impedes the regular work of Courts.

7. That your Petitioner is ready and willing to undergo all the expenses incidental to the survey and demarcation of his estates.

8. That your Petitioner on the 15th February 1888 petitioned the Collector of Chingleput praying that for the reasons mentioned herein his estates might be surveyed and demarcated by the Officers of Government under section 7 of Act XXVIII of 1860.

9. That in reply to the petition aforesaid the Collector was pleased to send Petitioner the following order No. 414 dated 27th March 1888. "The Collector regrets to inform you that the Survey Department are unable to undertake any extra work at present."

10. That your Petitioner on the 20th April 1888 petitioned the Board of Revenue praying that his estate might be surveyed and demarcated by the Officers of Government at Petitioner's expense.

11. That the Board of Revenue after having consulted the Collector of Chingleput were pleased to communicate to Petitioner the following resolution No. 3073 dated 16th May 1888.

RESOLUTION.

(1) The Board ascertained that the Survey Department cannot undertake the work.

(2) Petitioner must therefore be left to make his own arrangement for the survey of his estate.

12. That your Petitioner after receipt of the above proceedings of the Board of Revenue arranged for a survey of his estate by qualified pensioners of Government but on the tenants' refusal to hold a survey thus conducted as binding on them your Petitioner was unavoidably compelled to give up that attempt altogether.

13. That your Petitioner petitioned the Superintendent of Madras Revenue Survey, requesting that he would be pleased to arrange for the Survey of his (Petitioner's) estate on the terms on which Government usually undertakes similar work, to which your Petitioner was told in reply on the 11th April that the Superintendent could not move in the matter without the orders of Government and was instructed to petition the Secretary to Government Revenue Department on the subject, vide his letter No. $\frac{874}{M.}$ dated 11th April 1894, copy herein annexed.

14. That your Petitioner ventures to submit that no sort of objection could possibly be raised or entertained by any party to a survey directed by Government and conducted under its orders by duly qualified Officers appointed for the purpose whose work is certain to be more accurate and less liable to be found fault with on the ground of interest, bias or partiality.

15. That your Petitioner further most respectfully begs permission to submit that without inflicting any loss upon Government or impeding the due performance of regular work a Survey of his Estates which covers only a small area of about six square miles might be undertaken as extra work and completed in the course of the coming Summer Recess.

16. Under the circumstances above set forth your Petitioner most humbly prays that Government would be graciously pleased to take a favorable view of his Petition and confer a lasting boon on him and his tenants by granting his request by directing a Survey of his property to be undertaken at as early a date as the exigencies of Government service permit.

For which act of kindness your humble Petitioner shall as in duty bound ever pray.

SURVEY OFFICE, MADRAS,
11th April 1894.

No. $\frac{874}{M.}$

FROM
THE SUPERINTENDENT,
MADRAS SURVEY.

TO
M. R. Ry.
V. S. KRISHNASAWMI AIYENGAR AVERGUL,
ZEMINDAR OF SORANJERI,
TONDIARPETT.

REFERENCE.

Your letter No. 34 dated 9th April 1894 requesting orders on the subject of your Mittah Villages.

Sir,

I have the honor to inform you that I cannot do anything in the matter without the orders of Government.

I would suggest that you should address the Secretary to Government Revenue Department.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your Most Obedient Servant,
(Signed) H. O. C. CARDOZO,
Superintendent, Madras Revenue Survey.

To.

His Excellency the Governor in Council
through the Secretary to Government
Revenue Department.

The Humble petition of V.S. Krishnaswami
Aiyangar Proprietor of Soranjeri and
Coragadam Estates in the Talugs of Saidapet
and Conjeevaram respectively, Chingleput
District.

Most Respectfully Sheweth.

I That petitioner presented in May 1894
to the Government of Madras a petition
praying that the Government would
be graciously pleased to direct Demarcation
and Survey of his Estate to be undertaken
by the Survey department at the Petitioners
expense.

II Petitioner begs to append to this a print
of the petition above referred to.

III That the Government of Madras were
pleased to pass order (No 2161 dated 2nd
June 1894) on the said petition, expressing
their inability to undertake at that
time the survey of the Petitioners Estates.

1
OR 336/97

2715

II That as for over seven years the Survey Department refused to undertake extra work the petitioner has since with the aid of pensioned Officials of the Survey department, demarcated six out of his seven villages and surveyed four of them completely while two villages have been surveyed in part at considerable Cost.

III The petitioner begs to approach the Government once again through you pointing out, in addition to the numerous advantages stated in his petition to Government, of 10th May 1894 and referred to in paras 1 & 2 hereof one other advantage which would naturally result from an accurate demarcation and Survey of petitioners Estates, (viz) the amount of Road cess and Village Service cess payable can be more correctly and accurately ascertained if the villages be properly demarcated and surveyed.

IV The petitioner humbly prays that Government will be graciously pleased to arrange for the demarcation and survey already made with the aid of pensioned officials, being checked

checked and certified as correct by the Survey Department

and also for demarcating and Surveying the remaining one village and surveying the unfinished portions of the two villages mentioned in para II hereof by the Officers of the survey department at petitioner's expense.

