0/9 8,000—6-9-96.

Revenue (1898.) Department.

MISCELLANEOUS SERIES.

G.O., No. 1881

DATED 28th April

[Despatch Abstract.]

Requesting the Board to Send Mr. Sreenivasa Row's report upon certain proposals of the Collector of Malabar for the entertainment of certain establishment for the Dry Coast appointed for the purpose in Malabar.

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.
				450			

9 P.W.

Revenue (1898) Department.

Railway 2786

CURRENT No. ~~1298.~~ 457

4-2-98

From the Board of Revenue, (Land Revenue)

Endorsement R. No. 619d/26th March 1898

Order

Transferred to the Public Works Department (Ry Branch).

Ref: No. 316.

Ag Secretary to Govt

Dated 18th March 1898.

Received 21 ~~2~~ 7/4

Registered 22 ~~2~~ 8/4.

Enclosures 2

Spare copies

Recd 30.3.98.

SUBJECT.

Submitting for the sanction of Government letter from the Collector of Malabar submitting proposals for the entertainment of Special Establishments for the Dz: Coll-s appointed for Railway purposes in Malabar.

To The P. W. D (Ry Branch).

(R.) 24 March 98.

No: 150 R. Mdy 6-4-98.

Sec. Rev. Dept.

It is not possible for my office to forego the opportunity of such an extract. I glance at the Note below will show how far such criticism must be. There is nothing to go on except comparison with

93.3.98

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

The Estimate Jurymen in sanctioned for similar work elsewhere, and such a comparison cannot but be possible because the local conditions differ very greatly, and differ to a way of which my Office can have no real knowledge. It is a question of land tenure, of the average number of sub tenures, of the average size of plots, of whether individuals then are or are not worth counting, &c &c, of which nobody except a Collector, or the Board, or the Rev. Dept. can have any real knowledge.

If Juries of sanction is to rest in the Dept. as it ought I think to rest, as we provide the money, I have no reasons but to sanction whatever the Board send up, because any criticism of it on my part would have no real or personal basis.

May I ask that in sending up all such estimates the Rev. Dept. will kindly say whether in their opinion they are suitable. If they say yes this Dept will sanction unhesitatingly. If they think the estimate wrong, will Rev. Dept. take the matter in hand until they have got such an estimate out of the Collector or Board that they are satisfied with.

J.K.S.

5/9/98

Statement of Proposition for Revision of Establishment.

Order Sanctioning present Establishment. Government of Department. No.	Date.	Office to which the Proposition refers.	NATURE OF CHARGE. Present Scale. No.	Designation.	Pay. Minimum. Rs.	A.	P.	Maximum. Rs.	A.	P.	Proposed Scale. No.	Designation.	Pay. Minimum. Rs	A.	P.	Maximum. Rs.	A.
												Establishment for the Special Deputy Collector for the acquisition of land					
		Assistant Sub-divisional Establishment.									1	Head Clerk				40	-
											1	2d Clerk				25	-
											1	3d Do.				20	-
											1	Attender				10	
											2	Peons on Rs 7 each				14	-
											1	Masalchi				6	-
											4	Revenue Inspectors on Rs 50 each				200	-
											8	Peons on Rs 6 each				48	-
											19	Total				363	-

PROPOSITION. Permanent. Increase per month. Amount. Rs.	A.	P.	Decrease per month. Amount. Rs.	A.	P.	Temporary. Increase per month. Period.	Amount. Rs.	A.	P.	Decrease per month. Period.	Amount. Rs.	A.	P.	Grounds of Proposition.	Local Government's recommendation.	ORDERS OF THE GOVERNMENT OF INDIA. Department. Remarks.	Financial Department. Remarks.	Financial Department. Orders.
						Establishment for	40	-	-									
							25	-	-									
							20	-	-									
							10	-	-									
							14	-	-									
							6	-	-									
						one year from date of	200	-	-					Vide letter No: on C. No. 270 dated 11-3-98.					
							48	-	-									
							363	-	-									

Revenue Board Office (Land Revenue)
18th March 1898.

