Revenue (189 8) Department.

PRESS SERIES.

G.C., No. 184-184A.

Dated 28th March 1898.

[Despatch Abstract.]

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

Revenue (1898) Department.

CURRENT No. 1344

Order

From The Secretary to the Board of Revenue, Land Revenue, Madras

Forest No. 5-9.

Dated 8th February 1898.

Received 16 "

Registered 17 "

Enclosures . 4.

Spare copies

SUBJECT.

Subj. to Govt for approval to draft notification under Sec: 16 of the Forest Act for the constitution of the Kandikuppa block in the Amalapuram Taluq, Godavari dist., as a reserved forest.

S. 9/11.
11.2.98.

BACK PAPERS.

G O.	No.	Department.	Date.
	5116 Lis	29.9.97
	3747 Lis	20.7.97
	3.P 2/5 78 P	18.12.96
	P.D 346 Lis	6.6.98
	6412 Lis	7.10.98
	824 P	11.12.97

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

Arrest Warrant
under Sec 46.

then a straight line to the
starting point.

(5) The temples of Damamma
and Sattammā, with access
by a foot-path 2 yards wi
for men only entering the
Reserve about one chain
North of the village site of
Wellaweva and pursuing in
an almost northerly direct
for about 2 furlongs and
leaving the Reserve about ha
a chain South of the temple
of Damamma:-

Starting from a point
6 chains North-North Eas
of the temple of Damamm
a straight line South Eas
to a cairn 4 chains distan
then two straight lines
South West to a cairn 18
Chains distant, then a
straight line west to a
Cairn 6 chains distant
about 3 chains South Wes
of the temple of Sattamm
then two straight lines
East 14½ chains to a cair
about 4 chains North No
West of the temple of Dam

to a cairn 7 chains distant
then a straight line North-
west to a cairn 3 chains
distant, then a straight li
nearly north to a cairn 5 ch
distant, then a straight li
North-East to a cairn 2½ cha
distant, then a straight li
to the starting point.

The area of the enclosure is 13 acres 1.75 perches

(2) The hamlet of Golla
manda together with the
three wells with access by
path No. I.10 Supra:-

Starting from a cairn
at the foot path from Po
to the village site of Gol
manda, a straight lin
nearly South-East to a cai
3½ chains distant, then a
straight line South-South-
to a cairn 4½ chains dista
then a straight line South-
to a cairn 3 chains dista
then a straight line North
West to a cairn 5½ cha
distant, then a straight l
to the starting point.

The area of the enclosure is 6.70 Acres

(3) The village of Posa
with access by paths No
I.5, 6 and 10 Supra.

Page should be numbered 5 as marked

[illegible] boundary
[illegible] Boarkonda and the
[illegible] abt 2 chains
[illegible] north-west of the
[illegible] with the
[illegible] of Bengal.

May be struck out.

south West parallel to the sea sh
for abt 4 miles, leaves it (near
North West corner of the Neella
Village Limits (hamlet of Por
samedhiem).

(10) The foot and cattle path
from Gollamanda to Pora 2 y
wide :— This enters the Reser
at a point abt 1 chain
North of the village site of Go
manda and running thence
it in an almost north-Westerly
direction for abt one mile be
it abt ½ a chain East of
village site of Pora.

II Right of Navigation over
the undermentioned creeks are
allowed:—

(1) From Kandikuppa to Pa
over the creek called Musara
Kalva

(2) From Pandi to Neellarevu
over the creek called Vaddisal

(3) From Pandi to Pora over t
Creek called Pandi Godavari

(4) From Kandikuppa to Por
over the Creek called Peddireve
Kalva.

thence a straight line ~~course~~ South-West for nearly 9 Chains; thence another ~~East~~ South-East for nearly 14 chains to the Bay of Bengal.

(of the boundary Stones west-

South-East :— Then the sea up to the Brahmasamadhiem Zamindari Limits; then along its Eastern and Northern Surveyed boundary to a point about ~~half a mile~~ two furlongs North East of the village site of Neelavevu, thence a straight line South-West for 22 Chains to a point, thence a straight line South-South-West for 6½ Chains to a point, thence a straight line South-South-West for 28 Chains to a point, thence a straight line South-West by the village site of Neelavevu, thence a straight line South-South-West for 25 Chains to a point, thence a straight line South-South-West for 6½

3 Rasbi off

Draft NOTIFICATION

His Excellency the Governor in Council is pleased to declare under the provisions of section 16 of the Madras Forest Act V of 1882 that from the 189 . the area, the boundaries of which are set forth in the Schedule appended to this Notification shall be constituted "Reserved Forest."

District.	Taluk.	Name of Forest.	Boundaries.	Remarks.
1	2	3	4	5
Godavari District.	Amalapuram Taluq.	Kandikuppa Reserve.	North-West:— Starting from a village boundary stone on the Eastern Surveyed boundary of Chinnayanam, about quarter of a mile South of the village site of Lotukaluva, hamlet of Kandikuppa a straight line North-East to a point 3 chains East of the Southernmost corner of S.No 640 of Kandikuppa; then a straight line North-East to a point 1½ furlongs East of the great Trigonometrical Station which is situated near the Western most corner of S.No 627 of Kandikuppa; then a straight line in nearly the same direction to the village boundary stone about 12 chains North-East of the Eastermost corner of S.No. 647 of Kandikuppa; then a straight line North East by East to the trijunction of Kandikuppa, Pora, and Guttinadivi and the forest block. North East:— Thence the Southern Surveyed boundary of Guttinadivi to a point about half a furlong north by west of the shrine of Molletimoga; then three straight lines to exclude the shrine and the village site of Molletimoga, the first running nearly South for about 25 chains, the second, South-East by East for about 29/18 chains and the third North-East by North for about 9/14 chains to a point on the aforesaid village boundary about 7 chains East-North East of the village site of Molletimoga, then again the Southern Surveyed boundary of Guttinadivi ~~to the Bay of Bengal~~. X X (to a point nearly 2 chains west-South-west of G.J. Station 1 about 28 chains north-east of the north east corner of Kurumalur Enclosure of the Reserve	I. The following public rights of way are allowed:— (1) Foot path 2 yards wide for men only from Chinnayanam to Pandi— This enters the Reserve at Pandi garuvu (a place so called) and runs in a North-East for about 2 miles to Pandi. (2) Foot path 2 yards wides for men only from Lotukalu to Pandi - This enters the Reserve ½ a furlong East of the village site of Lotukaluva hamlet of Kandikuppa, and running South-East and North-East for about 1¾ miles joins the foot path no 1 Supra. (3) Foot-path 2 yards wide for men only from Kandikuppa to Pandi. This enters the Reserve about — 7 chains North East of the North East corner of S.No 6[illegible] of Kandikuppa and /

thence a straight line South-East (for men only) 9 chains; thence another South-East (for men only) 14 chains to the Bay of Bengal.

(of the boundary Stones west)

South-East:— Then the S
Zamindari Limits; then ala
Surveyed boundary to a f
of the village site of Mollamoga,
Then a straight line South East (for Chains 50 links)
to a Cairn, then a straight line to the same direction
to a Cairn on the village boundary of Kanamkasa
South West:— then again
Surveyed boundary of Chi

South West:— Then again
other Boundary of Cherrayan

for men only from Kandikuppa to Molletimoga. This enters the Reserve about 2 furlongs South West of the trijunction of Kandikuppa, Pora and Guttinadivi and running East South East for about 6½ furlongs leaves it, North West of the village site of Molletimoga.

(8) Foot path from Molletimoga to the Sea Shore two yards wide for men only:- This enters the Reserve East of the village site of Molletimoga and running South-East by the Karuvaka Chakali Huts for about 7 furlongs and crossing foot-path No 9 leaves it on the sea Shore.

(9) Foot and Cattle path 2 yards wide from one arm of the Godavari to the other along the beach:- This enters the Reserve at its Eastern-most corner and after running

(5) From Kandikuppa to Molletimoga over the creek called Kollarevu Kalwa

(6) From Pora to Molletimoga over the creek called Bidaru-Kalwa

(7) From Pora to Seashore over Chikati Kalwa

(8) From Gazaragi Tippa to Pandi over the creek called Nidi Kalwa.

III The following have been ordered to be demarcated out and retained as enclosures within the Reserve free from Reservation:-

(i) The hamlet of Karwalla together with the 4 wells with access by the path no. I 9:-

Starting from a point 2 chains west of the Path way from Molletimoga, a straight line for Map 5 chains distant East to a cairn; then a straight line due South to a cairn 8 chains distant; then a straight line nearly west

Pona :— From a Cairn 1 Chain West of the creek North of the village, a straight line South-East to a Cairn 8½ Chains distant; then a straight line South West to a Cairn 6½ Chains distant, then a straight line West North West to a Cairn 7½ Chains distant, then a straight line North West for about 5 Chains to a Cairn; then a straight line in nearly the same direction for about two chains to a Cairn, then a straight line to the Starting point.

The area of the Enclosure is Acres 1.70

(4) The Village of Pandi with access by paths nos. 1, 2, 3, 4 and 5 supra.

Pandi — From a cairn 8 chains North-East of the path way from Gazzangi Tippa to Pandi, a straight line East-South-East for about 17 chains to a Cairn, then a straight line South-West to a cairn 18 chains distant, then a Straight line West-North-West to a cairn 6½ chains distant then a straight line North-West to a Cairn about 8 chains distant

the ~~ma straight line~~ to the ~~starting~~ point.

IV. Right on behalf of the inhabitants of Molletimoga and Kottapalem, hamlets of Guttinadivi, to the use of the water of spring wells at the Karnvaka beach for domestic purposes is allowed with access by a path No 8.

E A Smith

Forest Settlement Officer

Con.

-of District Forest Officer Godavari.

Comp SH 17.

DFO

DISTRICT FOREST OFFICE
30 JUN 1896
GODAVARI

DRAFT NOTIFICATION.

No. .—His Excellency the Governor in Council is pleased to declare under the provisions of section 16 of the Madras Forest Act (V of 1882), that, from the 189 , the area, the boundaries of which are set forth in the schedule appended to this notification, shall be constituted "reserved forest."

SCHEDULE.

District.	Taluk.	Name of forest.	Boundaries.
Gódávari district.	Amalapur taluk.	Kandikuppa reserve.	*North-West.*—Starting from a village boundary stone on the eastern surveyed boundary of Chirrayanam, about one furlong south of the village-site of Lotukalva, hamlet of Kandikuppa, a straight line north-east to a point five chains east-north-east of the southernmost corner of survey No. 640 of Kandikuppa; then a straight line north-east to a point one and a half furlongs east of the Great Trigonometrical station which is situated near the westernmost corner of survey No. 627 of Kandikuppa; then a straight line in nearly the same direction to the village boundary stone about twelve chains north-east of the easternmost corner of survey No. 647 of Kandikuppa; then a straight line north-east by east to the trijunction of Kandikuppa, Guttinadivi and the forest block. *North-East.*—Thence the southern surveyed boundary of Guttinadivi to a point about half a furlong north by west of the shrine of Molletimoga; then three straight lines to exclude the shrine and the village-site of Molletimoga, the first running nearly south for about twenty-five chains, the second east by south-east for about twenty-nine chains and the third north-east by north for about nine chains to a point on the aforesaid village boundary east-north-east of the village site of Molletimoga; then again the southern surveyed boundary of Guttinadivi up to a point nearly eight chains west-south-west of G. T. Station and about twenty-eight chains north-east of the north-east corner of Karuvaka enclosure demarcated within the reserve; thence a straight line ~~east by~~ south-east for nearly nine chains; thence another ~~east~~ south-east for nearly fourteen chains to the Bay of Bengal. *South-East.*—Then the sea up to the Brahmasamedhiem zemindari limits; then along its eastern and northern surveyed boundary to a point about two furlongs north-east of the boundary stone west of the village-site of Neellarevu; then a straight line north-west for twenty-two chains to a cairn; then a straight line south-west for six chains to a cairn; then a straight line south-south-west for six and a half chains to a cairn; then a straight line in the same direction for eight and a half chains to a cairn; then a straight line south for twenty-five chains to a cairn on the village boundary of Bramhasamedhiem zemindari south-west of the village-site of Neellarevu; then along the northern surveyed boundary of Bramhasamedhiem zemindari to the village boundary stone about twenty-two chains north-east of its village-site. *South-West.*—Then again that boundary and the eastern surveyed boundary of Chirrayanam to the starting point.

I. The following public rights of way are allowed:—

(1) *Foot-path two yards wide for men only from Chirrayanam to Pandi.*—This enters the reserve at Pandigaruvu (a place so called) and running north-east for about two miles leaves it at a point one and a half chains south-east of the south-west corner of Pandi village enclosure.

(2) *Foot-path two yards wide for men only from Lotukalva to Pandi.*—This enters the reserve half a furlong east of the village-site of Lotukalva, hamlet of Kandikuppa, and running south-east and north-east for about one and a three-quarter miles joins the foot-path No. 1 *supra*.

(3) *Foot-path two yards wide for men only from Kandikuppa to Pandi.*—This enters the reserve about seven chains north-east of the north-east corner of survey No. 629 of Kandikuppa and runs south-east for about three-quarters of a mile to Pandi leaving the reserve at a point four chains north-east of the south-west corner of the Pandi enclosure.

(4) *Foot-path two yards wide for men only from Pandi to Neellarevu, hamlet of Brahmasamedhiem.*—This enters the reserve south-east of Pandi village-site and running south-east for about a mile leaves the reserve about fourteen chains west of Neellarevu village-site.

(5) *Foot-path two yards wide for men only from Pandi to Pora.*—This enters the reserve north of Pandi about two and a half chains north-west of its north-east corner and running north-east for about two miles leaves it into Pora at a cairn seven chains east of the westernmost point of the Pora village-site.

(6) *Foot-path two yards wide for men only from Kandikuppa to Pora.*—This enters the reserve about three furlongs south-east of the village-site of Adivimalapalli, hamlet of Dontikurru, and running south-east for about three-quarters of a mile leaves the reserve into Pora enclosure near its westernmost corner.

(7) *Foot-path two yards wide for men only from Kandikuppa to Molletimoga.*—This enters the reserve about two furlongs south-west of the trijunction of Kandikuppa, Guttinadivi and the forest block and running generally east for about six and a half furlongs leaves it about two chains north-west of the shrine of Molletimoga.

(8) *Foot-path from Molletimoga to the sea-shore two yards wide for men only.*—This enters the reserve one and a half furlongs south-east of the village-site of Molletimoga and running south-east ~~by~~ the Karuvaka chakali huts for about seven furlongs and crossing foot-path No. 9 leaves it on the sea-shore.

(9) *Foot and cattle path two yards wide from one arm of the Gódávari to the other along the beach.*—This enters the reserve about three chains north-west of its easternmost corner and after running south-west parallel to the sea-shore for about four miles, leaves it on the eastern boundary of Brahmasamedhiem zemindari about three chains ~~west~~-north-west of its junction with the Bay of Bengal.

(10) *The foot and cattle path from Gollamanda to Pora two yards wide.*—This enters the reserve at a point about one chain north of the village-site of Gollamanda and running through it in an almost north-westerly direction for about one mile leaves it about half a chain east of the village-site of Pora.

II. Right of Navigation over the undermentioned creeks are allowed:—

(1) From Kandikuppa to Pandi over the creek called Musarapadu kalva.
(2) From Pandi to Neellarevu over the creek called Vaddisalapa.
(3) From Pandi to Pora over the creek called Pandi Gódávari.
(4) From Kandikuppa to Pora over the creek called Peddirevu kalva.
(5) From Kandikuppa to Molletimoga over the creek called Kollarevu kalva.
(6) From Pora to Molletimoga over the creek called Bidaru kalva.
(7) From Pora to sea-shore over Chikati kalva.
(8) From Gazangi Tippa to Pandi over the creek called Nidi kalva.

P. T. O.

3
1374

III. The following have been ordered to be demarcated out and retained as enclosures within the reserve free from reservation :—

(1) The hamlet of Karuvaka together with the four wells with access by the path No. I (8) :—
Starting from a point two chains west of the pathway from Molletimoga, a straight line eastwards to a cairn for five chains: then a straight line due south to a cairn eight chains distant; then a straight line nearly west to a cairn seven chains distant; then a straight line north-west to a cairn three chains distant; then a straight line nearly north to a cairn five chains distant; then a straight line north-east to a cairn two and a half chains distant; then a straight line to the starting point.
The area of the enclosure is acres 1·75.

(2) The hamlet of Gollamanda together with the three wells with access by path No. I (10) *supra* :—
Starting from a cairn on the foot-path from Pora to the village-site of Gollamanda, a straight line nearly south-east to a cairn three and a half chains distant; then a straight line south-south-west to a cairn four and a half chains distant; then a straight line south-west to a cairn three chains distant; then a straight line north-west to a cairn five and a half chains distant; then a straight line to the starting point.
The area of the enclosure is 0·70 cents.

(3) The village of Pora with access by path Nos. I (5), (8) ~~and (10)~~ *supra* :—
Pora.—From a cairn one chain west of the creek north of the village, a straight line south-east to a cairn eight and a half chains distant; then a straight line south-west to a cairn six and a half chains distant; then a straight line west-north-west to a cairn seven and a half chains distant; then a straight line north-west for about five chains to a cairn; then a straight line in nearly the same direction for about two chains to a cairn; then a straight line to the starting point.
The area of the enclosure is acres 1·70.

(4) The village of Pandi with access by paths Nos. I (1), (2), (3), (4) and (5) *supra* :—
Pandi.—From a cairn eight chains north-east of the point of entrance of the pathway from Gazangi Tippa to Pandi into the enclosure a straight line east-south-east for about seventeen chains to a cairn; then a straight line south-west to a cairn eighteen chains distant; then a straight line west-north-west to a cairn six and a half chains distant; then a straight line north-west to a cairn about eight chains distant; then a straight line to the starting point.
The area of the enclosure is acres 5.

IV. Right on behalf of the inhabitants of Molletimoga and Kottapalem, hamlets of Guttinadivi to the use of the water of spring wells at the Karuvaka beach for domestic purposes is allowed with access by the path No. I (8) *supra*.

Note.—Of the above, the villages of Pandi and Pora were excluded from reservation prior to settlement, under section 4.

(Signed) S. COX,
Ag. District Forest-officer.

(Signed) E. A. SMITH,
Forest Settlement-officer.

REVENUE DEPARTMENT.

1898.

Board of Revenue

(Land Revenue),

MADRAS.

Printed February th.

Proceedings, Forest No. 59, 8th February 1898.

FEBRUARY.

Submitting to Government, for approval and publication in the *Fort St. George Gazette*, draft notification under section 16 of the Forest Act for the constitution of the Kandikuppa block, in the Amalápur taluk, Gódávari district, as a reserved forest.

PROCEEDINGS OF THE BOARD OF REVENUE

(LAND REVENUE).

READ—again the following Proceedings of the Board of Revenue (Land Revenue), Forest Mis. No. 108, dated 2nd February 1897 :—

Read—the following letter from V. A. BRODIE, Esq., Collector of Gódávari, to the Secretary to the Commissioners of Land Revenue, Madras (through the Conservator of Forests, Northern Circle), dated Cocanada, 20th July 1896, Cur. No. 10 :—.