VII Petitioner also most respectfully begs permission to submit that Checking the demarcation and Survey already made will not be so heavy an undertaking — Demarcation and Survey of the Estate by the Survey Department, and that such Checking and certifying as correct by the Survey Department would do away with the necessity of future surveys of the Estates, in addition to making the demarcation and Survey already made authentic and indisputable, as having been examined and found correctly by Competent authority possessing no bias in the matter.

VIII Petitioner in conclusion most humbly prays that Government

to take a favorable view of his Petition and confer a lasting boon on him and his tenants by granting his request by passing the necessary orders prayed for, so greatly in harmony with the policy of Government and so much calculated to secure the welfare of the petitioner and his tenants.

For which act of Kindness Petitioner shall as in bound ever pray.

V. S. Krishnaswami Ayyar
Petitioner.

100+101

Triplicane High Road
Tondiarpet
Madras
18th march 1897

2/pp 3264/97

8

2
2775

To

CHINGLEPUT COLLECTORS OFFICE
13 AUG 1897
RECEIVED

Thumboo Chetty Esquire I.C.S
Collector of
Chingleput

The humble petition of V. S. Krishnaswami Ayyangar proprietor of Draupadi estates in the Talugs of Saidapet Conjeevaram respectively in the district of Chingleput
Most respectfully sheweth.

I That your petitioner begs to the receipt of your honour dated 26th July 1897 Circular survey of his Mittah and villages.-

II That as required in the Communication, the petitioner willing to deposit Rs 124- one thousand two hundred the Government towards Costs of the Survey of

That as however it will not be convenient for him to make the whole deposit in one instalment the petitioner begs permission to do so in two instalments, one moiety Rs 600- in septembernent, and the other moiety in december following.

That in view of the deposit being made by way of the said instalments the petitioner requests that the government will be pleased to undertake the survey as early as possible.

That the petitioner begs to state that if he be permitted to make the deposit in two instalments as above indicated, he may before the second instalment is made, learn how much the Survey department will allow for the work that has been done, and how much therefore in addition to the instalment will be required from him in the second instalment.

That the petitioner believes will not be any likelihood the whole sum of Rs 12[illegible] required. For the expenses the survey, in as much as has already provided [illegible] some forty miles of the six with [illegible] stones, the cost of which believes forms a consi[illegible] portion of the expense and that therefore he is permitted to make the depo[illegible] two instalments, will in any way jeopardize Contribute greatly to the [illegible] of the pe[illegible]

That the petitioner further begs to submit that even if Act IX of 1897 has not yet come into force his request in this petition is in conformity with the principle enunciated in the said Act and that the petitioner will be glad to have the survey of his lands executed and certified under the Act

That accordingly the petitioner begs, that before the survey of his property is commenced the Government will be pleased to direct the issue of a notification of the kind Contemplated in sections (5 & 18) of the said Act, whether or not at the date of commencing the survey the Act is in force.

IX

Under these circumstances the petitioner respectfully that your honour will be pleased to take a favourable of his petition and cause a lasting boon on him his tenants by directing survey of his property to be undertaken at as early as the exigencies of Government service permit.

For which act of kindness your humble petitioner as in duty bound shall ever

10th August 1897
100+101
Trichinopoly Fort Road
Fort Cantonment Madras

V. Krishnaswamy Iyengar

No 555 pet
14/8/97

BOARD OF REVENUE
25 AUG. 1897
RECORDS & AGRI. CULTURE

DESPATCHED
24 AUG. 97

Submitted to the Board of Revenue, Settlement Department, with reference to B. P. dated 19th July 1897, No 5528 Mis.

for summons & notice
Said dept
24.8.97
24/8/97
Issued 24.8.97

To the Secretary to the Board of Revenue
Sett. Dept.

6
3
2775

(Edit. 22—2,000—11-3 97.)

REVENUE BOARD OFFICE
MADRAS

Proceedings of the Board of Revenue

(Revenue Settlement, Land Records and Agriculture).

Dated 19th July 1898.

No. 5528 Miscellaneous.

READ endorsement from the Collector of Chingleput, Reference on No 555 pet of 1897, dated 6th April 1897, submitting to the Board of Revenue for favourable consideration, petition from V. S. Krishnasami Iyengar, proprietor of Sowrayar estate requesting to have his estate surveyed.

Read also the following reference dated 21st May 1897:—

Forwarded to the Superintendent of survey for favour of opinion as to whether he can conveniently arrange to comply with the request of the petitioner, as contained in para VI of his petition.

Read also the following letter from the Superintendent, Madras Survey, No. 252/R, dated 4th June 1897:-

Reference: Board's Proceedings (settlement) Reference on No. 336 dated 21st May 1897, regarding the survey and settlement of Soranjeri Mitta in Chingleput District.

I have the honour to state that if the survey is sanctioned by Government it can be made during 1897-1898.

2. The area for survey is 6 square miles and at the standard rate of 200 Rupees per square mile, the estimated Cost of survey is Rs 1200, including the Cost of stone boundary marks.

Resolution.