To

The Secretary to Government
~~through the Accountant Jr:~~
dat

Rev. Dept ~~Department~~,
~~ent~~, with reference to G.O., No. 1695,
dated the 23rd September 1878, Financial Department.

(Officer's Designation)
(Signature) W D Swamikanna

21 MAR 1898
Asst Secretary

Reference on *No.* *of* 1898.

In replying the above should be quoted.

(LAND REVENUE.)

REVENUE BOARD OFFICE. MADRAS.

Read letter from G. W. Dauce Esqr. A.C.S. — Collector of Malabar, to the Secretary to the Commissioner of Land Revenue, Madras, Ref. on C. No. 270/B.G.98, dated 11th March 1898.

Reference — No. 316, dated 18th March 1898.

Submitted for the sanction of Government.

Reeves
Secretary.

To The Secretary to Govt.
R. D. with Coll.rs letter in orig.
to be returned with proposition
Statement

Secretariat Table 150 P.M.
6/9/58

The following establishment has been sanctioned for different Rs.

Villupuram – Pakal

			miles
1. Clerk	@ 40 –	40	138·35
1 "	@ 20	20	
		60	

Arcot Ramipet

			miles
1. Head clerk	@40.	40	4·35
1 "	@20	20	
2 Salalas	@7	14	
1 Mesalchi	"	5	
		79	

Ongole Divn BNR.

				miles
1. Head clerk	@ 50	—	50	
1 "	"	30	— 30	
2 "	"	20	— 40	
1 Attender		10	— 10	
3 Peons	@ 7	T.A	21	
Rest. Inspector		45+15	— 60	
2 Peons		7	— 14	
			225	

Section Lr

		miles
Ex H quarters	225	
1 Bungalow	6	
	231	
Basin Bridge Divn	231	
Perambur Lr	231	
Arcot Ramipet	231	

Office: The establishment applied for seems excessive: Will it include a complete list of officials for other sections of BNR and Madras-Guntur

JWF 21/5

D.

Necessity is the same in all the divisions except in Ongole where no mistakes was provided:- Necessity for difficulty about getting the mileages as the divisions for land acquisition are 4 while the existing divisions are 3. The mileage from Bezwada including the Guntur section is 231 miles which will be divided equally into 4 divisions whereas the existing is 58 miles for each Divnl Engr. Consent.

JWF
15/4/58

SE

The proposed establishment 363/- seems excessive when compared with previous sanctions but there may be local peculiarities about Madras Coast which make land acquisition more difficult.

JWF 5/4

470R dt 7.6.88
634R dt 7.8.88
1078R dt 14.12.88
3465C dt 6.11.94
674R dt 10.12.94
611R dt 25.9.95
735R dt 6.11.95
783R dt 19.11.95
3643C dt 25.8.97
3644C dt 25.8.97
3810C dt 10.9.97
357 Rly dt 2.3.98

From the P.W.D. (Rly) No 158 Rly 6-4-98 (C.R. 2786).

Secretary.

With its Proc Ref No. 316 dt 18-3-98, the Board submitted for the sanction of Govt a letter from the collector of Malabar ~~proposing to sanction the entertainment of~~ certain establishment for the Special Dy Coll appointed to acquire lands for the calicut-cannanore Railway. As the establishment was to be paid out of the P.W.D funds, the proposal was transferred to the Public Works Dept (Rly Branch) The Secy of that Dept now writes to say that it is not possible for his office to gauge the sufficiency or otherwise of the establishment

proposed; that in such cases, there is nothing to go upon except comparison with establishments sanctioned for similar works elsewhere and that such a comparison cannot but be puerile because local conditions differ very greatly and "it is a question of land tenure, of the average number of subtenures, of the average size of plots, of whether individual trees are or are not worth counting &c., of which nobody except a ~~collector~~ collector or the Board or the Revenue Dept can have any real knowledge". He further states that "if power of sanction is to vest in the (P.W.) Dept, as it ought I think to vest as we provide

the money, I should recommend but to sanction whatever the Board send up, because any criticism of it on my part would have no real or reasonable basis". He accordingly requests that in transmitting proposals for the entertainment of establishment for purposes of land acquisition, the Revenue Dept will say whether they are suitable, ~~disapprove~~ and if not, get suitable proposals from the collr and the Board before transmitting them to the P.W.D.