I have the honour to forward, herewith, letter No. 562, dated 27th June 1896, with all its enclosures from the Forest Settlement-officer, Nellore, &c., districts, submitting his final report on the settlement of the proposed reserve of Kandikuppa in Amalápur taluk.

2. The draft notification under section 16 of the Act has been countersigned by my District Forest-officer in token of his having verified the boundary description, and a statement showing the area, &c., of the proposed reserve is also enclosed.

3. The reservation may be ordered to take effect from 1st October 1896.

ENCLOSURE No. 1.

From E. A. SMITH, Esq., Special Deputy Collector on Forest Settlement Duty, Nellore, &c., districts, to the Collector of Gódávari (through the District Forest-officer, Gódávari), dated Camp, Tripurantakam, 27th June 1896, No. 562.

I have the honour to report the conclusion of the settlement of the proposed Kandikuppa reserve in the Amalápur taluk of your district.

2. The initial notification under section 4 of the Madras Forest Act proposing to constitute this area into a reserved forest appeared in the *Fort St. George Gazette*, dated 18th October 1889, and the proclamation issued under section 6 of the said Act was published in the District Gazette, dated 2nd December 1889. The three months' time allowed for the presentation of claims expired on the 2nd March 1890.

3. M.R.Ry. D. Jagannadha Rao Pantulu Garu was originally appointed to settle this block; then Messrs. J. T. Lever and R. H. L. Lushington; and in notification No. 583 published at page 1241 of the *Fort St. George Gazette*, dated 5th November 1895, I was appointed the Forest Settlement-officer.

4. The reserve was inspected, perambulated and enquired into by M.R.Ry. D. Jagannadha Rao Pantulu Garu and 56 claims were preferred for ten following rights :—

(i) Two claims to cut firewood free from the jungle.
(ii) Forty-five claims to Government patta lands.
(iii) One claim to rights of way over eight foot-paths and to right of navigation over eight creeks.
(iv) One claim to a foot-path.
(v) One claim to a right of fishing in the creeks.
(vi) One claim to a strip of the beach between Kotapalem on the north and Bramhasamedhiem on the south to a length of four miles and to a width of half a mile.
(vii) One claim to a right of way along the beach and to a right to use the water of the spring wells at Karuvaka beach.
(viii) Two claims to exclude two hamlets from the reserve.
(ix) One claim to the temples of Danamma and Sattamma.
(x) One claim to a right of way to the above temples.

The claims to cut firewood free from the jungle were rejected; of the forty-five claims to Government patta lands involved in item No. (ii), 43 claims were admitted and the patta lands have been excluded by an alteration of the boundary of the reserve; the remaining two claims were rejected; the claim to rights of way over eight foot-paths and to right of navigation over eight creeks, the claim to a foot-path and the claim to a foot-path and to a right to use the water of the spring wells at Karuvaka beach involved in items Nos. (iii), (iv) and (vii) were admitted; the claim to a right of fishing over the creeks involved in item No. (v) was rejected; the claim to a strip of the beach involved in item No. (vi) was rejected on the ground that the strip of land claimed belonged to the Government villages and not to the zemindari village of Bramhasamedhiem. This was upheld in appeal suit No. 261 of 1892 on the file of the District Court of Gódávari and the appeal was dismissed with costs. The claims to exclude the two hamlets of Karuvaka and Gollamanda, the claim to the temples of Danamma and Sattamma, and the claim to a right of way to the above temples involved in items Nos. (viii), (ix) and (x), respectively, were admitted and the hamlets and the temples have been ordered to be demarcated out and retained as enclosures within the reserve free from re-ervation.

5. The villages of Pandi and Pora referred to in the remarks column of the schedule attached to notification under section 4 published at page 707, dated 15th October 1889, have also been excluded from the reserve, the same having been ordered to be demarcated out and retained as enclosures within the reserve free from reservation.

6. The patta fields excluded at the settlement have not been shown on the map, as the District Forest-officer, Gódávari, says in his letter R. C. No. 601, dated 10th April 1895, that this cannot be done in the absence of the new village map of Kandikuppa according to recent survey.

7. The reserve is thus free of all rights except those stated above.

8. The following are submitted herewith :—

(*a*) A signed copy of the B. register of claims.
(*b*) The draft notification under section 16.
(*c*) The sketch-map of the reserve.

9. The date from which reservation is to take effect has to be inserted in the draft notification.

ENCLOSURE No. 2.—B. Register.

ENCLOSURE No. 3.—Draft notification.

ENCLOSURE No. 4.—Area statement.

ENCLOSURE No. 5.—Map.

Endorsement by A. W. PEET, Esq., Conservator of Forests, Northern Circle, dated Waltair, 22nd July 1896, R. C. No. 205.

Forwarded.

Resolution—Forest Mis. No. 108, dated 2nd February 1897.

From the sketch-map of the Kandikuppa block, submitted with the letter read above, it would appear that the village-sites of (1) Molleti-moga, (2) Neelarevu and (3) Lotukalva are close to the reserve boundary, being not even half a furlong from the boundary line. The Collector is therefore requested to report whether it is possible to revise the boundary so as to leave an ample margin between the reserve and the village-sites.

2. It is also observed that in the case of the villages and hamlets, &c., damarcated out as enclosures on the sketch-map, the extent of occupied lands, if any, and that of lands allowed for extension of cultivation and other village privileges, have not been given in the settlement records. The omission should now be supplied.

3. The following discrepanices are also noticed in the settlement records and require rectification :—

(i) *Claim No.* 7.—The total extent of the lands dealt with is only 10·88 acres and not 11·18 acres as recorded in the register.

(ii) *Claim No.* 16.—Similarly the total assessment of the lands claimed comes to Rs. 11-2-0 and not Rs. 12-2-0 as given in the register.

(iii) *Claim No.* 48.—Some of the paths have not been described with sufficient precision. Certain pencil alterations have, however, been made in the register and notification so as to accord with the map. These should be verified and amended, if necessary.

(iv) *Claim No.* 51.—It is noted that the access allowed in this claim to the spring wells at Karuvaka is merged in foot-path No. 8 of claim 48. The Forest Settlement-officer should have added a note to this effect in the register so as to make it corresponed with the notification.

(v) *Claim No.* 55.—The exact situation of the temple and the area covered by the enclosure should have been given.

4. With reference to paragraph 6 of the Forest Settlement-officer's report, the patta fields excluded at settlement should be indicated on the sketch-map if the new village maps of the recent survey are now available, and opportunity should be taken to rectify mistakes, if any, on the present sketch-map before re-submission.

5. An early reply is requested.

(True Copies and Extract.)

(Signed) R. C. C. CARR,
Secretary.

To the Collector of Gódávari, with five enclosures
(Forest Settlement-officer's report, B register,
draft notification, sketch-map and area statement),
through the Conservator of Forests, Northern Circle.

READ—also the following letter from V. A. BRODIE, Esq., Collector of Gódávari, to the Secretary to the Commissioners of Land Revenue, Madras (through the Conservator of Forests, Northern Circle), dated Cocanada, 9th September 1897, Dis. No. 440-For. :—

In re-submitting herewith the settlement papers of the Kandikuppa reserve returned for revision with Board's Proceedings, Forest Mis. No. 108, dated 2nd February 1897, I have the honour to reply as follows to the remarks made in the Board's Proceedings in question. These replies are based on the results of the joint inspection of the block made by the Forest Settlement-officer and the District Forest-officer. The block is reported to be a mangrove swamp intersected by creeks and there are consequently no cultivable lands within it.

2. *Paragraph* 1 *of the Board's Proceedings.*—The Forest Settlement-officer reports thus with reference to this paragraph :—

"(1) The village of *Molletimoga* is surrounded on three sides by canals—on the east boundary the reserve line runs somewhat adjacent to the village-site and it has accordingly been removed to a distance of one furlong, the additional space allowed being a piece of land 10 chains broad by 12 chains long.

(2) *Neellarevu* is a zemindari village and the reserve boundary follows the zemindari boundary, but as the temples of Danamma and Sattamma, which are separated from the village by a canal, belong to the zemindari, it has been thought advisable to exclude them from the reserve with an area of about 60 acres, whereby ample space has been left between the reserve and village-site.

(3) *Lotukalva* is a hamlet of Kandikuppa containing 12 houses with a population of 60 persons; it is situated on the margin of the swamp and no revision of the reserve boundary is necessary, as there is a distance of ten chains from the village-site to the reserve boundary on the east side of the village and on the west side a large space of uncultivable land," but as stated above, these three villages are only inhabited by fishermen and there is no land under cultivation.

Paragraph 2 *of the Board's Proceedings.*—There being no cultivation within the reserve or in the villages adjoining it, no land has been allowed for extension of cultivation. The area of lands occupied by the village-sites and the area of the enclosures are now given in the settlement records.

Paragraph 3 *of the Board's Proceedings*—

(*i*) *Claim No.* 7.
(*ii*) *Claim No.* 16. } The discrepancies noticed are now rectified.
(*iii*) *Claim No.* 48.
(*iv*) *Claim No.* 51.—A note has been made in the B. register as directed.
(*v*) *Claim No* 55.—The temples are now excluded from the reserve by an alteration of its boundary.

Paragraph 4 *of the Board's Proceedings.*—If the patta fields excluded at settlement are now to be shown on the sketch-map according to the recent survey, it would entail the preparation of a new map and B. register. As the boundary of the reserve is not, however, affected by the recent survey, the numbers of fields on the sketch-map have not been altered.

3. The Forest Settlement-officer and the District Forest-officer report that, during their inspection, they ascertained that the Gollamanda huts are now deserted, so that the enclosure is no longer necessary. A note to this effect is now made in the B. register. Since the time of the settlement, a temporary lighthouse and two buildings have been erected in the reserve by the Marine Department apparently without any intimation either to myself or the District Forest-officer. The District Forest-officer has referred the matter to the Port officer and the question is now under the consideration of the Government. In its order, Mis. No. 3747, Revenue, dated 20th July 1897, the Government referred the question to the Board of Revenue for report as to whether it would not be better to exclude the ground on which the lighthouse and out-buildings stand temporarily from the reserve under the ruling in paragraph 2 of Government Order, No. 74, Revenue, dated 11th February 1889, and the Board in its turn has referred the matter to me for report (*vide* Reference on Forest No. 2167 of 1897, dated 26th July 1897). I have replied to that reference that I am of opinion that a temporary exclusion may be made as suggested by Government.

4. I very much regret the delay in the submission of my reply which was due to the local inspection that had to be made by the Forest Settlement-officer and the District Forest-officer and subsequent correspondence with the Tahsildar and the Forest Settlement-officer.

ENCLOSURE No. 1.

B. REGISTER of claims to Lands, rights to Forest produce or easements in the proposed Kandikuppa reserved forest block, Amalápur taluk, Gódávari district.

No.	Names of claimants.	Date of presentation of claims.	Nature and extent of claims preferred.	Brief summary of the evidence adduced in support of claims.	Brief summary of the District Forest-officer's arguments and evidence produced by him.	Brief abstract of decision and final disposal of the claims by the Forest Settlement-officer.
1	2	3	4	5	6	7
1	Ganap tiraz Appalaraz, village munsif of Katrinikona in the Amalápur taluk.	9th Feb. 1890.	Claims on behalf of the villagers of Katrinikona right to get firewood free from the Mada jungle belonging to Kandikuppa village.	Takid issued by the Tahsildar of Amalápur taluk, dated 7th October 1876, No. 320.	....	Claim rejected as it is manifest from the claimant's own statement that what the villagers claim is simply a privilege and no right. (Signed) D. JAGANNADHA RAU, PEROOR, Forest Sett.-officer. 27th June 1891.
2	Dinavahi Sivappa of Draksharama in the Rámachandrapur taluk.	28th Feb. 1890.	Claims exclusion of his patta land of Kandikuppa in the Amalápur taluk comprising the undermentioned fields :— Numbers. 587, 590 — Extent. ACS. 1·91, 4·68 — 6·59 — Assessment. RS. A. P. 1 15 0, 4 11 0 — 6 10 0	Verified by the village accounts.	....	Claim admitted being verified by the village accounts and the land is ordered to be excluded from the proposed reserve by alteration of its boundary. (Signed) D. JAGANNADHA RAU, PEROOR, Forest Sett.-officer. 27th June 1891.
3	Koppisetti Sattenna of Dontikurru in the Amalápur taluk.	5th March 1890.	Claims exclusion of his patta land of Kandikuppa in the Amalápur taluk comprising the undermentioned fields :— Numbers. 336, 538 — Extent. ACS. 4·56, 4·59 — 9·15 — Assessment. RS. A. P. 5 11 0, 4 9 0 — 10 0 4	Do. do. ..	....	Claim admitted being verified by the village accounts and the land is ordered to be excluded from the proposed reserve by alteration of its boundary. (Signed) D. JAGANNADHA RAU, PEROOR. Forest Sett.-officer. 27th June 1891.
4	Veluvali Subbarayudu of Kandikuppa in the Amalápur taluk.	Do.	Claims exclusion of his patta land of Kandikuppa in the Amalápur taluk comprising the undermentioned fields :— Numbers. 332 .. .., 553 .. .., 554 .. .. — Extent. ACS. 4·39, 4·03, 3·81 — Assessment. RS. A. P. 5 8 0, 4 1 0, 3 13 0 Number. 555 .. .., 556 .. .. — Extent. ACS. 4·15, 4·0 — Total .. 20·38 — Assessment. RS. A. P. 4 2 0, 4 0 0 — 21 8 0	Do. do. ..	....	Claim admitted being verified by the village accounts and the land is ordered to be excluded from the proposed reserve by alteration of its boundary. (Signed) D. JAGANNADHA RAU, PEROOR, Forest Sett.-officer. 27th June 1891.
5	Veluvali Bapiraz of Kandikuppa in the Amalápur taluk.	Do.	Claims exclusion of his patta land of Kandikuppa in the Amalápur taluk comprising the undermentioned fields :— Numbers. 565 .., 569 .., 570 .., 571 .., 544 .., 575 .. — Extent. ACS. 3·09, 3·16, 3·61, 4·12, 4·37, 4·65 — Total .. 23·0 — Assessment. RS. A. P. 3 1 0, 3 3 0, 3 10 0, 4 2 0, 4 6 0, 4 10 0 — 23 0 0	Do. do. ..	....	Claim admitted being verified by the village accounts and the land is ordered to be excluded from the proposed reserve by alteration of its boundary (Signed) D. JAGANNADHA RAU, PEROOR, Forest Sett.-officer. 27th June 1891.
6	Koppisetti Nadavapalli of Dontikurru in the Amalápur taluk.	Do.	Claims exclusion of his patta land of Kandikuppa in the Amalápur taluk comprising the undermentioned field :— Numbers. 640 .. — Extent. ACS. 3·72 — Assessment. RS. A. P. 2 12 0	Do. do. ..	....	Claim admitted being verified by the village accounts and the land is ordered to be excluded from the proposed reserve by alteration of its boundary. (Signed) D. JAGANNADHA RAU, PEROOR, Forest Sett. officer. 27th June 1891.
7	Koppisetti Mahalakshmi of Dontikurru in the Amalápur taluk.	Do.	Claims exclusion of his patta land of Kandikuppa in the Amalápur taluk comprising the undermentioned fields :— Numbers. 333 .., 471 .., 472 .., 540 .. — Extent. ACS. 3·21, 2·15, 2·79, 2·73 — Total .. 10·88 — Assessment. RS. A. P. 4 0 0, 2 7 0, 2 13 0, 2 12 0 — 12 0 0	Do. do. ..	....	Claim admitted being verified by the village accounts and the land is ordered to be excluded from the proposed reserve by alteration of its boundary. (Signed) D. JAGANNADHA RAU, PEROOR, Forest Sett.-officer. 27th June 1891.
8	Veludurti Ammanna of Dontikarru in the Amalápur taluk.	Do.	Claims exclusion of his patta land of Kandikuppa in the Amalápur taluk comprising survey :— Number. 550 .. — Extent. ACS. 1·37 — Assessment. RS. A. P. 1 6 0	Do. do. ..	....	Claim admitted being verified by the village accounts and the land is ordered to be excluded from the proposed reserve by alteration of its boundary. (Signed) D. JAGANNADHA RAU, PEROOR, Forest Sett.-officer. 27th June 1891.

B. Register of claims to Lands, rights to Forest produce or easements in the proposed Kandikuppa reserved forest, &c.—*cont.*

No.	Names of claimants.	Date of presentation of claims.	Nature and extent of claims preferred.	Brief summary of the evidence adduced in support of claims.	Brief summary of the District Forest-officer's arguments and evidence produced by him.	Brief abstract of decision and final disposal of the claims by the Forest Settlement-officer.
1	2	3	4	5	6	7
9	Koppisetti Sanyasi of Dontikurru in the Amalápur taluk.	5th March 1890.	Claims exclusion of his patta land of Kandikuppa in the Amalápur taluk comprising the undermentioned fields :—	Verified by the village accounts.	....	Claim admitted being verified by the village accounts and the land is ordered to be excluded from the proposed reserve by alteration of its boundary. (Signed) D. JAGANNADHA RAU, PEROOR, Forest Sett.-officer. 27th June 1891.
10	Koppisetti Subbaya of Dontikurru in the Amalápur taluk.	Do.	Claims exclusion of his patta land of Kandikuppa in the Amalápur taluk comprising the undermentioned fields :—	Do. do. ..	....	Claim admitted being verified by the village accounts and the land is ordered to be excluded from the proposed reserve by alteration of its boundary. (Signed) D. JAGANNADHA RAU, PEROOR, Forest Sett.-officer. 27th June 1891.
11	Koppisetti Subbaya of Dontikurru in the Amalápur taluk.	Do.	Claims exclusion of his patta land of Kandikuppa in the Amalápur taluk comprising the undermentioned fields :—	Verified by the village accounts.	....	Claim admitted being verified by the village accounts and the land is ordered to be excluded from the proposed reserve by alteration of its boundary. (Signed) D. JAGANNADHA RAU, PEROOR. Forest Sett.-officer. 27th June 1891.

No. 9

Numbers.	Extent.	Assessment.
	ACS.	RS. A. P.
546 ..	3·17	3 3 0
547 ..	3 98	4 0 0
286-C ..	0·95	1 3 0
Total ..	8·10	8 6 0

No. 10

Numbers.	Extent.	Assessment.	Numbers.	Extent.	Assessment.
	ACS.	RS. A. P.		ACS.	RS. A. P.
330	5·28	6 10 0	580	2·67	2 11 0
572	3 48	3 8 0	568	2·58	2 9 0
573	3·27	3 4 0	465	3 21	3 3 0
576	3·14	3 2 0	Total ..	26·78	28 1 0
577	3·15	3 2 0			

which he has been enjoying jointly with Koppisettiswami, Chinna Subbaya and Masenu.