Before submitting the papers for the orders of Government, the Collector of Chingleput will be requested to ascertain and report whether the proprietor of Soranjeri Mitta is prepared to defray the Cost of the Survey as estimated by the Superintendent in the second of the papers read above, and to deposit the necessary funds in advance. The proprietor may be informed that the value of any work done in such a manner that the Survey Department has not to do it again will be duly allowed for.

(True Copy and Extract)

(Signed) R. V. Srinivasiar.

Secretary.

To, The Collector of Chingleput.

(True Copy)

R. V. Srinivasiar

Secretary.

Ed Ad 5/11

(Sdt. 22—2,000—11-3 97.)

REVENUE BOARD OFFICE MADRAS

Proceedings of the Board of Revenue

(Revenue Settlement, Land Records and Agriculture),

Dated 4th April 189 8.

No. 2383 Miscellaneous.

READ ..... again Board's Proceedings No. 5528 dated 19th July 1897.

Copy attached.

Read also endorsement from the Collector of Chingleput, Reference on C. No 555 Petition, dated 24th August 1897, submitting the petition of V. S. Krishnaswamy Iyengar, Proprietor of Sorunjeri requesting to have his estate surveyed at his own Cost.

Resolution.

The papers read above relating to an application made by the proprietor of the Sorunjeri

4
2710

Soranjeri Estate in the Conjeevaram Taluk of the Chingleput District for the survey of the said estate, will be submitted for the orders of Government.

2. The estate is reported to comprise 6 mitta and one — Shrobiam village as noted in the margin.

Mittas.
1. Soranjeri
2. Ayalcheri
3. Sekkadu
4. Redmugeri
5. Periampettu
6. Annaikutcheri

Inam.
Boragadam.

3. The Superintendent of Survey has reported that the Survey can be undertaken by his Department and that the Cost will be Rs 1200.

4. The proprietor has consented to deposit the Cost in advance. He, however, states that six out of the seven villages have been already demarcated and four surveyed with the aid of pensioned officials of the survey Department that what has now to be done in respect of these villages is to check and verify the work already done and to carry out the remaining work: that the whole of the Rupees 1200 referred to above is not therefore likely to be required; that he may be allowed to pay one half of the sum viz Rs 600 at first and that before the other half is paid, he may be informed what allowance could be made for the work already done and how much more will be required. The Board considers that these terms may be accepted. It is to be noted that under Section 19 of the new Survey Act, the Cost can be recovered as arrears of Revenue in accordance with Act II of 1864.

4. The Board recommends that the Survey of the estate may be sanctioned and that the necessary notification may be issued in the Fort Saint George Gazette.

(True extract.)

R. B. Sriniwasachi
Secretary.

To, The Secretary to Government,
Revenue Department.
with enclosures.

Ed Ad 5/11

B.P. 2383 / 4-4-98 (Cus 2775)

To Secretary

Please see Board's Proceedings (Current) in which the facts are stated concisely. Orders are requested whether the Survey of the estate may be sanctioned and a notification under Sec 17 of Act IV of 1897 published.

Secy Hare

RS 18-4-98

Please put up draft accordingly

19/4/98

Please see draft on separate sheet

RS 19-4-98

Secy

21-4-98

Hon.

The draft may kindly be approved

22/4/98

27/4/98

cl 15/5/98

B.P. 2388/4-4-98 — (Cus. 2775)

G.O. mis No: 1892 dy 29th April 1898.

~~The petitioners re-~~ the recommendation in para 4 of the B.P read above ~~quest that the Saraujeri Estate may be surveyed by the Madras Survey Dept on the terms offered by him in para 3 of his petition is approved.~~ is approved

~~petition is approved.~~

2. The following notification will be published in the Fort St George Gazette:—

"Whereas the proprietor of the Sorajeri Estate in the Chingleput district has applied to Govt for the survey of the Estate comprising the marginally noted ~~seven~~ Villages, and has consented in writing to defray the entire cost of such survey, H.E. the Governor in Council, in exercise of the power vested in him by Section 17 of the Madras Survey and Boundaries Act (IV of 1897), hereby directs that the survey of the said villages shall be undertaken

1. Sorajeri
2. Ayalcheri
3. Sekkadu
4. Nedunjeri
5. Periampettu
6. Annaikutcherie
7. Coragadam

29
26/4/98

undertaken and Conducted
by the Madras Survey Dept
in accordance with the
provisions of the said Act".

11/5/28

To the Bd of Rev (L. R) & (R.S. & R.W.R.) — Dept. 5.5.28.
Supdt. Madras Survey
Supdt, Govt Press. — Dept. 4.5.28
The Proprietor of the Soraipet Estate.

RS
19-4-28.

hen given for copying
hen copied
hen examined
hen signed
} 189

AGAIN G.O., No. DATED
189 ,

ENT No.

REF G. O. No.

ERNMENT	A	A	A	A	A	A	A
OF GOVERNMENT.								
T WITH DITTO.								
NOT FILED UP, BEING MEANT FOR DESPATCH IN ORIGINAL.								

A = Copy in full.
= Not to be copied.
= Copy abstract only.
Q = Copy heading only.

Secretary.