2. The request of the Public Works Dept that the Revenue Dept should scrutinize the Collector's proposals seems reasonable. It has to be considered

~~has to be~~
~~[illegible]~~
whether the establish
ment proposed by the
Collr in the case in question
~~exists~~ may be
recommended to the
PWD for sanction
It consists of
outdoor
4 Spl Rev Despts on Rs 50 each pm.
4 peons at Rs 6 each for the Spl Despts
office
1 Head clerk on Rs 40
1 Second clerk on Rs 25
1 third clerk on Rs 20
1 attender on Rs 10
2 peons on Rs 7 each
1 masalchi on Rs 6
4 peons for service of
processes on Rs 6 each
Total cost Rs 363.
The Collr ~~states~~ says that
it cannot be definite-
ly stated for what
period the above
establishment will
be required and
accordingly requests
that for the present
it may be sanctioned

3 Spl Despts on Rs 50 each
3 peons on Rs 6 -
1 clerk on Rs 20
2 peons on Rs 7 each

for a year.
The Collr also states
that as the Railway
company wanted
the land urgently
he has permitted the
Dy Collr to entertain
some of the hands
in anticipation
of sanction.
3. In the absence
of information as to
the extent of land
to be acquired, the
size of the plots and
the number of cases
and persons to be
dealt with, it is
not possible to say
whether the Collr's
proposals are reason-
able or not. ~~Before~~
~~he does the~~ Board of Revenue may
be directed to obtain information
on the points indicated above
& to favour Government with
its opinion as to whether the
Collector's proposals may be
accepted. Please see Rifl

S.A. 19/4/98.

Submitted below-

C.R.
22/4/98.

Secy

It would be submitted
be sufficient to ask the
Board to scrutinise
the Collectors proposals
& then to report on
their receipt & sufficiency
I have therefore the hon.
hold a draft Q.O. accordingly

Mle
27/4/98

S.K. 28/4/98

B.P. Ref No 316 & 18.3.98
also Subs. Warning
Rly Ref

Read letter Rly Ref No
10 & 316 & 18.3.98

K.M.A.

E.O. Misc. No. 1881 d/ 28.4.98.

~~With ref. to the Rly. Ref. No. 316 d/ 18 March 1898~~ the Board of Revenue is requested to ~~ascertain~~ carefully scrutinise the ~~the extent of land to be~~ Collectors proposals & to ~~acquired the area of the plots~~ report fully at length in their ~~receipt~~ and the ~~no of cases & persons~~ sufficiency ~~to be dealt with~~ and to favour ~~him with~~ their opinion as to whether the Collector's proposals may be accepted.

Mle
27/4/98

S.K. 28/4/98

To the Board of Revenue
(C.R.)
With the encl. to be returned

Desp. 4.5.98.

C.R.
22/4/98

When given for copying ——
When copied ——
When examined ——
When signed ——
} 189

READ AGAIN G.O., No. DATED
189 ,

CURRENT No.

ENCLOSURES TO C. No.

ORDER OF GOVERNMENT	A	A	A	A	A	A	A	
LETTER OF GOVERNMENT.								
STATEMENT WITH DITTO.								
PAPERS NOT FILED UP, BEING MEANT FOR DESPATCH IN ORIGINAL.								

N.B.—

A = Copy in full.
B = Not to be copied.
P = Copy abstract only.
Q = Copy heading only.