No. 11

Numbers.	Extent.	Assessment.
	ACS.	RS. A. P.
578 ..	4·94	4 15 0
579 ..	4·94	4 15 0
582 ..	1·94	1 15 0
585 ..	4 29	4 5 0
Total ..	16·11	16 2 0

12	Koppisetti Subbaya on behalf of Koppisetti Swami, his nephew of Dontikurru, in the Amalápur taluk.	Do.	Claims exclusion of patta land of Kandikuppa in the Amalápur taluk, comprising No. 286-B with an area of 3·38 acres, assessed at Rs. 4-14-0.	Do. do.	....	Claim admitted being verified by the village accounts and the land is ordered to be excluded from the proposed reserve by alteration of its boundary. (Signed) D. JAGANNADHA RAU, PEROOR, Forest Sett.-officer. 27th June 1891.
13	Mattaparti Venkatesa of Chirrayanam in the Amalápur taluk.	Do.	Claims exclusion of his patta land of Kandikuppa in the Amalápur taluk, comprising the undermentioned fields :—	Do. do.	....	Claim admitted being verified by the village accounts and the land is ordered to be excluded from the proposed reserve by alteration of its boundary. (Signed) D. JAGANNADHA RAU, PEROOR, Forest Sett.-officer. 27th June 1891.
14	Garaga Swami, tenant of Anantamutyalu of Cocanada of Lotukalva, hamlet of Kandikuppa, in the Amalápur taluk.	6th March 1890.	Claims exclusion of patta land of Kandikuppa in the Amalápur taluk, comprising No. 551 with an area of 4·30 acres, assessed at Rs. 4-5-0.	Do. do.	....	Claim admitted being verified by the village accounts and the land is ordered to be excluded from the proposed reserve by alteration of its boundary. (Signed) D. JAGANNADHA RAU, PEROOR, Forest Sett.-officer. 27th June 1891.
15	Palepu Reddi on behalf of his minor nephew Masenu Venkatesa of Pallam, hamlet of Kandikuppa in the Amalápur taluk.	10th Mar. 1890.	Claims exclusion of patta land of Kandikuppa in the Amalápur taluk, comprising No. 633 with an area of 3·03 acres, assessed at Rs. 3-1-0.	Do. do.	....	Claim admitted being verified by the village accounts and the land is ordered to be excluded from the proposed reserve by alteration of its boundary. (Signed) D. JAGANNADHA RAU, PEROOR, Forest Sett.-officer. 27th June 1891.
16	Gejangi Venkataswami of Kandikuppa in the Amalápur taluk.	Do.	Claims exclusion of his patta land of Kandikuppa in the Amalápur taluk, comprising the undermentioned fields :—	Do. do.	....	Claim admitted being verified by the village accounts and the land is ordered to be excluded from the proposed reserve by alteration of its boundary. (Signed) D. JAGANNADHA RAU, PEROOR, Forest Sett.-officer. 27th June 1891.

No. 13

Numbers.	Extent.	Assessment.
	ACS.	RS. A. P.
466 ..	3·58	3 9 0
467 ..	3·70	3 11 0
468 ..	3·91	3 15 0
Total ..	11 19	11 3 0

No. 16

Numbers.	Extent.	Assessment.
	ACS.	RS. A. P.
559 ..	3·60	3 10 0
562 ..	3·90	3 14 0
566 ..	3·64	3 10 0
Total ..	11·14	11 2 0

B. Register of claims to Lands, rights to Forest produce or easements in the proposed Kandikuppa reserved forest, &c.—*cont.*

No.	Names of claimants.	Date of presentation of claims.	Nature of extent and claims preferred.	Brief summary of the evidence adduced in support of claims.	Brief summary of the District Forest-officer's arguments and evidence produced by him.	Brief abstract of decision and final disposal of the claims by the Forest Settlement-officer.
1	2	3	4	5	6	7
17	Gejangi Venkata Swami of Kandikuppa in the Amalápur taluk.	10th Mar. 1890.	Claims exclusion of his patta land of Kandikuppa in the Amalápur taluk, comprising No. 331 with an area of 6·65 acres, assessed at Rs. 8-5-0, held by him jointly with his younger brother Gejangi Swami and Barum Venkata Reddi.	Verified by the village accounts.	....	Claim admitted being verified by the village accounts and the land is ordered to be excluded from the proposed reserve by alteration of its boundary. (Signed) D. JAGANNADHA RAU, Forest Sett.-officer. PEROOR, 27th June 1891.
18	Gejangi Venkata Swami, son of Ramaiah on behalf of his sister's daughter Gejangi Sattemana of Kandikuppa, in the Amalápur taluk.	Do.	Claims exclusion of his sister's daughter's patta land of Kandikuppa in the Amalápur taluk, comprising No. 560 with an area of 4·10 acres, assessed at Rs. 4-2-0.	Do. do.	....	Claim admitted being verified by the village accounts and the land is ordered to be excluded from the proposed reserve by alteration of its boundary. (Signed) D. JAGANNADHA RAU, Forest Sett.-officer. PEROOR, 27th June 1891.
19	Gejangi Pedaswami of Kandikuppa in the Amalápur taluk.	Do.	Claims exclusion of his patta land of Kandikuppa in the Amalápur taluk, comprising No. 561 with an area of 4·11 acres, assessed at Rs. 4-2-0, held by him jointly with Barum Venkata Reddi.	Do. do.	....	Claim admitted being verified by the village accounts and the land is ordered to be excluded from the proposed reserve by alteration of its boundary. (Signed) D. JAGANNADHA RAU, Forest Sett.-officer. PEROOR, 27th June 1891.
20	Gejangi Narasu of Kandikuppa in the Amalápur taluk.	Do.	Claims exclusion of his patta land of Kandikuppa in the Amalápur taluk, comprising No. 477 with an area of 2·92 acres, assessed at Rupees 2-15-0.	Do. do.	....	Claims admitted being verified by the village accounts and the land is ordered to be excluded from the proposed reserve by alteration of its boundary. (Signed) D. JAGANNADHA RAU, Forest Sett.-officer. PEROOR, 27th June 1891.
21	Perumadi Mutyalu of Nillaravu, hamlet of Guttinadini, in the Amalapur taluk.	Do.	Claims exclusion of his patta land of Kandikuppa in the Amalápur taluk comprising— Numbers. / Extent. / Assessment. 476 .. 3·13 / 3 2 0 346 .. 3·28 / 4 2 0 Total .. 6·41 / 7 4 0	....	....	Claim admitted being verified by the village accounts and the land is ordered to be excluded from the proposed reserve by alteration of its boundary. (Signed) D. JAGANNADHA RAU, Forest Sett.-officer. PEROOR, 29th June 1891.
22	Barum Venkappa of Lothukalva, hamlet of Kandikuppa, in the Amalápur taluk.	Do.	Claims exclusion of his patta land of Kandikuppa, in the Amalápur taluk, comprising— Numbers. / Extent. / Assessment. 478 .. 2·21 / 2 3 0 558 .. 1·58 / 1 9 0 337 .. 4·41 / 5 8 0 Total .. 8·20 / 9 4 0	Verified by the village accounts.	....	Claim admitted being verified by the village accounts, and the land is ordered to be excluded from the proposed reserve by alteration of its boundary. (Signed) D. JAGANNADHA RAU, Forest Sett.-officer. PEROOR, 29th June 1891.
23	Barum Narasayya of Lothukalva, hamlet of Kandikuppa, in the Amalápur taluk.	Do.	Claims exclusion of his patta land of Kandikuppa, in the Amalápur taluk, comprising— Numbers. / Extent. / Assessment. 463 .. 2·69 / 2 11 0 464 .. 2·92 / 2 15 0 289 .. 0·48 / 0 13 0 Total .. 6·09 / 6 7 0	Do. do.	....	Claim admitted being verified by the village accounts and the land is ordered to be excluded from the proposed reserve by alteration of its boundary. (Signed) D. JAGANNADHA RAU, Forest Sett.-officer. PEROOR, 29th June 1891.
24	Gejangi Venkataswami of Kandikuppa, in the Amalápur taluk.	Do.	Claims exclusion of his patta land of Kandikuppa, in the Amalápur taluk, comprising— Number. / Extent / Assessment. 286 .. 2 0 / 2 8 0	....	....	Claim rejected as the claimant holds no ryotwari patta for the land claimed. (Signed) D. JAGANNADHA RAU, Forest Sett.-officer. PEROOR, 29th June 1891.
25	Gejangi Venkata Reddi, son of Bullenna of Kandikuppa, in the Amalápur taluk.	Do.	Claims exclusion of his patta land of Kandikuppa in the Amalápur taluk comprising No. 613, with an area of 8·03 acres assessed at Rs. 8-1-0.	Verified by the village accounts.	....	Claim admitted being verified by the village accounts, and the land is ordered to be excluded from the proposed reserve by alteration of its boundary. (Signed) D. JAGANNADHA RAU, Forest Sett.-officer. PEROOR, 29th June 1891.
26	Barum Sattenna of Lothukalva, hamlet of Kandikuppa, in the Amalápur taluk.	Do.	Claims exclusion of his patta land of Kandikuppa, in the Amalápur taluk, comprising— Numbers. / Extent. / Assessment. / Numbers. / Extent. / Assessment. 557 .. 1·47 / 1 8 0 / 349 .. 6·51 / 6 8 0 563 .. 3·93 / 3 15 0 / 351 .. 1·68 / 2 2 0 564 .. 1·89 / 1 14 0 / 481 .. 4·51 / 4 8 0 341 .. 5·84 / 5 13 0 348 .. 3·79 / 4 12 0 / Total .. 29·62 / 31 0 0	Do. do.	....	Claim admitted being verified by the village accounts, and the land is ordered to be excluded from the proposed reserve by alteration of its boundary. (Signed) D. JAGANNADHA RAU, Forest Sett.-officer. PEROOR, 29th June 1891.

B. Register of claims to Lands, rights to Forest produce or easements in the proposed Kandikuppa reserved forest, &c.—*cont.*

No.	Names of claimants.	Date of presentation of claims.	Nature of extent of claims preferred.	Brief summary of the evidence adduced in support of claims.	Brief summary of the District Forest-officer's arguments and evidence produced by him.	Brief abstract of decision and final disposal of the claims by the Forest Settlement-officer.
1	2	3	4	5	6	7
27	Pemmadi Sattenna of Lothukalva, hamlet of Kandikuppa, in the Amalápur taluk.	10th Mar. 1890.	Claims exclusion of his patta land of Kandikuppa in the Amalápur taluk comprising— Number. 339 .. Extent. ACS. 1·14 Assessment. RS. A. P. 1 7 0 held by him jointly with Pemmadi Tataya, Kamudu and Ramudu.	Verified by the village accounts.	....	Claim admitted being verified by the village accounts, and the land is ordered to be excluded from the proposed reserve by alteration of its boundary. (Signed) D. JAGANNADHA RAU, Forest Sett.-officer. PEROOR, 29th June 1891.
28	Pemmadi Sattenna of Lothukalva, hamlet of Kandikuppa, in the Amalápur taluk.	Do.	Claims exclusion of his patta land of Kandikuppa in the Amalápur taluk, comprising No. 340, with an area of 2·03 acres assessed at Rs. 2-9-0.	Do. do.	....	Claim admitted being verified by the village accounts and the land is ordered to be excluded from the proposed reserve by alteration of its boundary. (Signed) D. JAGANNADHA RAU, Forest Sett.-officer. PEROOR, 29th June 1891.
29	Pemmadi Tataya of Lothukalva, hamlet of Kandikuppa, in the Amalapur taluk.	Do.	Claims exclusion of his patta land of Kandikuppa, in the Amalápur taluk, comprising No. 342, with an area of 5·10 acres assessed at Rs. 5-2-0, held by him jointly with Pemmadi Sattenna.	Do. do.	....	Claim admitted being verified by the village accounts, and the land is ordered to be excluded from the proposed reserve by alteration of its boundary. (Signed) D. JAGANNADHA RAU, Forest Sett.-officer. PEROOR, 29th June 1891.
30	Garaga Sattenna of Lothukalva, hamlet of Kandikuppa, in the Amalápur taluk.	Do.	Claims exclusion of his patta land of Kandikuppa, in the Amalápur taluk, comprising— Numbers. 541 .. 542 .. Extent. ACS. 2·88 2·06 Assessment. RS. A. P. 2 14 0 2 1 0 Total .. 4·94 4 15 0	Do. do.	....	Claim admitted being verified by the village accounts, and the land is ordered to be excluded from the proposed reserve by alteration of its boundary. (Signed) D. JAGANNADHA RAU, Forest Sett.-officer. PEROOR, 29th June 1891.
31	Garaga Sattenna of Lothukalva, hamlet of Kandikuppa, in the Amalápur taluk.	Do.	Claims exclusion of his patta land of Kandikuppa, in the Amalápur taluk, comprising No. 286, with an area of 20 acres assessed at Rs. 20.	Do. do.	....	Claim rejected, as the claimant and his share-holders hold no patta for the land claimed. (Signed) D. JAGANNADHA RAU, Forest Sett.-officer. PEROOR, 29th June 1891.

32	Garaga Ramudu's son Satteyya of Lothukalva, hamlet of Kandikuppa, in the Amalápur taluk.	Do.	Claims exclusion of his patta land of Kandikuppa, in the Amalápur taluk, comprising— Number. 334 .. 345 .. Extent. ACS. 2·62 2·83 Assessment. RS. A. P. 3 4 0 3 9 0 Total .. 5·45 6 13 0	Do. do.	....	Claim admitted being verified by the village accounts, and the land is ordered to be excluded from the proposed reserve by alteration of its boundary. (Signed) D. JAGANNADHA RAU, Forest Sett.-officer. PEROOR, 29th June 1891.
33	Garaga Peda Sattenna of Lothukalva, hamlet of Kandikuppa, in the Amalápur taluk.	Do.	Claims exclusion of his patta land of Kandikuppa, in the Amalápur taluk, comprising— Numbers. 543 .. 544 .. Extent. ACS. 2·46 3·74 Assessment. RS. A. P. 2 7 0 3 12 0 Total .. 6·20 6 3 0 held by him jointly with Garaga Venkata Reddi and Swami.	Do. do.	....	Claim admitted being verified by the village accounts, and the land is ordered to be excluded from the proposed reserve by alteration of its boundary. (Signed) D. JAGANNADA RAU, Forest Sett.-officer. PEROOR, 29th June 1891.
34	Garaga Sattenna of Lothukalva, hamlet of Kandikuppa, in the Amalápur taluk.	Do.	Claims exclusion of his patta land of Kandikuppa, in the Amalápur taluk, comprising No. 353 with an area of 0·72 acre assessed at As. 14-0.	Do. do.	....	Claim admitted being verified by the village accounts, and the land is ordered to be excluded from the proposed reserve by alteration of its boundary. (Signed) D. JAGANNADHA RAU, Forest Sett.-officer. PEROOR, 29th June 1891.
35	Garaga Peda Sattenna of Lothukalva, hamlet of Kandikuppa, in the Amalápur taluk.	Do.	Claims exclusion of his patta land of Kandikuppa, in the Amalápur taluk, comprising— Numbers. 350 .. 354 .. Extent. ACS. 3·99 3·52 Assessment. RS. A. P. 5 0 0 4 6 0 Total .. 7·51 9 6 0 held by him jointly in the Garaga Sattenna Venkata Reddi and Swami.	Do. do.	....	Claim admitted being verified by the village accounts, and the land is ordered to be excluded from the proposed reserve by alteration of its boundary. (Signed) D. JAGANNADHA RAU, Forest Sett.-officer. PEROOR, 29th June 1891.

B. Register of claims to Lands, rights to Forest produce or easements in the proposed Kandikuppa reserved forest, &c.—*cont.*

No.	Names of claimants.	Date of presentation of claims.	Nature and extent of claims preferred.	Brief summary of the evidence adduced in support of claims.	Brief summary of the District Forest-officer's arguments and evidence produced by him.	Brief abstract of decision and final disposal of the claims by the Forest Settlement-officer.
1	2	3	4	5	6	7
36	Garaga Peda Sattenna of Lothukalva, hamlet of Kandikuppa in the Amalápur taluk.	10th Mar. 1890.	Claims exclusion of his patta land of Kandikuppa, in the Amalápur taluk, comprising No. 287 with an area of 0·91 acre assessed at Rs. 1-10-0.	Verified by the village accounts.	....	Claim admitted being verified by the village accounts, and the land is ordered to be excluded from the proposed reserve by alteration of its boundary. (Signed) D. JAGANNADHA RAU, PEROOR, Forest Sett.-officer. 29th June 1891.
37	Gejangi Chinna Mutyalu of Kandikuppa, in the Amalápur taluk.	Do.	Claims exclusion of his patta land of Kandikuppa, in the Amalápur taluk, comprising No. 343, with an area of 4·40 acres assessed at Rs. 5 8-0, held by him jointly with Pemmadi Tataya.	Do. do.	....	Claim admitted being verified by the village accounts, and the land is ordered to be excluded from the proposed reserve by alteration of its boundary (Signed) D. JAGANNADHA RAU, PEROOR, Forest Sett.-officer. 29th June 1891.
38	Claim put in by the villagers of Geddanapalli, in the Amalápur taluk.	1st Apr. 1890.	Claims right to get firewood free from the Kandikuppa jungle now proposed to be reserved.	....	....	Claim rejected as it is manifest from the villagers' statement itself that what they claim is simply a privilege and no right. (Signed) D. JAGANNADHA RAU, PEROOR, Forest Sett.-officer. 29th June 1891.
39	Gejangi Venkata Reddi of Kandikuppa, in the Amalápur taluk.	24th June 1891.	Claims exclusion of his patta land of Kandikuppa, in the Amalápur taluk, comprising— 609 .. 2·94 ACS. 2 15 0 RS. A. P. 610 .. 3·30 3 5 0 473 .. 2·96 2 15 0 474 .. 3·09 3 2 0 Total .. 12·29 12 5 0	Verified by village accounts.	....	Claim admitted being verified by the village accounts, and the land is ordered to be excluded from the proposed reserve by alteration of its boundary (Signed) D. JAGANNADHA RAU, PEROOR, Forest Sett.-officer. 29th June 1891.
40	Garaga Peddayya of Kandikuppa, in the Amalápur taluk.	Do.	Claims exclusion of his patta land of Kandikuppa, in the Amalápur taluk, comprising No. 617, with an area of 6·61 acres assessed at Rs. 6-10-0, held by him jointly with Gejangi Atchanna.	Do. do.	....	Claim admitted being verified by the village accounts, and the land is ordered to be excluded from the proposed reserve by alteration of its boundary. (Signed) D. JAGANNADHA RAU, PEROOR, Forest Sett.-officer. 29th June 1891.
41	Malladi Nagulu of Pallam, hamlet of Kandikuppa, in the Amalapur taluk.	D°.	Claims exclusion of his patta land of Kandikuppa, in the Amalápur taluk, comprising No. 548 with an area of 1·83 acres assessed at Rs. 1-13-0.	Do. do.	....	Claim admitted being verified by the village accounts, and the land is ordered to be excluded from the proposed reserve by alteration of its boundary. (Signed) D. JAGANNADHA RAU, PEROOR, Forest Sett.-officer. 29th June 1891.
42	Palepu Narasu of Pallam, hamlet of Kandikuppa, in the Amalápur taluk.	Do.	Claims exclusion of his patta land of Kandikuppa, in the Amalápur taluk, comprising the undermentioned fields— 639 .. 2·96 ACS. 2 15 0 RS. A. P. 641 .. 1·49 1 8 0 Total .. 4·45 4 7 0	Do. do.	....	Claim admitted being verified by the village accounts, and the land is ordered to be excluded from the proposed reserve by alteration of its boundary. (Signed) D. JAGANNADHA RAU, PEROOR, Forest Sett.-officer. 29th June 1891.
43	Malladi Adenna of Pallam, hamlet of Kandikuppa, in the Amalápur taluk.	25th June 1891.	Claims exclusion of his patta land of Kandikuppa, in the Amalapur taluk, comprising No. 624 with an area of 2·42 acres assessed at Rs. 2-7-0.	Do. do.	....	Claim admitted being verified by the village accounts, and the land is ordered to be excluded from the proposed reserve by alteration of its boundary. (Signed) D. JAGANNADHA RAU, PEROOR, Forest Sett.-officer. 29th June 1891.
44	Akula Reddi of Pallam, hamlet of Kandikuppa, in the Amalápur taluk.	Do.	Claims exclusion of his patta land of Kandikuppa, in the Amalápur taluk, comprising No. 475 with an area of 3·20 acres assessed at Rs. 3-3-0.	Do. do.	....	Claim admitted being verified by the village accounts, and the land is ordered to be excluded from the proposed reserve by alteration of its boundary. (Signed) D. JAGANNADHA RAU, PEROOR, Forest Sett.-officer. 29th June 1891.
45	Malladi Swami of Pallam, hamlet of Kandikuppa, in the Amalápur taluk.	Do.	Claims exclusion of his patta land of Kandikuppa, in the Amalápur taluk, comprising No. 552 with an area of 3·67 acres assessed at Rs. 3-11-0, which he has purchased from its former holder Gejangi Venkataswami.	Do. do.	....	Claim admitted being verified by the village accounts, and the land is ordered to be excluded from the proposed reserve by alteration of its boundary (Signed) D. JAGANNADHA RAU, PEROOR, Forest Sett.-officer. 29th June 1891.
46	Lutikurti Maridi of Dontikurru, in the Amalápur taluk.	Do.	Claims exclusion of his patta land of Kandikuppa, in the Amalápur taluk, comprising No. 638, with an area of 2·97 acres assessed at Rs. 3.	Do. do.	....	Claim admitted being verified by the village accounts, and the land is ordered to be excluded from the proposed reserve by alteration of its boundary. (Signed) D. JAGANNADHA RAU, PEROOR, Forest Sett.-officer. 29th June 1891.

B. Register of claims to Lands, rights to Forest produce or easements in the proposed Kandikuppa reserved forest, &c.—*cont.*

No.	Names of claimants.	Date of presentation of claims.	Nature of extent of claims preferred.	Brief summary of the evidence adduced in support of claims.	Brief summary of the District Forest-officer's arguments and evidence produced by him.	Brief abstract of decision and final disposal of the claims by the Forest Settlement-officer.
1	2	3	4	5	6	7
47	Malladiswami, son of Satenna of Pallam, hamlet of Kandikuppa, in the Amalápur taluk.	25th June 1891.	Claims exclusion of his father's patta land of Kandikuppa, in the Amalapur taluk, comprising No. 549 with an area of 71 cents assessed at As. 11.	Verified by the village accounts.	....	Claim admitted being verified by the village accounts and the land is ordered to be excluded from the proposed reserve by alteration of its boundary. (Signed) D. JAGANNADHA RAU, Forest Sett.-officer. PEROOR, 29th June 1891.
48	Kaladi Danaraj, village munsif of Pandi, Pora and Molletimoga, in the Amalápur taluk.	Do.	Claims the following rights of way:— (*a*) Right of way for men over the following footpaths which are each two yards wide:— (1) From Chirrayanam to Pandi. It enters the reserve at Pandigaruvu (a place so known) and runs* north-east to Pandi, its length in the reserve being about two miles. (2) Lothukalva to Pandi. It enters the reserve about a furlong east of Lothukalva, hamlet of Kandikuppa, runs through it south-east, adjoins Chirrayanam-Pandi path No. 1 at its entrance, its length up to the junction being about three fourth mile. (3) Kandikuppa to Pandi, about three-fourth mile long. It enters the reserve about a furlong south-east of survey No. 629 of Kandikuppa and runs south-east to Pandi. (4) Pandi to Neellarevu, hamlet of Brahmasamedhiem, about a mile long. It enters the reserve east of Pandi, runs south-eastwards and leaves it about a furlong north-west of Neellarevu. (5) Pandi to Pora, about two miles long.‡ It enters the reserve north of Pandi and runs north-east to Pora. (6) Kandikuppa to Pora, about three-fourth mile long. It enters the reserve about three furlongs south-east of Adivimalapalli, hamlet of Dontikurru, and runs almost south-eastwards to Pora. (7) Kandikuppa to Molletimoga, about a mile long. It enters the reserve about two furlongs south of the north-west corner of the proposed reserve, runs through it east and leaves it west of Molletimoga. (8) From Molletimoga to the sea-shore, about three-fourth mile long. It enters the reserve east of Molletimoga and runs eastwards to the sea. (*b*) Right of navigation over the undermentioned creeks:— (1) From Kandikuppa to Pandi over the creek called Musarapadu. (2) From Pandi to Neellarevu over Vaddisalapa. (3) Pandi to Pora over Pandi Godavari. (4) Kandikuppa to Pora over Peddirevukalva. (5) Kandikuppa to Molletimoga over Kollarevukalva. (6) Pora to Molletimoga over the creek called Bidarukalva. (7) Pora to sea-shore over the Chikatikalva. (8) From Gazangi Tippa to Pandi over Nidikalva.	Two witnesses have been examined for the claimant who prove that the rights of way claimed have been in use from a long time and that they know of it personally for the last 50 and 30 years according to the age of the witness. No. 2 enters the reserve about half a furlong east of the village-site of Lothukalva, hamlet of Kandikuppa, and running south-east and north-east for about one and three-fourth mile, joins the footpath No. 1. No. 3 enters the reserve about seven chains north-east of the north-east corner of survey No. 629 of Kandikuppa and runs south-east for about three-fourth of a mile to Pandi † (4) This enters the reserve south-east of Pandi village site and running south-east for about a mile, leaves the reserve about fourteen chains west of Neellarevu village-site. No. 7 enters the reserve about two furlongs south-west of the trijunction of Kandikuppa, Guttinadivi, and the forest block, running generally east for about six and a half furlongs and leaves § it north-west of Molletimoga village site. No. 8 enters the reserve east ‖ of the village-site of Molletimoga and running south-east by the Karuvaka chakali huts for about seven furlongs and crossing the foot and cattle path from one arm of the Gódávari to the other admitted in claim No. 51, leaves it on the sea-shore.	The District Forest-officer's has no remarks to offer.	29th June 1891. As the rights claimed are proved to have been exercised from a long time, the claim is admitted in the name of the general public and the rights claimed are allowed through the reserve. (Signed) D. JAGANNADHA RAU, Forest Sett.-officer. RAJAHMUNDRY, 11th July 1891.
49	Akula Reddi of Pallam, hamlet of Kandikuppa, in the Amalápur taluk.	25th June 1891.	Claims on behalf of himself and all the fishermen of Pallam, Pandi, Pora, Molletimoga right of fishing in all the creeks within the limits of the proposed Kandikuppa reserve.	....	The District Forest-officer has no remarks to offer.	The claimant admits that the fishermen of each village had certain limits fixed for them when moturpha tax was levied some 30 years back, but that when the tax was abolished, they were allowed to fish free without any restrictions. It is thus manifest that the fishermen had never any right as against Government. As no easement has been established in their favour, the claim is rejected. (Signed) D. JAGANNADHA RAU, Forest Sett.-officer. RAJAHMUNDRY, 11th July 1891.
50	Sri Raja Bollapragadu Venkata Subba Rau Garu, Zemindar of Madanapalli estate, in the Amalápur taluk.	15th Mar. 1892.	Claims, on behalf of himself and his younger brother Sri Raja Sundara Rama Swami Garu, the strip of beach between Kottapalam on the north and Bramhasamedhiem on the south, about four miles long and half a mile wide on average, and lying to the east of Government villages of Molletimoga, Pora and Pandi, as the zemindari property attached to the cusba village of Bramhasamedhiem.	Twelve witnesses were examined for the claimant, seven of whom say that the land in question is said to belong to the zemindari village of Bramhasamedhiem, one of whom is the karnam of Kandikuppa. Of the remaining five, two are persons who have recently settled themselves on the beach. The remaining two are the claimant's Pullari contractors who say that up to three years back the right of grazing was leased out to them by the claimant for about 10 or 15 years and that they collected fees on cattle grazed on the beach in question.	The District Forest-officer opposes the claim.	¶ It appears that the boundary marks were at the time of survey erected at a distance of about 70 or 80 yards from the sea, but they seem to have been erected there to avoid being washed away by the sea in the same way as they have been erected to the east of the zemindari villages of Balusutippa and Masumtippa (*vide* survey map, exhibit marked C), where the zemindar says all the land up to sea belongs to him though the boundary marks stand in the same way as at Molletimoga, Pora and Pandi. Thus the written evidence, namely the survey maps produced by the claimant himself, are in favour of Government and go against him. The oral evidence adduced by him is as quite meagre and unsatisfactory. His assertion that the strip belongs to him further seems to be absurd from the position of the land. Under these circumstances, I reject the claim and declare the land to be Government property available for reservation. (Signed) D. JAGANNADHA RAU, Forest Sett.-officer. PEDDAPUR, 16th May 1892.
51	The District Forest-officer, Gódávari.	1st June 1892.	Claims, on behalf of the general public, rights of way for the use of men and cattle from one arm of the Gódávari to the other along the beach which enters the reserve about a mile east-south-east of Molletimoga village, runs through it south-west and leaves it about a mile north-east of Neellarevu village, its length being about four miles and width two yards; and (2) right to the inhabitants of Molletimoga and Kottapalam to the use of water of spring wells at Karuvaka (beach) for domestic purposes with access to them by a foot-path about three furlongs long and two yards wide and which enters the reserve about eight chains south-east of Molletimoga and runs south-east to the wells.	This enters the reserve at its easternmost corner and after running south-west parallel to the seashore for about four miles, leaves it near the north-east corner of Neellarevu village limits.	....	The two rights claimed having been found to be necessary on inspection both by myself and the District Forest-officer, the claim to the right of way along the beach is admitted in the name of the general public, and the right to the use of water with access ** to it is admitted in the name of the villagers of Molletimoga and Kottapalem. (Signed) D. JAGANNADHA RAU, Forest Sett.-officer. COCANADA, 8th June 1892.
52	Kottapalli Subbadu of Molletimoga.	6th April 1895.	Claims on behalf of himself and two others exclusion of hamlet of Karuvaka, which consists of four huts where he and the others referred to above live, and situated at a distance of about half a mile south-east of Molletimoga, as also four wells at it.	The claimant has examined one witness who proves that the huts claimed have been in existence from a long time and states that he knows of them personally from his childhood.	The District Forest-officer has no objection to the exclusion of the huts and wells, and requests that they may be treated as enclosures within the reserve.	The hamlet of Kuruvaka having been proved to have been in existence for a long time, the claim is admitted in the name of the claimant and the others referred to above, and the hamlet together with the wells is ordered to be excluded from the reserve but retained as an enclosure in it as demarcated by the Forest Department, the hamlet itself being free from reservation. (Signed) J. T. LEVER, Forest Sett.-officer. RAJAHMUNDRY, 19th April 1895.

Claim 48. * *Item* 1.—Running north east for about two miles, it leaves the reserve at a point one and a half chains south-east of the south-west corner of the Pandi village enclosure. Marked as I (1).
† *Item* 3.—Leaving the reserve at a point four chains north-east of the south-west corner of the Pandi enclosure. Marked as I(3).
‡ *Item* 5.—It enters the reserve north of Pandi about two and a half chains north-west of its north-east corner and running north-east for about two miles, leaves it into Pora at a cairn seven chains east of the westernmost point of the Pora village-site. Marked as I(5).
Item 6.—Leaves near the westernmost corner of the Pora enclosure. Marked as I(6).
§ *Item* 7.—Leaves the reserve about two chains north-west of the shrine of Molletimoga. Marked as I(7).
‖ *Item* 8.—One and half furlongs south-east. Marked as I(8).
Item 2. Marked as I.(2).
Item 4. Marked as I.(4).

¶ *Claim* 50.—In appeal suit No. 261 of 1892 on the file of the District Court of Gódávari, the decision of the Lower Court was confirmed on the ground that the strip of land claimed belonged to Government villages and that the appellants have not approved adverse possession of sixty years against Government and the appeal was dismissed with costs.
(Signed) H. T. ROSS,
District Judge.
17th January 1894.

Claim 51. * *Item* 1.—The path from one arm of Gódávari to the other along the beach enters about three chains north-west of the easternmost point of the reserve and leaves it on the eastern boundary of Bramhasamedhiem zemindari about three chains west-north-west of its junction with the Bay of Bengal. Marked as I(9).
** *Item* 2.—Foot-path No. 8 in claim No. 48 serves as access.

Claim 52.—The area of the enclosure of Karuvaka is 1·75 acres. Access is by right of way No. 8. Marked as III (1).

Claim 48 (6). Items 1 to 8 are marked as III. (1), (2), (3), (4), (5), (6), (7) and (8) respectively.

B. Register of claims to Lands, rights to Forest produce or easements in the proposed Kandikuppa reserved forest, &c.—*cont.*

No.	Names of claimants.	Date of presentation of claims.	Nature and extent of claims preferred.	Brief summary of the evidence adduced in support of claims.	Brief summary of the District Forest-officer's arguments and evidence produced by him.	Brief abstract of decision and final disposal of the claims by the Forest Settlement-officer.
1	2	3	4	5	6	7
53	Iskapalli Kristnayya of the hamlet of Gollamanda.	6th April 1895.	Claims, on behalf of the general public, right of way over the undermentioned pathway :— The foot* and cattle path from Gollamanda to Pora which enters the reserve at a certain point about one chain north of the village-site of Gollamanda, runs through it in an almost north-westerly direction and leaves it at a point about half a chain east of the village-site of Pora, its length through the reserve being about one mile and its width two yards.	The claimark has examined one witness who proves that the right of way claimed has been in existence from a long time and states that he knows of it personally from his childhood.	The District Forest-officer has no objection.	The pathway having been proved to have been in use from a long time, the claim is admitted in the name of the general public, and the right of way claimed is allowed through the reserve for men and cattle as usual. (Signed) J. T. LEVER, Forest Sett.-officer. RAJAHMUNDRY, 19th April 1895.
54	Iskapalli Kristnayya of Kottapalli.	Do.	Claims exclusion of the hamlet of Gollamanda.	The claimant has examined one witness who proves that the hamlet of Gollamanda which consists of three huts where he and his sons live, and which is situated at a distance of about a mile to the south-east of Pora, together with three wells at it (Gollamanda).	The District Forest-officer has no objection to the exclusion of huts and wells and requests that these may be treated as enclosures.	The hamlet† of Gollamanda having been proved to have been in existence for a long time, the claim is admitted and the hamlet with the wells referred to is ordered to be excluded from the reserve but retained as an enclosure in it as demarcated by the Forest Department, the village itself being free from reservation. (Signed) J. T. LEVER, Forest Sett.-officer. RAJAHMUNDRY, 19th April 1895.
55	The District Forest-officer of the district Gódávari on behalf of the general public.	8th May. 1895.	Claims exclusion of the temple of Danamma and Sattamma of Neellarevu.	....	....	The claim is admitted in the name of the general public and the temples are ordered to be excluded from the reserve but retained as enclosures, the temples‡ themselves being free from reservation. (Signed) J. T. LEVER, Forest Sett.-officer. RAJAHMUNDRY, 8th May 1895.
56	The District Forest-officer, Gódávari, on behalf of the general public.	Do.	Claims, on behalf of the general public, right of way over the foot-path § leading from Neellarevu, hamlet of Brahmasamedhiem to the temples of Danamma and Sattamma which enters the reserve at a point about one chain north of the village-site of Neellarevu, runs in it in an almost northerly direction and leaves it at a point about half a chain south of the temple of Danamma. The length in the reserve is about two furlongs and its width two yards.	....	....	The claim is admitted in the name of the general public and the right of way claimed is allowed in the reserve as usual for men only. (Signed) J. T. LEVER, Forest Sett.-officer. RAJAHMUNDRY, 8th May 1895.

* *Claim* 53.—The path is now unnecessary as the hamlet of Gollamanda has since been deserted.
† *Claim* 54.—The hamlet of Gollamanda has since been deserted; the area of the enclosure is seventy cents.
‡ *Claim* 55.—The temple has since been excluded from the reserve by altering its boundary.
§ *Claim* 56.—The foot-path is now unnecessary as the temples of Danamma and Sattamma have since been excluded from the reserve by altering its boundary.

ENCLOSURE No. 2.—Draft notification.

ENCLOSURE No. 3.

STATEMENT of area and condition of the proposed reserve of Kandikuppa in the Amalápur taluk, Gódávari district.

Taluk.	Total area of taluk.	Area of Government land.		Area of reserves already notified under section 16.	Area of reserves under settlement.	Area of reserve already submitted for notification under section 16.	Area of reserve now submitted for notification under section 16.		Character of land proposed for reservation.	
		Occupied.	Unoccupied.				Name.	Area.	How much forest.	How much grass.
Amalápur ..	ACS. 323,840.	ACS. 76,183.	ACS. 1,38,857.	ACS. 362.	*Nil.*	*Nil.*	Kandikuppa.	ACS. 8,070	All forest.	

ENCLOSURE No. 4.—Sketch-map.

ENCLOSURE No. 5.—Forest Settlement-officer's report returned with Board's Proceedings, Mis. No. 108, dated 2nd February 1897.

Endorsement by A. W. PEET, Esq., Conservator of Forests, Northern Circle, dated Waltair, 18th September 1897, R.C. No. 543.

Forwarded.

RESOLUTION—Forest No. 59, dated 8th February 1898.

With reference to the Board's Proceedings read above, the Collector now re-submits the settlement papers. A joint inspection has been made by the Forest Settlement-officer and the District Forest-officer who report that the block is a mangrove swamp intersected by creeks, and that there are no cultivable lands within it or in the adjoining villages. Consequently, only the area occupied by the hamlets and villages enclosed within the reserve have been entered in the settlement records, and the boundary line has been shifted only in respect of two of the village-sites referred to in the above Proceedings.

2. The papers will be submitted to Government with the request that the revised draft notification, as amended by the Board, may be approved and ordered to be published in the *Fort St. George Gazette*, the reservation to take effect from the 15th May next.

3. The points of entrance and exit of some of the paths not being precisely fixed, the Board has made the necessary corrections in the register and draft notification in accordance with the sketch-map.

4. *Claim* 52.—The means of access to the Karuvaka hamlet enclosed within the reserve is not recorded in the register, though the draft notification wrongly shows it as path No. 9 instead of No. 8. The Board has supplied the omission in the former and corrected the latter.

5. *Claims* 53 *and* 54.—With reference to the Forest Settlement-officer's note in the remarks column that the Gollamunda hamlet has since been deserted, and that access thereto is unnecessary, the Board considers that the entries in question may be struck out in the draft notification.

6. The records having been resubmitted after revision subsequent to the issue of Board's Proceedings, No. 578, dated 18th December 1896, it is not understood why the serial numbers adopted in the draft notification in respect of the admitted rights have not been given in the register and map for facility of reference. The Board has supplied the omission.

7. With reference to the correspondence ending with Government Order, Mis. No. 5116, dated 29th September 1897, the site on which the light-house and the outbuildings stand, should be excluded from reservation and the necessary access thereto allowed by departmental order, as indicated by the Collector in paragraph 3 of his letter read above.

8. The block lies in the Amalápur taluk and covers an area of 8,070 acres as finally settled.

(True Copies and Extract.)

Secretary.

To the Secretary to Government, Revenue Department,
with draft notifications (one original and two printed)
and a sketch-map to be returned.

Exd. A. F. Eccleston.

Code Class. INDIAN TELEGRAPHS. Monthly Number.

To (Office) | From (Office)

Words.	Day.	Hour.	Minute.	Official Instructions.

To (Person)

From (Person)

64 Words.

Rcvd. from ... I.N. | Sent to ... I.N.

At ... Hrs. ... Mts. by ... | At ... Hrs. ... Mts. by ...

Telegraph Master.

F. C 2.

O G P—No. 104 T—'98 8-9—40,00000

Regd B.P. Forest No. 59
8.2.98

(Cos 1374/98)

Noti... With the above Proceedings the Board of Revenue forwards for approval and publication in the Fort St George Gazette the draft notification relating to the final reservation of the Kodaikkapal block in the Godavari district.

During the settlement of this block claims were preferred for the exclusion of the hamlet of Gollamandadi free from reservation and for the grant of the necessary access thereto. The claims were admitted by the Forest Settlement officer who recorded the rights in his decisions dated the 19th April 1897.

On sending up the settlement records, the Board found that the boundary line of the reserve was close to certain village sites, and Collector was therefore requested to report whether the boundary might not be altered so as to leave an ampler margin between the reserve and the village sites.

* claims 53 & 54 in the B register on page 18 of the current (flag E).

@ Bd's Resolution No 108 mis D 2.2.97 on page 3 of the current.

C. 19/3/98

In the Collector's reply it would appear that the Forest Settlement Officer and the D.F.O. thereupon jointly inspected the Village in question and found that the Village as it Boundaries had been deserted after the Settlement. The D.F.S.O. then appears also to have entered* his remarks in the B. Register. He then affirms that the Village has been deserted and that the notice as to it was unnecessary.

* please see footnotes relating to

The para 5 of the Proceedings dated 8.2.98 of the Board points out and considers that the entry in the draft notification relating to the demarcated limits of the village free from rights and to the grant of the necessary rights may be omitted.

The authority for such a recommendation is not known to the Board. The Forest Settlement has to consider that the objects for which the right was granted having ceased, the right itself might be extinguished. Orders are requested as to whether the Board's recommendation may be approved or whether in view of the fact that the rights have already been admitted, they should be left

Lon might recall however by the Collector coming back
17/7/98

Standing that there might be nothing to prevent the villagers from returning in case they should choose to do so. It is not likely that any benefit can accrue by restoring the village site. In this connection it might be mentioned that the F.S.O's subsequent entries in the B. Register that the village has been deserted and that the access thereto was unnecessary cannot be regarded as a review of his decisions adjudicating the rights, as the F.S.O. has no power to review his own decision (please see the Government Pleader's opinion in B.P 442 (Forest) & 27.8.89).

Efforts have been made to have proceedings taken by the Govt. of India to intervene in the matter but as yet without success.

The enclosures to B.P 442 & 28.8.89 & flag H

Not Guilty Please see below

* flag H

17.7.98

he has passed his final order with respect to any block. There seems to be no reason why [illegible] F.S.O. should not receive [illegible] individual cases claims on new facts coming to his notice before he becomes finality in his order with respect to the block wherein these claims arise.

Anyhow no harm will be done by accepting the draft notification & ordering its publication.

17/3/18

~~Delete the F.S.O. to hear claims to be heard before declaration effected~~

As the F.S.O. did hear claims in respect of the exclusion of the hamlet & decided to exclude it, we cannot now merely because it is stated the deceased admit the reservation. Should F.S.O. is still clothed with authority, not having completed the settlement & he may therefore give a fresh notice that if the village being declared it will be declared reserved unless a case to the contrary is made out before him. Such a hearing should be given before the proposed notice is issued; & a revised decision worked by the F.S.O., otherwise we should not be safe

S.K. 9/3/18

The notification may be issued as some questioning the village. If the F.S.O. & the Govt think the village should be restored a fresh notice & records must be issued, & all the forms gone through.

21/3/18

Please see draft order submitted

[illegible]/18

9/3/18

Read B.P. Forest No 5700
..... (Cuo 1374 of 98

Forest No 47

G.O. No. 184 dy 28th March 1898.

In para 5 of the Proceedings read above the Board of Revenue expresses the opinion that the hamlet of Gollamanda having been deserted after the settlement, upon decision to exclude it, the entries in the draft notification excluding the village from reservation and granting a right of access thereto may be omitted. The Government however considers that as the Forest Settlement officer decided to exclude the hamlet on a claim preferred before him; it ~~was~~ stated ~~not recorded~~ that ~~as~~ cannot be ~~allowed~~ ~~merely~~ upon the record ~~that the hamlet is stated that the hamlet~~ now it deserted. The entries will therefore be retained, and the draft notification will be published in the Fort St George and Godavari district gazettes, the 1st June 1898 being entered as the date from which reservation shall take effect.

2. If the Collector considers ~~that the hamlet~~ included ~~and~~ ~~or any part of it~~ that the ~~village~~ should be reserved, under section 4 ~~must~~ should draw up a fresh notification ~~must~~ be published

~~to~~ he ~~to~~ for approval & publication.

~~to~~ ~~Secretariat~~

~~from~~ ~~the formalities of the law~~

~~to~~ ~~brought before reservation~~

~~to~~ ~~take place~~

7/ (typ: orders)

8/ 25/3/98 SB 27/3/98

9/

10/

for the B.S. LR with map.

Supdt: Govt Press with notification.

Encl. No. 184A

apply to S. D. R and agri: Dept.

25/3/98 Despd. 26.4.98.

25/3/98 N/N

98

Government {1898} of Madras.

REVENUE.

Recd. } 1898. Enclosures

Regd. } Spare copies

G.O., Nos. 184, 184A, 28th March 1898.

MARCH.

Reserved Forest.

The draft notification under section 16 of the Madras Forest Act for the constitution of the Kandikuppa block in the Amalápuram taluk as a —, ordered to be published in the Fort St. George and the Gódávari District Gazettes.

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS.

REVENUE DEPARTMENT.

Read—the following Proceedings of the Board of Revenue (Land Revenue), Forest No. 59, dated 8th February 1898:—

Read—again the following Proceedings of the Board of Revenue (Land Revenue), Forest Mis. No. 108, dated 2nd February 1897:—

Read—the following letter from V. A. BRODIE, Esq., Collector of Gódávari, to the Secretary to the Commissioners of Land Revenue, Madras (through the Conservator of Forests, Northern Circle), dated Cocanada, 20th July 1896, Cur. No. 10:—

I have the honour to forward, herewith, letter No. 562, dated 27th June 1896, with all its enclosures from the Forest Settlement-officer, Nellore, &c., districts, submitting his final report on the settlement of the proposed reserve of Kandikuppa in Amalápur taluk.

2. The draft notification under section 16 of the Act has been countersigned by my District Forest-officer in token of his having verified the boundary description, and a statement showing the area, &c., of the proposed reserve is also enclosed.

3. The reservation may be ordered to take effect from 1st October 1896.

Enclosure No. 1.

From E. A. SMITH, Esq., Special Deputy Collector on Forest Settlement Duty, Nellore, &c., districts, to the Collector of Gódávari (through the District Forest-officer, Gódávari), dated Camp, Tripurantakam, 27th June 1896, No. 562.

I have the honour to report the conclusion of the settlement of the proposed Kandikuppa reserve in the Amalápur taluk of your district.

2. The initial notification under section 4 of the Madras Forest Act proposing to constitute this area into a reserved forest appeared in the *Fort St. George Gazette*, dated 18th October 1889, and the proclamation issued under section 6 of the said Act was published in the District Gazette, dated 2nd December 1889. The three months' time allowed for the presentation of claims expired on the 2nd March 1890.

3. M.R.Ry. D. Jagannadha Rao Pantulu Garu was originally appointed to settle this block; then Messrs. J. T. Lever and R. H. L. Lushington; and in notification No. 583 published at page 1241 of the *Fort St. George Gazette*, dated 5th November 1895, I was appointed the Forest Settlement-officer.

4. The reserve was inspected, perambulated and enquired into by M.R.Ry. D. Jagannadha Rao Pantulu Garu and 56 claims were preferred for ten following rights:—

(i) Two claims to cut firewood free from the jungle.
(ii) Forty-five claims to Government patta lands.
(iii) One claim to rights of way over eight foot-paths and to right of navigation over eight creeks.
(iv) One claim to a foot-path.
(v) One claim to a right of fishing in the creeks.
(vi) One claim to a strip of the beach between Kotapalem on the north and Bramhasamedhiem on the south to a length of four miles and to a width of half a mile.
(vii) One claim to a right of way along the beach and to a right to use the water of the spring wells at Karuvaka beach.
(viii) Two claims to exclude two hamlets from the reserve.
(ix) One claim to the temples of Danamma and Sattamma.
(x) One claim to a right of way to the above temples.

The claims to cut firewood free from the jungle were rejected; of the forty-five claims to Government patta lands involved in item No. (ii), 43 claims were admitted and the patta lands have been excluded by an alteration of the boundary of the reserve; the remaining two claims were rejected; the claim to rights of way over eight foot-paths and to right of navigation over eight creeks, the claim to a foot-path and the claim to a foot-path and to a right to use the water of the spring wells at Karuvaka beach involved in items Nos. (iii), (iv) and (vii) were admitted; the claim to a right of fishing over the creeks involved in item No. (v) was rejected; the claim to a strip of the beach involved in item No. (vi) was rejected on the ground that the strip of land claimed belonged to the Government villages and not to the zemindari village of Bramhasamedhiem. This was upheld in appeal suit No. 261 of 1892 on the file of the District Court of Gódávari and the appeal was dismissed with costs. The claims to exclude the two hamlets of Karuvaka and Gollamanda, the claim to the temples of Danamma and Sattamma, and the claim to a right of way to the above temples involved in items Nos. (viii), (ix) and (x), respectively, were admitted and the hamlets and the temples have been ordered to be demarcated out and retained as enclosures within the reserve free from reservation.

5. The villages of Pandi and Pora referred to in the remarks column of the schedule attached to notification under section 4 published at page 707, dated 15th October 1889, have also been excluded from the reserve, the same having been ordered to be demarcated out and retained as enclosures within the reserve free from reservation.

6. The patta fields excluded at the settlement have not been shown on the map, as the District Forest-officer, Gódávari, says in his letter R. C. No. 601, dated 10th April 1895, that this cannot be done in the absence of the new village map of Kandikuppa according to recent survey.

7. The reserve is thus free of all rights except those stated above.

8. The following are submitted herewith:—

(*a*) A signed copy of the B. register of claims.
(*b*) The draft notification under section 16.
(*c*) The sketch-map of the reserve.

9. The date from which reservation is to take effect has to be inserted in the draft notification.

Enclosure No. 2.—B. Register.

Enclosure No. 3.—Draft notification.

Enclosure No. 4.—Area statement.

Enclosure No. 5.—Map.

Endorsement by A. W. PEET, Esq., Conservator of Forests, Northern Circle, dated Waltair, 22nd July 1896, R. C. No. 205.

Forwarded.

Resolution—Forest Mis. No. 108, dated 2nd February 1897.

From the sketch-map of the Kandikuppa block, submitted with the letter read above, it would appear that the village-sites of (1) Molleti-moga, (2) Neelarevu and (3) Lotukalva are close to the reserve boundary, being not even half a furlong from the boundary line. The Collector is therefore requested to report whether it is possible to revise the boundary so as to leave an ample margin between the reserve and the village-sites.

2. It is also observed that in the case of the villages and hamlets, &c., demarcated out as enclosures on the sketch-map, the extent of occupied lands, if any, and that of lands allowed for extension of cultivation and other village privileges, have not been given in the settlement records. The omission should now be supplied.

3. The following discrepancies are also noticed in the settlement records and require rectification :—

(i) *Claim No.* 7.—The total extent of the lands dealt with is only 10·88 acres and not 11·18 acres as recorded in the register.

(ii) *Claim No.* 16.—Similarly the total assessment of the lands claimed comes to Rs. 11–2–0 and not Rs. 12–2–0 as given in the register.

(iii) *Claim No.* 48.—Some of the paths have not been described with sufficient precision. Certain pencil alterations have, however, been made in the register and notification so as to accord with the map. These should be verified and amended, if necessary.

(iv) *Claim No.* 51.—It is noted that the access allowed in this claim to the spring wells at Karuvaka is merged in foot-path No. 8 of claim 48. The Forest Settlement-officer should have added a note to this effect in the register so as to make it correspond with the notification.

(v) *Claim No.* 55.—The exact situation of the temple and the area covered by the enclosure should have been given.

4. With reference to paragraph 6 of the Forest Settlement-officer's report, the patta fields excluded at settlement should be indicated on the sketch-map if the new village maps of the recent survey are now available, and opportunity should be taken to rectify mistakes, if any, on the present sketch-map before re-submission.

5. An early reply is requested.

(True Copies and Extract.)

(Signed) R. C. C. CARR,
Secretary.

To the Collector of Gódávari, with five enclosures
(Forest Settlement-officer's report, B. register,
draft notification, sketch-map and area statement),
through the Conservator of Forests, Northern Circle.

Read—also the following letter from V. A. BRODIE, Esq., Collector of Gódávari, to the Secretary to the Commissioners of Land Revenue, Madras (through the Conservator of Forests, Northern Circle), dated Cocanada, 9th September 1897, Dis. No. 440-For. :—

In re-submitting herewith the settlement papers of the Kandikuppa reserve returned for revision with Board's Proceedings, Forest Mis. No. 108, dated 2nd February 1897, I have the honour to reply as follows to the remarks made in the Board's Proceedings in question. These replies are based on the results of the joint inspection of the block made by the Forest Settlement-officer and the District Forest-officer. The block is reported to be a mangrove swamp intersected by creeks and there are consequently no cultivable lands within it.

2. *Paragraph* 1 *of the Board's Proceedings.*—The Forest Settlement-officer reports thus with reference to this paragraph :—

"(1) The village of *Molletimoga* is surrounded on three sides by canals—on the east boundary the reserve line runs somewhat adjacent to the village-site and it has accordingly been removed to a distance of one furlong, the additional space allowed being a piece of land 10 chains broad by 12 chains long.

(2) *Neellarevu* is a zemindari village and the reserve boundary follows the zemindari boundary, but as the temples of Danamma and Sattamma, which are separated from the village by a canal, belong to the zemindari, it has been thought advisable to exclude them from the reserve with an area of about 60 acres, whereby ample space has been left between the reserve and village-site.

(3) *Lotukalva* is a hamlet of Kandikuppa containing 12 houses with a population of 50 persons; it is situated on the margin of the swamp and no revision of the reserve boundary is necessary, as there is a distance of ten chains from the village-site to the reserve boundary on the east side of the village and on the west side a large space of "uncultivable land," but as stated above, these three villages are only inhabited by fishermen and there is no land under cultivation.

Paragraph 2 *of the Board's Proceedings.*—There being no cultivation within the reserve or in the villages adjoining it, no land has been allowed for extension of cultivation. The area of lands occupied by the village-sites and the area of the enclosures are now given in the settlement records.

Paragraph 3 *of the Board's Proceedings*—

(*i*) *Claim No.* 7.
(*ii*) *Claim No.* 16. } The discrepancies noticed are now rectified.
(*iii*) *Claim No.* 48.
(*iv*) *Claim No.* 51.—A note has been made in the B. register as directed.
(*v*) *Claim No* 55.—The temples are now excluded from the reserve by an alteration of its boundary.

Paragraph 4 *of the Board's Proceedings*:—If the patta fields excluded at settlement are now to be shown on the sketch-map according to the recent survey, it would entail the preparation of a new map and B. register. As the boundary of the reserve is not, however, affected by the recent survey, the numbers of fields on the sketch-map have not been altered.

3. The Forest Settlement-officer and the District Forest-officer report that, during their inspection, they ascertained that the Gollamanda huts are now deserted, so that the enclosure is no longer necessary. A note to this effect is now made in the B. register. Since the time of the settlement, a temporary lighthouse and two buildings have been erected in the reserve by the Marine Department apparently without any intimation either to myself or the District Forest-officer. The District Forest-officer has referred the matter to the Port officer and the question is now under the consideration of the Government. In its order, Mis. No. 3747, Revenue, dated 20th July 1897, the Government referred the question to the Board of Revenue for report as to whether it would not be better to exclude the ground on which the lighthouse and out-buildings stand temporarily from the reserve under the ruling in paragraph 2 of Government Order, No. 74, Revenue, dated 11th February 1889, and the Board in its turn has referred the matter to me for report (*vide* Reference on Forest No. 2167 of 1897, dated 26th July 1897). I have replied to that reference that I am of opinion that a temporary exclusion may be made as suggested by Government.

4. I very much regret the delay in the submission of my reply which was due to the local inspection that had to be made by the Forest Settlement-officer and the District Forest-officer and subsequent correspondence with the Tahsildar and the Forest Settlement-officer.

ENCLOSURE No. 1.

B. REGISTER of claims to Lands, rights to Forest produce or easements in the proposed Kandikuppa reserved forest block, Amalápur taluk, Gódávari district.

No.	Names of claimants.	Date of presentation of claims.	Nature and extent of claims preferred.	Brief summary of the evidence adduced in support of claims.	Brief summary of the District Forest-officer's arguments and evidence produced by him.	Brief abstract of decision and final disposal of the claims by the Forest Settlement-officer.
1	2	3	4	5	6	7
1	Ganapatiraz Appalaraz, village munsif of Katrinikona in the Amalápur taluk.	9th Feb. 1890.	Claims on behalf of the villagers of Katrinikona right to get firewood free from the Mada jungle belonging to Kandikuppa village.	Takid issued by the Tahsildar of Amalápur taluk, dated 7th October 1876, No. 320.	....	Claim rejected as it is manifest from the claimant's own statement that what the villagers claim is simply a privilege and no right. (Signed) D. JAGANNADHA RAU, PEROOR, Forest Sett.-officer. 27th June 1891.
2	Dinavahi Sivappa of Draksharama in the Rámachandrapur taluk.	28th Feb. 1890.	Claims exclusion of his patta land of Kandikuppa in the Amalápur taluk comprising the undermentioned fields :—	Verified by the village accounts.	....	Claim admitted being verified by the village accounts and the land is ordered to be excluded from the proposed reserve by alteration of its boundary. (Signed) D. JAGANNADHA RAU, PEROOR, Forest Sett.-officer. 27th June 1891.
			Numbers. / Extent. / Assessment. 587 — ACS. 1·91 — RS. A. P. 1 15 0 590 — 4·68 — 4 11 0 Total — 6·59 — 6 10 0			
3	Koppisetti Sattenna of Dontikurru in the Amalápur taluk.	5th March 1890.	Claims exclusion of his patta land of Kandikuppa in the Amalápur taluk comprising the undermentioned fields :—	Do. do.	....	Claim admitted being verified by the village accounts and the land is ordered to be excluded from the proposed reserve by alteration of its boundary. (Signed) D. JAGANNADHA RAU, PEROOR, Forest Sett.-officer. 27th June 1891.
			Numbers. / Extent. / Assessment. 336 — ACS. 4·56 — RS. A. P. 5 11 0 538 — 4·59 — 4 9 0 Total — 9·15 — 10 0 4			
4	Veluvali Subbarayudu of Kandikuppa in the Amalápur taluk.	Do.	Claims exclusion of his patta land of Kandikuppa in the Amalápur taluk comprising the undermentioned fields :—	Do. do.	....	Claim admitted being verified by the village accounts and the land is ordered to be excluded from the proposed reserve by alteration of its boundary. (Signed) D. JAGANNADHA RAU, PEROOR, Forest Sett.-officer. 27th June 1891.
			Numbers. / Extent. / Assessment. / Number. / Extent. / Assessment. 332 .. .. — ACS. 4·39 — RS. A. P. 5 8 0 — 555 .. .. — ACS. 4·15 — RS. A. P. 4 2 0 553 .. .. — 4·03 — 4 1 0 — 556 .. .. — 4·0 — 4 0 0 554 .. .. — 3·81 — 3 13 0 — Total .. — 20·38 — 21 8 0			
5	Veluvali Bapiraz of Kandikuppa in the Amalápur taluk.	Do.	Claims exclusion of his patta land of Kandikuppa in the Amalápur taluk comprising the undermentioned fields :—	Verified by the village accounts.	....	Claim admitted being verified by the village accounts and the land is ordered to be excluded from the proposed reserve by alteration of its boundary. (Signed) D. JAGANNADHA RAU, PEROOR, Forest Sett.-officer. 27th June 1891.
			Numbers. / Extent. / Assessment. 565 .. — ACS. 3·09 — RS. A. P. 3 1 0 569 .. — 3·16 — 3 3 0 570 .. — 3·61 — 3 10 0 571 .. — 4·12 — 4 2 0 544 .. — 4·37 — 4 6 0 575 .. — 4·65 — 4 10 0 Total .. — 23·0 — 23 0 0			
6	Koppisetti Nadavapalli of Dontikurru in the Amalápur taluk.	Do.	Claims exclusion of his patta land of Kandikuppa in the Amalápur taluk comprising the undermentioned field :—	Do. do.	....	Claim admitted being verified by the village accounts and the land is ordered to be excluded from the proposed reserve by alteration of its boundary. (Signed) D. JAGANNADHA RAU, PEROOR, Forest Sett.-officer. 27th June 1891.
			Numbers. / Extent. / Assessment. 640 .. — ACS. 3·72 — RS. A. P. 2 12 0			
7	Koppisetti Mahalakshmi of Dontikurru in the Amalápur taluk.	Do.	Claims exclusion of his patta land of Kandikuppa in the Amalápur taluk comprising the undermentioned fields :—	Do. do.	....	Claim admitted being verified by the village accounts and the land is ordered to be excluded from the proposed reserve by alteration of its boundary. (Signed) D. JAGANNADHA RAU, PEROOR, Forest Sett.-officer. 27th June 1891.
			Numbers. / Extent. / Assessment. 333 .. — ACS. 3·21 — RS. A. P. 4 0 0 471 .. — 2·15 — 2 7 0 472 .. — 2·79 — 2 13 0 540 .. — 2·73 — 2 12 0 Total .. — 10·88 — 12 0 0			
8	Veludurti Ammanna of Dontikarru in the Amalápur taluk.	Do.	Claims exclusion of his patta land of Kandikuppa in the Amalápur taluk comprising survey :—	Do. do.	....	Claim admitted being verified by the village accounts and the land is ordered to be excluded from the proposed reserve by alteration of its boundary. (Signed) D. JAGANNADHA RAU, PEROOR, Forest Sett.-officer. 27th June 1891.
			Number. / Extent. / Assessment. 550 .. — ACS. 1·37 — RS. A. P. 1 6 0			

B. Register of claims to Lands, rights to Forest produce or easements in the proposed Kandikuppa reserved forest, &c.—*cont.*

No.	Names of claimants.	Date of presentation of claims.	Nature and extent of claims preferred.	Brief summary of the evidence adduced in support of claims.	Brief summary of the District Forest-officer's arguments and evidence produced by him.	Brief abstract of decision and final disposal of the claims by the Forest Settlement-officer.
1	2	3	4	5	6	7
9	Koppisetti Sanyasi of Dontikurru in the Amalápur taluk.	5th March 1890.	Claims exclusion of his patta land of Kandikuppa in the Amalápur taluk comprising the undermentioned fields :—	Verified by the village accounts.	....	Claim admitted being verified by the village accounts and the land is ordered to be excluded from the proposed reserve by alteration of its boundary. (Signed) D. JAGANNADHA RAU, Peroor, Forest Sett.-officer. 27th June 1891.
			Numbers: 546 .. ; 547 .. ; 286-C .. ; Total .. — Extent: Acs. 3·17 ; 3·98 ; 0·95 ; 8·10 — Assessment: Rs. A. P. 3 8 0 ; 4 0 0 ; 1 3 0 ; 8 6 0			
10	Koppisetti Subbaya of Dontikurru in the Amalápur taluk.	Do.	Claims exclusion of his patta land of Kandikuppa in the Amalápur taluk comprising the undermentioned fields :—	Do. do. ..	....	Claim admitted being verified by the village accounts and the land is ordered to be excluded from the proposed reserve by alteration of its boundary. (Signed) D. JAGANNADHA RAU, Peroor, Forest Sett.-officer. 27th June 1891.
			Numbers: 330 .. .. ; 572 .. .. ; 573 .. .. ; 576 .. .. ; 577 .. .. — Extent: Acs. 5·28 ; 3·48 ; 3·27 ; 3·14 ; 3·15 — Assessment: Rs. A. P. 6 10 0 ; 3 8 0 ; 3 4 0 ; 3 2 0 ; 3 2 0			
			Numbers: 580 .. .. ; 568 .. .. ; 465 .. .. ; Total .. — Extent: Acs. 2·67 ; 2·58 ; 3·21 ; 26·78 — Assessment: Rs. A. P. 2 11 0 ; 2 9 0 ; 3 3 0 ; 28 1 0			
			which he has been enjoying jointly with Koppisettiswami, Chinna Subbaya and Masenu.			
11	Koppisetti Subbaya of Dontikurru in the Amalápur taluk.	Do.	Claims exclusion of his patta land of Kandikuppa in the Amalápur taluk comprising the undermentioned fields :—	Verified by the village accounts.	....	Claim admitted being verified by the village accounts and the land is ordered to be excluded from the proposed reserve by alteration of its boundary. (Signed) D. JAGANNADHA RAU, Peroor, Forest Sett.-officer. 27th June 1891.
			Numbers: 578 .. ; 579 .. ; 582 .. ; 585 .. ; Total .. — Extent: Acs. 4·94 ; 4·94 ; 1·94 ; 4·29 ; 16·11 — Assessment: Rs. A. P. 4 15 0 ; 4 15 0 ; 1 15 0 ; 4 5 0 ; 16 2 0			
12	Koppisetti Subbaya on behalf of Koppisetti Swami, his nephew of Dontikurru, in the Amalápur taluk.	Do.	Claims exclusion of patta land of Kandikuppa in the Amalápur taluk, comprising No. 286-B with an area of 3·38 acres, assessed at Rs. 4-14-0.	Do. do.	....	Claim admitted being verified by the village accounts and the land is ordered to be excluded from the proposed reserve by alteration of its boundary. (Signed) D. JAGANNADHA RAU, Peroor, Forest Sett.-officer. 27th June 1891.
13	Mattaparti Venkatesa of Chirrayanam in the Amalápur taluk.	Do.	Claims exclusion of his patta land of Kandikuppa in the Amalápur taluk, comprising the undermentioned fields :—	Do. do.	....	Claim admitted being verified by the village accounts and the land is ordered to be excluded from the proposed reserve by alteration of its boundary. (Signed) D. JAGANNADHA RAU, Peroor, Forest Sett.-officer. 27th June 1891.
			Numbers: 466 .. ; 467 .. ; 468 .. ; Total .. — Extent: Acs. 3·53 ; 3·70 ; 3·91 ; 11·19 — Assessment: Rs. A. P. 3 9 0 ; 3 11 0 ; 3 15 0 ; 11 3 0			
14	Garaga Swami, tenant of Anantamutyalu of Cocanada of Lotukalva, hamlet of Kandikuppa, in the Amalápur taluk.	6th March 1890.	Claims exclusion of patta land of Kandikuppa in the Amalápur taluk, comprising No. 551 with an area of 4·30 acres, assessed at Rs. 4-5-0.	Do. do.	....	Claim admitted being verified by the village accounts and the land is ordered to be excluded from the proposed reserve by alteration of its boundary. (Signed) D. JAGANNADHA RAU, Peroor, Forest Sett.-officer. 27th June 1891.
15	Palepu Reddi on behalf of his minor nephew Masenu Venkatesa of Pallam, hamlet of Kandikuppa in the Amalápur taluk.	10th Mar. 1890.	Claims exclusion of patta land of Kandikuppa in the Amalápur taluk, comprising No. 633 with an area of 3·03 acres, assessed at Rs. 3-1-0.	Do. do.	....	Claim admitted being verified by the village accounts and the land is ordered to be excluded from the proposed reserve by alteration of its boundary. (Signed) D. JAGANNADHA RAU, Peroor, Forest Sett.-officer. 27th June 1891.
16	Gejangi Venkataswami of Kandikuppa in the Amalápur taluk.	Do.	Claims exclusion of his patta land of Kandikuppa in the Amalápur taluk, comprising the undermentioned fields :—	Do. do.	....	Claim admitted being verified by the village accounts and the land is ordered to be excluded from the proposed reserve by alteration of its boundary. (Signed) D. JAGANNADHA RAU, Peroor, Forest Sett.-officer. 27th June 1891.
			Numbers: 559 .. ; 562 .. ; 566 .. ; Total .. — Extent: Acs. 3·60 ; 3·90 ; 3·64 ; 11·14 — Assessment: Rs. A. P. 3 10 0 ; 3 14 0 ; 3 10 0 ; 11 2 0			

B. Register of claims to Lands, rights to Forest produce or easements in the proposed Kandikuppa reserved forest, &c.—*cont.*

No.	Names of claimants.	Date of presentation of claims.	Nature of extent and claims preferred.	Brief summary of the evidence adduced in support of claims.	Brief summary of the District Forest-officer's arguments and evidence produced by him.	Brief abstract of decision and final disposal of the claims by the Forest Settlement-officer.
1	2	3	4	5	6	7
17	Gejangi Venkata Swami of Kandikuppa in the Amalápur taluk.	10th Mar. 1890.	Claims exclusion of his patta land of Kandikuppa in the Amalápur taluk, comprising No. 331 with an area of 6·65 acres, assessed at Rs. 8-5-0, held by him jointly with his younger brother Gejangi Swami and Barum Venkata Reddi.	Verified by the village accounts.	....	Claim admitted being verified by the village accounts and the land is ordered to be excluded from the proposed reserve by alteration of its boundary. (Signed) D. JAGANNADHA RAU, Peroor, Forest Sett.-officer. 27th June 1891.
18	Gejangi Venkata Swami, son of Ramaiah on behalf of his sister's daughter Gejangi Sattemana of Kandikuppa, in the Amalápur taluk.	Do.	Claims exclusion of his sister's daughter's patta land of Kandikuppa in the Amalápur taluk, comprising No. 560 with an area of 4·10 acres, assessed at Rs. 4-2-0.	Do. do.	....	Claim admitted being verified by the village accounts and the land is ordered to be excluded from the proposed reserve by alteration of its boundary. (Signed) D. JAGANNADHA RAU, Peroor, Forest Sett.-officer. 27th June 1891.
19	Gejangi Pedaswami of Kandikuppa in the Amalápur taluk.	Do.	Claims exclusion of his patta land of Kandikuppa in the Amalápur taluk, comprising No. 561 with an area of 4·11 acres, assessed at Rs. 4-2-0, held by him jointly with Barum Venkata Reddi.	Do. do.	....	Claim admitted being verified by the village accounts and the land is ordered to be excluded from the proposed reserve by alteration of its boundary. (Signed) D. JAGANNADHA RAU, Peroor, Forest Sett.-officer. 27th June 1891.
20	Gejangi Narasu of Kandikuppa in the Amalápur taluk.	Do.	Claims exclusion of his patta land of Kandikuppa in the Amalápur taluk, comprising No. 477 with an area of 2·92 acres, assessed at Rupees 2-15-0.	Do. do.	....	Claims admitted being verified by the village accounts and the land is ordered to be excluded from the proposed reserve by alteration of its boundary. (Signed) D. JAGANNADHA RAU, Peroor, Forest Sett.-officer. 27th June 1891.
21	Perumadi Mutyalu of Nillaravu, hamlet of Guttinadini, in the Amalápur taluk.	Do.	Claims exclusion of his patta land of Kandikuppa in the Amalápur taluk comprising— Numbers. | Extent. | Assessment. 476 .. 3·13 | 3 2 0 346 .. 3·28 | 4 2 0 Total .. 6·41 | 7 4 0	....	....	Claim admitted being verified by the village accounts and the land is ordered to be excluded from the proposed reserve by alteration of its boundary. (Signed) D. JAGANNADHA RAU, Peroor, Forest Sett.-officer. 29th June 1891.
22	Barum Venkappa of Lothukalva, hamlet of Kandikuppa, in the Amalápur taluk.	Do.	Claims exclusion of his patta land of Kandikuppa, in the Amalápur taluk, comprising— Numbers. | Extent. | Assessment. 478 .. 2·21 | 2 3 0 558 .. 1·58 | 1 9 0 337 .. 4·41 | 5 8 0 Total .. 8·20 | 9 4 0	Verified by the village accounts.	....	Claim admitted being verified by the village accounts, and the land is ordered to be excluded from the proposed reserve by alteration of its boundary. (Signed) D. JAGANNADHA RAU, Peroor, Forest Sett.-officer. 29th June 1891.
23	Barum Narasayya of Lothukalva, hamlet of Kandikuppa, in the Amalápur taluk.	Do.	Claims exclusion of his patta land of Kandikuppa, in the Amalápur taluk, comprising— Numbers. | Extent. | Assessment. 463 .. 2·69 | 2 11 0 464 .. 2·92 | 2 15 0 289 .. 0·48 | 0 13 0 Total .. 6 09 | 6 7 0	Do. do.	....	Claim admitted being verified by the village accounts and the land is ordered to be excluded from the proposed reserve by alteration of its boundary. (Signed) D. JAGANNADHA RAU, Peroor, Forest Sett.-officer. 29th June 1891.
24	Gejangi Venkataswami of Kandikuppa, in the Amalápur taluk.	Do.	Claims exclusion of his patta land of Kandikuppa, in the Amalápur taluk, comprising— Number. | Extent | Assessment. 286 .. 2 0 | 2 8 0	....	....	Claim rejected as the claimant holds no ryotwari patta for the land claimed. (Signed) D. JAGANNADHA RAU, Peroor, Forest Sett.-officer. 29th June 1891.
25	Gejangi Venkata Reddi, son of Bullenna of Kandikuppa, in the Amalápur taluk.	Do.	Claims exclusion of his patta land of Kandikuppa in the Amalápur taluk comprising No. 613, with an area of 8·03 acres assessed at Rs. 8-1-0.	Verified by the village accounts.	....	Claim admitted being verified by the village accounts, and the land is ordered to be excluded from the proposed reserve by alteration of its boundary. (Signed) D. JAGANNADHA RAU, Peroor, Forest Sett.-officer. 29th June 1891.
26	Barum Sattenna of Lothukalva, hamlet of Kandikuppa, in the Amalápur taluk.	Do.	Claims exclusion of his patta land of Kandikuppa, in the Amalápur taluk, comprising— Numbers. | Extent. | Assessment. | Numbers. | Extent. | Assessment. 557 .. 1·47 | 1 8 0 | 349 .. 6·51 | 6 8 0 563 .. 3·93 | 3 15 0 | 351 .. 1·68 | 2 2 0 564 .. 1·89 | 1 14 0 | 481 .. 4·51 | 4 8 0 341 .. 5·84 | 5 13 0 348 .. 3·79 | 4 12 0 | Total .. 29·62 | 31 0 0	Do. do.	....	Claim admitted being verified by the village accounts, and the land is ordered to be excluded from the proposed reserve by alteration of its boundary. (Signed) D. JAGANNADHA RAU, Peroor, Forest Sett.-officer. 29th June 1891.

B. Register of claims to Lands, rights to Forest produce or easements in the proposed Kandikuppa reserved forest, &c.—*cont.*

No.	Names of claimants.	Date of presentation of clams.	Nature of extent of claims preferred.	Brief summary of the evidence adduced in support of claims.	Brief summary of the District Forest-officer's arguments and evidence produced by him.	Brief abstract of decision and final disposal of the claims by the Forest Settlement-officer.
1	2	3	4	5	6	7
27	Pemmadi Sattenna of Lothukalva, hamlet of Kandikuppa, in the Amalápur taluk.	10th Mar. 1890.	Claims exclusion of his patta land of Kandikuppa in the Amalápur taluk comprising— Number. / Extent. / Assessment. 339 .. / ACS. 1·14 / RS. A. P. 1 7 0 held by him jointly with Pemmadi Tataya, Kamudu and Ramudu.	Verified by the village accounts.	....	Claim admitted being verified by the village accounts, and the land is ordered to be excluded from the proposed reserve by alteration of its boundary. (Signed) D. JAGANNADHA RAU, Peroor, Forest Sett.-officer. 29th June 1891.
28	Pemmadi Sattenna of Lothukalva, hamlet of Kandikuppa, in the Amalápur taluk.	Do.	Claims exclusion of his patta land of Kandikuppa in the Amalápur taluk, comprising No. 340, with an area of 2·03 acres assessed at Rs. 2–9–0.	Do. do.	....	Claim admitted being verified by the village accounts and the land is ordered to be excluded from the proposed reserve by alteration of its boundary. (Signed) D. JAGANNADHA RAU, Peroor, Forest Sett.-officer. 29th June 1891.
29	Pemmadi Tataya of Lothukalva, hamlet of Kandikuppa, in the Amalapur taluk.	Do.	Claims exclusion of his patta land of Kandikuppa, in the Amalápur taluk, comprising No. 342, with an area of 5·10 acres assessed at Rs. 5–2–0, held by him jointly with Pemmadi Sattenna.	Do. do.	....	Claim admitted being verified by the village accounts, and the land is ordered to be excluded from the proposed reserve by alteration of its boundary. (Signed) D. JAGANNADHA RAU, Peroor, Forest Sett.-officer. 29th June 1891.
30	Garaga Sattenna of Lothukalva, hamlet of Kandikuppa, in the Amalápur taluk.	Do.	Claims exclusion of his patta land of Kandikuppa, in the Amalápur taluk, comprising— Numbers. / Extent. / Assessment. 541 .. / ACS. 2·88 / RS. A. P. 2 14 0 542 .. / 2·06 / 2 1 0 Total .. / 4·94 / 4 15 0	Do. do.	....	Claim admitted being verified by the village accounts, and the land is ordered to be excluded from the proposed reserve by alteration of its boundary. (Signed) D. JAGANNADHA RAU, Peroor, Forest Sett.-officer. 29th June 1891.
31	Garaga Sattenna of Lothukalva, hamlet of Kandikuppa, in the Amalápur taluk.	Do.	Claims exclusion of his patta land of Kandikuppa, in the Amalápur taluk, comprising No. 286, with an area of 20 acres assessed at Rs. 20.	Do. do.	....	Claim rejected, as the claimant and his share-holders hold no patta for the land claimed. (Signed) D. JAGANNADHA RAU, Peroor, Forest Sett.-officer. 29th June 1891.

32	Garaga Ramudu's son Satteyya of Lothukalva, hamlet of Kandikuppa, in the Amalápur taluk.	Do.	Claims exclusion of his patta land of Kandikuppa, in the Amalápur taluk, comprising— Number. / Extent. / Assessment. 334 .. / ACS. 2·62 / RS. A. P. 3 4 0 345 .. / 2·83 / 3 9 0 Total .. / 5·45 / 6 13 0	Do. do.	....	Claim admitted being verified by the village accounts, and the land is ordered to be excluded from the proposed reserve by alteration of its boundary. (Signed) D. JAGANNADHA RAU, Forest Sett.-officer. Peroor, 29th June 1891.
33	Garaga Peda Sattenna of Lothukalva, hamlet of Kandikuppa, in the Amalápur taluk.	Do.	Claims exclusion of his patta land of Kandikuppa, in the Amalápur taluk, comprising— Numbers. / Extent. / Assessment. 543 .. / ACS. 2·46 / RS. A. P. 2 7 0 544 .. / 3·74 / 3 12 0 Total .. / 6·20 / 6 3 0 held by him jointly with Garaga Venkata Reddi and Swami.	Do. do.	....	Claim admitted being verified by the village accounts, and the land is ordered to be excluded from the proposed reserve by alteration of its boundary. (Signed) D. JAGANNADA RAU, Forest Sett.-officer. Peroor, 29th June 1891.
34	Garaga Sattenna of Lothukalva, hamlet of Kandikuppa, in the Amalápur taluk.	Do.	Claims exclusion of his patta land of Kandikuppa, in the Amalápur taluk, comprising No. 353 with an area of 0·72 acre assessed at As. 14–0.	Do. do.	....	Claim admitted being verified by the village accounts, and the land is ordered to be excluded from the proposed reserve by alteration of its boundary. (Signed) D. JAGANNADHA RAU, Peroor, Forest Sett.-officer. 29th June 1891.
35	Garaga Peda Sattenna of Lothukalva, hamlet of Kandikuppa, in the Amalápur taluk.	Do.	Claims exclusion of his patta land of Kandikuppa, in the Amalápur taluk, comprising— Numbers. / Extent. / Assessment. 350 .. / ACS. 3·99 / RS. A. P. 5 0 0 354 .. / 3·52 / 4 6 0 Total .. / 7·51 / 9 6 0 held by him jointly in the Garaga Sattenna Venkata Reddi and Swami.	Do. do.	....	Claim admitted being verified by the village accounts, and the land is ordered to be excluded from the proposed reserve by alteration of its boundary. (Signed) D. JAGANNADHA RAU, Forest Sett.-officer. Peroor, 29th June 1891.

B. Register of claims to Lands, rights to Forest produce or easements in the proposed Kandikuppa reserved forest, &c.—*cont.*

No.	Names of claimants.	Date of presentation of claims.	Nature and extent of claims preferred.	Brief summary of the evidence adduced in support of claims.	Brief summary of the District Forest-officer's arguments and evidence produced by him.	Brief abstract of decision and final disposal of the claims by the Forest Settlement-officer.
1	2	3	4	5	6	7
36	Garaga Peda Sattenna of Lothukalva, hamlet of Kandikuppa in the Amalápur taluk.	10th Mar. 1890.	Claims exclusion of his patta land of Kandikuppa, in the Amalápur taluk, comprising No. 287 with an area of 0·91 acre assessed at Rs. 1-10-0.	Verified by the village accounts.	....	Claim admitted being verified by the village accounts, and the land is ordered to be excluded from the proposed reserve by alteration of its boundary. (Signed) D. JAGANNADHA RAU, Forest Sett.-officer. Peroor, 29th June 1891.
37	Gejangi Chinna Mutyalu of Kandikuppa, in the Amalápur taluk.	Do.	Claims exclusion of his patta land of Kandikuppa, in the Amalápur taluk, comprising No. 343, with an area of 4·40 acres assessed at Rs. 5 8-0, held by him jointly with Pemmadi Tataya.	Do. do.	....	Claim admitted being verified by the village accounts, and the land is ordered to be excluded from the proposed reserve by alteration of its boundary. (Signed) D. JAGANNADHA RAU, Forest Sett.-officer. Peroor, 29th June 1891.
38	Claim put in by the villagers of Geddanapalli, in the Amalápur taluk.	1st Apr. 1890.	Claims right to get firewood free from the Kandikuppa jungle now proposed to be reserved.	....	....	Claim rejected as it is manifest from the villagers' statement itself that what they claim is simply a privilege and no right. (Signed) D. JAGANNADHA RAU, Forest Sett.-officer. Peroor, 29th June 1891.
39	Gejangi Venkata Reddi of Kandikuppa, in the Amalápur taluk.	24th June 1891.	Claims exclusion of his patta land of Kandikuppa, in the Amalápur taluk, comprising— Number. | Extent. | Assessment. 609 .. 2·94 | 2 15 0 610 .. 3·30 | 3 5 0 473 .. 2·96 | 2 15 0 474 .. 3·09 | 3 2 0 Total .. 12·29 | 12 5 0	Verified by village accounts.	....	Claim admitted being verified by the village accounts, and the land is ordered to be excluded from the proposed reserve by alteration of its boundary. (Signed) D. JAGANNADHA RAU, Forest Sett.-officer. Peroor, 29th June 1891.
40	Garaga Peddayya of Kandikuppa, in the Amalápur taluk.	Do.	Claims exclusion of his patta land of Kandikuppa, in the Amalápur taluk, comprising No. 617, with an area of 6·61 acres assessed at Rs. 6-10-0, held by him jointly with Gejangi Atchanna.	Do. do.	....	Claim admitted being verified by the village accounts, and the land is ordered to be excluded from the proposed reserve by alteration of its boundary. (Signed) D. JAGANNADHA RAU, Forest Sett.-officer. Peroor, 29th June 1891.
41	Malladi Nagulu of Pallam, hamlet of Kandikuppa, in the Amalápur taluk.	Do.	Claims exclusion of his patta land of Kandikuppa, in the Amalápur taluk, comprising No. 548 with an area of 1·83 acres assessed at Rs. 1-13-0.	Do. do.	....	Claim admitted being verified by the village accounts, and the land is ordered to be excluded from the proposed reserve by alteration of its boundary. (Signed) D. JAGANNADHA RAU, Forest Sett.-officer. Peroor, 29th June 1891.
42	Palepu Narasu of Pallam, hamlet of Kandikuppa, in the Amalápur taluk.	Do.	Claims exclusion of his patta land of Kandikuppa, in the Amalápur taluk, comprising the undermentioned fields— Numbers. | Extent. | Assessment. 639 .. 2·96 | 2 15 0 641 .. 1·49 | 1 8 0 Total .. 4·45 | 4 7 0	Do. do.	....	Claim admitted being verified by the village accounts, and the land is ordered to be excluded from the proposed reserve by alteration of its boundary. (Signed) D. JAGANNADHA RAU, Forest Sett.-officer. Peroor, 29th June 1891.
43	Malladi Adenna of Pallam, hamlet of Kandikuppa, in the Amalápur taluk.	25th June 1891.	Claims exclusion of his patta land of Kandikuppa, in the Amalápur taluk, comprising No. 624 with an area of 2·42 acres assessed at Rs. 2-7-0.	Do. do.	....	Claim admitted being verified by the village accounts, and the land is ordered to be excluded from the proposed reserve by alteration of its boundary. (Signed) D. JAGANNADHA RAU, Forest Sett.-officer. Peroor, 29th June 1891.
44	Akula Reddi of Pallam, hamlet of Kandikuppa, in the Amalápur taluk.	Do.	Claims exclusion of his patta land of Kandikuppa, in the Amalápur taluk, comprising No. 475 with an area of 3·20 acres assessed at Rs. 3-3-0.	Do. do.	....	Claim admitted being verified by the village accounts, and the land is ordered to be excluded from the proposed reserve by alteration of its boundary. (Signed) D. JAGANNADHA RAU, Forest Sett.-officer. Peroor, 29th June 1891.
45	Malladi Swami of Pallam, hamlet of Kandikuppa, in the Amalápur taluk.	Do.	Claims exclusion of his patta land of Kandikuppa, in the Amalápur taluk, comprising No. 552 with an area of 3·67 acres assessed at Rs. 3-11-0, which he has purchased from its former holder Gejangi Venkataswami.	Do. do.	....	Claim admitted being verified by the village accounts, and the land is ordered to be excluded from the proposed reserve by alteration of its boundary. (Signed) D. JAGANNADHA RAU, Forest Sett.-officer. Peroor, 29th June 1891.
49	Lutikurti Maridi of Dontikurru, in the Amalápur taluk.	Do.	Claims exclusion of his patta land of Kandikuppa, in the Amalápur taluk, comprising No. 638, with an area of 2·97 acres assessed at Rs. 3.	Do. do.	....	Claim admitted being verified by the village accounts, and the land is ordered to be excluded from the proposed reserve by alteration of its boundary. (Signed) D. JAGANNADHA RAU, Forest Sett.-officer. Peroor, 29th June 1891.

B. Register of claims to Lands, rights to Forest produce or easements in the proposed Kandikuppa reserved forest, &c.—*cont.*

No.	Names of claimants.	Date of presentation of claims.	Nature of extent of claims preferred.	Brief summary of the evidence adduced in support of claims.	Brief summary of the District Forest-officer's arguments and evidence produced by him	Brief abstract of decision and final disposal of the claims by the Forest Settlement-officer.
1	2	3	4	5	6	7
47	Malladiswami, son of Satenna of Pallam, hamlet of Kandikuppa, in the Amalápur taluk.	25th June 1891.	Claims exclusion of his father's patta land of Kandikuppa, in the Amalápur taluk, comprising No. 549 with an area of 71 cents assessed at As. 11.	Verified by the village accounts.	....	Claim admitted being verified by the village accounts and the land is ordered to be excluded from the proposed reserve by alteration of its boundary. (Signed) D. JAGANNADHA RAU, Peddapur, Forest Sett.-officer. 29th June 1891.
48	Kaladi Danaraj, village munsif of Pandi, Pora and Molletimoga, in the Amalápur taluk.	Do.	Claims the following rights of way:— (*a*) Right of way for men over the following footpaths which are each two yards wide:— (1) From Chirrayanam to Pandi. It enters the reserve at Pandigaruvu (a place so known) and runs* north-east to Pandi, its length in the reserve being about two miles. (2) Lothukalva to Pandi. It enters the reserve about a furlong east of Lothukalva, hamlet of Kandikuppa, runs through it south-east, adjoins Chirrayanam-Pandi path No. 1 at its entrance, its length up to the junction being about three-fourth mile. (3) Kandikuppa to Pandi, about three-fourth mile long. It enters the reserve about a furlong south-east of survey No. 629 of Kandikuppa and runs south-east to Pandi. (4) Pandi to Neellarevu, hamlet of Brahmasamedhiem, about a mile long. It enters the reserve east of Pandi, runs south-eastwards and leaves it about a furlong north-west of Neellarevu. (5) Pandi to Pora, about two miles long.‡ It enters the reserve north of Pandi and runs north-east to Pora. (6) Kandikuppa to Pora, about three-fourth mile long. It enters the reserve about three furlongs south-east of Adivimalapalli, hamlet of Dontikurru, and runs almost south-eastwards to Pora. (7) Kandikuppa to Molletimoga, about a mile long. It enters the reserve about two furlongs south of the north-west corner of the proposed reserve, runs through it east and leaves it west of Molletimoga. (8) From Molletimoga to the sea-shore, about three-fourth mile long. It enters the reserve east of Molletimoga and runs eastwards to the sea. (*b*) Right of navigation over the undermentioned creeks:— (1) From Kandikuppa to Pandi over the creek called Musarapadu. (2) From Pandi to Neellarevu over Vaddisalapa. (3) Pandi to Pora over Pandi Godavari. (4) Kandikuppa to Pora over Peddirevukalva. (5) Kandikuppa to Molletimoga over Kollarevukalva. (6) Pora to Molletimoga over the creek called Bidarukulva. (7) Pora to sea-shore over the Chikatikalva. (8) From Gazangi Tippa to Pandi over Nallakalva.	Two witnesses have been examined for the claimant who prove that the rights of way claimed have been in use from a long time and that they know of it personally for the last 50 and 30 years according to the age of the witness. No. 2 enters the reserve about half a furlong east of the village-site of Lothukalva, hamlet of Kandikuppa, and running south-east and north-east for about one and three-fourth mile, joins the footpath No. 1. No. 3 enters the reserve about seven chains north-east of the north-east corner of survey No. 629 of Kandikuppa and runs south-east for about three-fourth of a mile to Pandi † (4) This enters the reserve south-east of Pandi village site and running south-east for about a mile, leaves the reserve about fourteen chains west of Neellarevu village-site. No. 7 enters the reserve about two furlongs south-west of the trijunction of Kandikuppa, Guttinadivi, and the forest block, running generally east for about six and a half furlongs and leaves § it north-west of Molletimoga village site. No. 8 enters the reserve east ‖ of the village-site of Molletimoga and running south-east by the Karuvaka chakali huts for about seven furlongs and crossing the foot and cattle path from one arm of the Gódávari to the other admitted in claim No. 51, leaves it on the sea-shore.	The District Forest-officer's has no remarks to offer.	Claim admitted being verified by the village accounts and the land is ordered to be excluded from the proposed reserve by alteration of its boundary. (Signed) D. JAGANNADHA RAU, Peddapur, Forest Sett.-officer. 29th June 1891. As the rights claimed are proved to have been exercised from a long time, the claim is admitted in the name of the general public and the rights claimed are allowed through the reserve. (Signed) D. JAGANNADHA RAU, Rajahmundry, Forest Sett.-officer. 11th July 1891.
49	Akula Reddi of Pallam, hamlet of Kandikuppa, in the Amalápur taluk.	25th June 1891.	Claims on behalf of himself and all the fishermen of Pallam, Pandi, Pora, Molletimoga right of fishing in all the creeks within the limits of the proposed Kandikuppa reserve.	....	The District Forest-officer has no remarks to offer.	The claimant admits that the fishermen of each village had certain limits fixed for them when moturpha tax was levied some 30 years back, but that when the tax was abolished, they were allowed to fish free without any restrictions. It is thus mainfest that the fishermen had never any right as against Government. As no easement has been established in their favour, the claim is rejected. (Signed) D. JAGANNADHA RAU, Rajahmundry, Forest Sett.-officer. 11th July 1891.
50	Sri Raja Bollapragadu Venkata Subba Rau Garu, Zemindar of Madanapalli estate, in the Amalápur taluk.	15th Mar. 1892.	Claims, on behalf of himself and his younger brother Sri Raja Sundara Rama Swami Garu, the strip of beach between Kottapalam on the north and Bramhasamedhiem on the south, about four miles long and half a mile wide on average, and lying to the east of Government villages of Molletimoga, Pora and Pandi, as the zemindari property attached to the cusba village of Bramhasamedhiem.	Twelve witnesses were examined for the claimant, seven of whom say that the land in question is said to belong to the zemindari village of Bramhasamedhiem, one of whom is the karnam of Kandikuppa. Of the remaining five, two are persons who have recently settled themselves on the beach. The remaining two are the claimant's Pullari contractors who say that up to three years back the right of grazing was leased out to them by the claimant for about 10 or 16 years and that they collected fees on cattle grazed on the beach in question.	The District Forest-officer opposes the claim.	¶ It appears that the boundary marks were at the time of survey erected at a distance of about 70 or 80 yards from the sea, but they seem to have been erected there to avoid being washed away by the sea in the same way as they have been erected to the east of the zemindari villages of Balusutippa and Masumtippa (*vide* survey map, exhibit marked C), where the zemindar says all the land up to sea belongs to him though the boundary marks stand in the same way as at Molletimoga, Pora and Pandi. Thus the written evidence, namely the survey maps produced by the claimant himself, are in favour of Government and go against him. The oral evidence adduced by him is as quite meagre and unsatisfactory. His assertion that the strip belongs to him further seems to be absurd from the position of the land. Under these circumstances, I reject the claim and declare the land to be Government property available for reservation. (Signed) D. JAGANNADHA RAU, Peddapur, Forest Sett.-officer. 16th May 1892.
51	The District Forest-officer, Gódávari.	1st June 1892.	Claims, on behalf of the general public, rights of way for the use of men and cattle from one arm of the Gódávari to the other along the beach which enters the reserve about a mile east-south-east of Molletimoga village, runs through it south-west and leaves it about a mile north-east of Neellarevu village, its length being about four miles and width two yards; and (2) right to the inhabitants of Molletimoga and Kottapalam to the use of water of spring wells at Karuvaka (teach) for domestic purposes with access to them by a foot-path about three furlongs long and two yards wide and which enters the reserve about eight chains south-east of Molletimoga and runs south-east to the wells.	This enters the reserve at its easternmost corner and after running south-west parallel to the seashore for about four miles, leaves it near the north-east corner of Neellarevu village limits.	....	The two rights claimed having been found to be necessary on inspection both by myself and the District Forest-officer, the claim to the right of way along the beach is admitted in the name of the general public, and the right to the use of water with access ** to it is admitted in the name of the villagers of Molletimoga and Kottapalem. (Signed) D. JAGANNADHA RAU, Cocanada, Forest Sett.-officer. 8th June 1892.
52	Kottapalli Subbadu of Molletimoga.	6th April 1895.	Claims on behalf of himself and two others exclusion of hamlet of Karuvaka, which consists of four huts where he and the others referred to above live, and situated at a distance of about half a mile south-east of Molletimoga, as also four wells at it.	The claimant has examined one witness who proves that the huts claimed have been in existence from a long time and states that he knows of them personally from his childhood.	The District Forest-officer has no objection to the exclusion of the huts and wells, and requests that they may be treated as enclosures within the reserve.	The hamlet of Kuruvaka having been proved to have been in existence for a long time, the claim is admitted in the name of the claimant and the others referred to above, and the hamlet together with the wells is ordered to be excluded from the reserve but retained as an enclosure in it as demarcated by the Forest Department, the hamlet itself being free from reservation. Rajahmundry, (Signed) J. T. LEVER, 19th April 1895. Forest Sett.-officer.

* *Item* 1.—Running north-east for about two miles, it leaves the reserve at a point one and a half chains south-east of the south-west corner of the Pandi village enclosure. Marked as I (1).
Item 2—marked as I (2).
† *Item* 3.—Leaving the reserve at a point four chains north-east of the south-west corner of the Pandi enclosure. Marked as I (3).
Item 4—marked as I (4).
Claim 48. ‡ *Item* 5.—It enters the reserve north of Pandi about two and a half chains north-west of its north-east corner and running north-east for about two miles, leaves it into Pora at a cairn seven chains east of the westernmost point of the Pora village-site. Marked as I (5).
Item 6.—Leaves near the westernmost corner of the Pora enclosure. Marked as I (6).
§ *Item* 7.—Leaves the reserve about two chains north-west of the shrine of Molletimoga. Marked as I (7).
‖ *Item* 8.—One and half furlongs south-east. Marked as I (8).

¶ *Claim* 50.—In appeal suit No. 261 of 1892 on the file of the District Court of Gódávari, the decision of the Lower Court was confirmed on the ground that the strip of land claimed belonged to Government villages and that the appellants have not approved adverse possession of sixty years against Government and the appeal was dismissed with costs.
17th January 1894. (Signed) H. T. ROSS,
District Judge.

Claim 51. * *Item* 1.—The path from one arm of Gódávari to the other along the beach enters about three chains north-west of the easternmost point of the reserve and leaves it on the eastern boundary of Bramhasamedhiem zemindari about three chains west-north-west of its junction with the Bay of Bengal. Marked as I (9).
** *Item* 2.—Foot-path No. 8 in claim No. 48 serves as access.

Claim 52.—The area of the enclosure of Karuvaka is 1·75 acres. Access is by right of way No. 8. Marked as III (1).

Claim 48 (*b*).—Items 1 to 8 are marked as II (1), (2), (3), (4), (5), (6), (7) and (8) respectively.

B. Register of claims to Lands, rights to Forest produce or easements in the proposed Kandikuppa reserved forest, &c.—*cont.*

No.	Names of claimants.	Date of presentation of claims.	Nature and extent of claims preferred.	Brief summary of the District Forest-officer's arguments and evidence produced by him.	Brief abstract of decision and final disposal of the claims by the Forest Settlement-officer.
1	2	3	4	6	7
53	Isakapalli Kristnayya of the hamlet of Gollamanda.	6th April 1895.	Claims, on behalf of the general public, right of way over the undermentioned pathway :— The foot* and cattle path from Gollamanda to Pora which enters the reserve at a certain point about one chain north of the village-site of Gollamanda, runs through it in an almost north-westerly direction and leaves it at a point about half a chain east of the village-site of Pora, its length through the reserve being about one mile and its width two yards.	The District Forest-officer has no objection.	The pathway having been proved to have been in use from a long time, the claim is admitted in the name of the general public, and the right of way claimed is allowed through the reserve for men and cattle as usual. (Signed) J. T. LEVER, Forest Sett.-officer. Rajahmundry, 19th April 1895.
54	Isakapalli Kristnayya of Kottapalli.	Do.	Claims exclusion of the hamlet of Gollamanda.	The District Forest-officer has no objection to the exclusion of huts and wells and requests that these may be treated as enclosures.	The hamlet † of Gollamanda having been proved to have been in existence for a long time, the claim is admitted and the hamlet with the wells referred to is ordered to be excluded from the reserve but retained as an enclosure in it as demarcated by the Forest Department, the village itself being free from reservation. (Signed) J. T. LEVER, Forest Sett.-officer. Rajahmundry, 19th April 1895.
55	The District Forest-officer of the district Gódávari on behalf of the general public.	8th May. 1895.	Claims exclusion of the temple of Dunamma and Sattamma of Neellarevu.	....	The claim is admitted in the name of the general public and the temples are ordered to be excluded from the reserve but retained as enclosures, the temples ‡ themselves being free from reservation. (Signed) J. T. LEVER, Forest Sett.-officer. Rajahmundry, 8th May 1895.
56	The District Forest-officer, Gódávari, on behalf of the general public.	Do.	Claims, on behalf of the general public, right of way over the foot-path § leading from Neellarevu, hamlet of Brahmasamedhiem to the temples of Dunamma and Sattamma which enters the reserve at a point about one chain north of the village-site of Neellarevu, runs in it in an almost northerly direction and leaves it at a point about half a chain south of the temple of Dunamma and its width two yards.	....	The claim is admitted in the name of the general public and the right of way claimed is allowed in the reserve as usual for men only. (Signed) J. T. LEVER, Forest Sett.-officer. Rajahmundry, 8th May 1895.

* *Claim* 53.—The path is now unnecessary as the hamlet of Gollamanda has since been deserted.
† *Claim* 54.—The hamlet of Gollamanda has since been deserted; the area of the enclosure is seventy cents.
‡ *Claim* 55.—The temple has since been excluded from the reserve by altering its boundary.
§ *Claim* 56.—The foot-path is now unnecessary as the temples of Dunamma and Sattamma have since been excluded from the reserve by altering its boundary.

Enclosure No. 2.—Draft notification.

Enclosure No. 3.

Statement of area and condition of the proposed reserve of Kandikuppa in the Amalápur taluk, Gódávari district.

Taluk.	Total area of taluk.	Area of Government land.		Area of reserves already notified under section 16.	Area of reserves under settlement.	Area of reserve already submitted for notification under section 16.	Area of reserve now submitted for notification under section 16.		Character of land proposed for reservation.	
		Occupied.	Unoccupied				Name.	Area.	How much forest.	How much grass.
Amalápur ..	Acs. 323,840.	Acs. 76,183.	Acs. 1,38,857.	Acs. 362.	*Nil.*	*Nil.*	Kandikuppa.	Acs. 8,070	All forest.	

Enclosure No. 4.—Sketch-map.

Enclosure No. 5.—Forest Settlement-officer's report returned with Board's Proceedings, Mis. No. 108, dated 2nd February 1897.

Endorsement by A. W. PEET, Esq., Conservator of Forests, Northern Circle, dated Waltair, 18th September 1897, R.C. No. 543.

Forwarded.

Resolution—Forest No. 59, dated 8th February 1898.

With reference to the Board's Proceedings read above, the Collector now resubmits the settlement papers. A joint inspection has been made by the Forest Settlement-officer and the District Forest-officer who report that the block is a mangrove swamp intersected by creeks, and that there are no cultivable lands within it or in the adjoining villages. Consequently, only the area occupied by the hamlets and villages enclosed within the reserve have been entered in the settlement records, and the boundary line has been shifted only in respect of two of the village-sites referred to in the above Proceedings.

2. The papers will be submitted to Government with the request that the revised draft notification, as amended by the Board, may be approved and ordered to be published in the *Fort St. George Gazette*, the reservation to take effect from the 15th May next.

3. The points of entrance and exit of some of the paths not being precisely fixed, the Board has made the necessary corrections in the register and draft notification in accordance with the sketch-map.

4. *Claim* 52.—The means of access to the Karuvaka hamlet enclosed within the reserve is not recorded in the register, though the draft notification wrongly shows it as path No. 9 instead of No. 8. The Board has supplied the omission in the former and corrected the latter.

5. *Claims* 53 *and* 54.—With reference to the Forest Settlement-officer's note in the remarks column that the Gollamunda hamlet has since been deserted, and that access thereto is unnecessary, the Board considers that the entries in question may be struck out in the draft notification.

6. The records having been resubmitted after revision subsequent to the issue of Board's Proceedings, No. 578, dated 18th December 1896, it is not understood why the serial numbers adopted in the draft notification in respect of the admitted rights have not been given in the register and map for facility of reference. The Board has supplied the omission.

7. With reference to the correspondence ending with Government Order, Mis. No. 5116, dated 29th September 1897, the site on which the light-house and the outbuildings stand, should be excluded from reservation and the necessary access thereto allowed by departmental order, as indicated by the Collector in paragraph 3 of his letter read above.

8. The block lies in the Amalápur taluk and covers an area of 8,070 acres as finally settled.

(True Copies and Extract.)

(Signed) R. C. C. CARR,
Secretary.

To the Secretary to Government, Revenue Department,
with draft notifications (one original and two printed)
and a sketch-map to be returned.

Order—No. 184, Revenue, dated 28th March 1898.

Forest No. 47.

In paragraph 5 of the Proceedings read above, the Board of Revenue expresses the opinion that the hamlet of Gollamanda having been deserted after the Settlement-officer's decision to exclude it, the entries in the draft notification excluding the village from reservation and granting a right of access thereto, may be omitted. The Government, however, considers that, as the Forest Settlement-officer decided to exclude the hamlet on a claim preferred before him, it cannot be treated as included in the reserve on the ground that it is now deserted. The entries will therefore be retained, and the draft notification will be published in the Fort St. George and Gódávari District Gazettes, the 1st June 1898 being entered as the date from which reservation shall take effect.

2. If the Collector considers that the excluded area or any portion of it should be reserved, a fresh notification under section 4 of the Act should be drawn up and submitted for approval and publication.

(True Extract.)

(Signed) G. S. FORBES,
Ag. Secretary to Government.

To the Board of Revenue (Land Revenue), with map
,, the Superintendent, Government Press, with notification.

Endorsement No. 184A.

Copy to the Government of India, Revenue and Agricultural Department.

(Signed) N. E. MARJORIBANKS,
Ag. Under Secretary to Government.

NOTIFICATION.

His Excellency the Governor in Council is pleased to declare under the provisions of section 16 of the Madras Forest Act (V of 1882), that, from the 1st June 1898, the area, the boundaries of which are set forth in the schedule appended to this notification, shall be constituted "reserved forest."

Schedule.

District.	Taluk.	Name of forest.	Boundaries.
Gódávari	Amalapur ..	Kandikuppa reserve.	*North-West.*—Starting from a village boundary stone on the eastern surveyed boundary of Chirrayanam, about one furlong south of the village-site of Lotukalva, hamlet of Kandikuppa, a straight line north-east to a point five chains east-north-east of the southernmost corner of survey No. 640 of Kandikuppa; then a straight line north-east to a point one and a half furlongs east of the Great Trigonometrical station which is situated near the westernmost corner of survey No. 627 of Kandikuppa; then a straight line in nearly the same direction to the village boundary stone about twelve chains north-east of the easternmost corner of survey No. 647 of Kandikuppa; then a straight line north-east by east to the trijunction of Kandikuppa, Guttinadivi and the forest block. *North-East.*—Thence the southern surveyed boundary of Guttinadivi to a point about half a furlong north by west of the shrine of Molletimoga; then three straight lines to exclude the shrine and the village-site of Molletimoga, the first running nearly south for about twenty-five chains, the second east by south-east for about twenty-nine chains and the third north-east by north for about nine chains to a point on the aforesaid village boundary east-north-east of the village site of Molletimoga; then again the southern surveyed boundary of Guttinadivi up to a point nearly eight chains west-south-west of G. T. Station and about twenty-eight chains north-east of the north-east corner of Karuvaka enclosure demarcated within the reserve; thence a straight line south-east for nearly nine chains; thence another south-east for nearly fourteen chains to the Bay of Bengal. *South-East.*—Then the sea up to the Brahmasamedhiem zemindari limits; then along its eastern and northern surveyed boundary to a point about two furlongs north-east of the boundary stone west of the village-site of Neellarevu; then a straight line north-west for twenty-two chains to a cairn; then a straight line south-west for six chains to a cairn; then a straight line south-south-west for six and a half chains to a cairn; then a straight line in the same direction for eight and a half chains to a cairn; then a straight line south for twenty-five chains to a cairn on the village boundary of Bramhasamedhiem zemindari south-west of the village-site of Neellarevu; then along the northern surveyed boundary of Brahmasamedhiem zemindari to the village boundary stone about twenty-two chains north-east of its village-site. *South-West.*—Then again that boundary and the eastern surveyed boundary of Chirrayanam to the starting point.

I. The following public rights of way are allowed:—

(1) *Foot-path two yards wide for men only from Chirrayanam to Pandi.*—This enters the reserve at Pandigaruvu (a place so called) and running north-east for about two miles leaves it at a point one and a half chains south-east of the south-west corner of Pandi village enclosure.

(2) *Foot-path two yards wide for men only from Lotukalva to Pandi.*—This enters the reserve half a furlong east of the village-site of Lotukalva, hamlet of Kandikuppa, and running south-east and north-east for about one and a three-quarter miles joins the foot-path No. 1 *supra*.

(3) *Foot-path two yards wide for men only from Kandikuppa to Pandi.*—This enters the reserve about seven chains north-east of the north-east corner of survey No. 629 of Kandikuppa and runs south-east for about three-quarters of a mile to Pandi leaving the reserve at a point four chains north-east of the south-west corner of the Pandi enclosure.

(4) *Foot-path two yards wide for men only from Pandi to Neellarevu, hamlet of Brahmasamedhiem.*—This enters the reserve south-east of Pandi village-site and running south-east for about a mile leaves the reserve about fourteen chains west of Neellarevu village-site.

(5) *Foot-path two yards wide for men only from Pandi to Pora.*—This enters the reserve north of Pandi about two and a half chains north-west of its north-east corner and running north-east for about two miles leaves it into Pora at a cairn seven chains east of the westernmost point of the Pora village-site.

(6) *Foot-path two yards wide for men only from Kandikuppa to Pora.*—This enters the reserve about three furlongs south-east of the village-site of Adivimalapalli, hamlet of Dontikurru, and running south-east for about three-quarters of a mile leaves the reserve into Pora enclosure near its westernmost corner.

(7) *Foot-path two yards wide for men only from Kandikuppa to Molletimoga.*—This enters the reserve about two furlongs south-west of the trijunction of Kandikuppa, Guttinadivi and the forest block and running generally east for about six and a half furlongs leaves it about two chains north-west of the shrine of Molletimoga.

(8) *Foot-path from Molletimoga to the sea-shore two yards wide for men only.*—This enters the reserve one and a half furlongs south east of the village-site of Molletimoga and running south-east through the Karuvaka chakali huts for about seven furlongs and crossing foot-path No 9 leaves the reserve on the sea-shore.

(9) *Foot and cattle path two yards wide from one arm of the Gódávari to the other along the beach.*—This enters the reserve about three chains north-west of its easternmost corner and after running south-west parallel to the sea-shore for about four miles, leaves it on the eastern boundary of Brahmasamedhiem zamindari about three chains north-west of its junction with the Bay of Bengal.

(10) *The foot and cattle path from Gollamanda to Pora two yards wide.*—This enters the reserve at a point about one chain north of the village-site of Gollamanda and running through it in an almost north-westerly direction for about one mile leaves the reserve about half a chain east of the village-site of Pora.

II. Right of Navigation over the undermentioned creeks are allowed:—

(1) From Kandikuppa to Pandi over the creek called Musarapadu kalva.
(2) From Pandi to Neellarevu over the creek called Vaddisalapa.
(3) From Pandi to Pora over the creek called Pandi Gódávari.
(4) From Kandikuppa to Pora over the creek called Peddirevu kalva.
(5) From Kandikuppa to Molletimoga over the creek called Kollarevu kalva.
(6) From Pora to Molletimoga over the creek called Bidaru kalva.
(7) From Pora to sea-shore over Chikati kalva.
(8) From Gazangi Tippa to Pandi over the creek called Nidi kalva.

III. The following have been ordered to be demarcated out and retained as enclosures within the reserve free from reservation :—

(1) The hamlet of Karuvaka together with the four wells with access by the path No. I (8) :—

Starting from a point two chains west of the pathway from Molletimoga, a straight line eastwards to a cairn for five chains; then a straight line due south to a cairn eight chains distant; then a straight line nearly west to a cairn seven chains distant; then a straight line north-west to a cairn three chains distant; then a straight line nearly north to a cairn five chains distant; then a straight line north-east to a cairn two and a half chains distant; then a straight line to the starting point.

The area of the enclosure is acres 1·75.

(2) The hamlet of Gollamanda together with the three wells with access by path No. I (10) *supra* :—

Starting from a cairn on the foot-path from Pora to the village-site of Gollamanda, a straight line nearly south-east to a cairn three and a half chains distant; then a straight line south-south-west to a cairn four and a half chains distant; then a straight line south-west to a cairn three chains distant; then a straight line north-west to a cairn five and a half chains distant; then a straight line to the starting point.

The area of the enclosure is 0·70 cents.

(3) The village of Pora with access by path Nos. I (5), (6) and (10) *supra* :—

Pora.—From a cairn one chain west of the creek north of the village, a straight line south-east to a cairn eight and a half chains distant; then a straight line south-west to a cairn six and a half chains distant; then a straight line west-north-west to a cairn seven and a half chains distant; then a straight line north-west for about five chains to a cairn; then a straight line in nearly the same direction for about two chains to a cairn; then a straight line to the starting point.

The area of the enclosure is acres 1·70.

(4) The village of Pandi with access by paths Nos. I (1), (2), (3), (4) and (5) *supra* :—

Pandi.—From a cairn eight chains north-east of the point of entrance of the pathway from Gazangi Tippa to Pandi into the enclosure a straight line east-south-east for about seventeen chains to a cairn; then a straight line south-west to a cairn eighteen chains distant; then a straight line west-north-west to a cairn six and a half chains distant; then a straight line north-west to a cairn about eight chains distant; then a straight line to the starting point.

The area of the enclosure is acres 5.

IV. Right on behalf of the inhabitants of Molletimoga and Kottapalem, hamlets of Guttinadivi to the use of the water of spring wells at the Karuvaka beach for domestic purposes is allowed with access by the path No. I (8) *supra*.

Note.—Of the above, the villages of Pandi and Pora were excluded from reservation prior to settlement under section 4.

Exd. W. D'Moss.

25 Copies.

Despatched in print.

LAND REGN G.O., No. ... 189 .

PRINT No

G. No.

PRINTS OF GOVERNMENT

PRINTS OF GOVERNMENT

PRINT NOT FILED UP, BEING MERELY FOR DESPATCH IN ORIGINAL

Key :—
A = Print in full.
P = Not to be printed.
P = Print shown only.
Q = Print handing only.