Revenue (1898). Department.

MISCELLANEOUS SERIES.

G.O., No. 1810

DATED 25th April.

[Despatch Abstract.]

Forwarding the publication of an amended boundary description notification under Section 4 of the Madras Forest Act relating to the Kouladi block, Madura Dt.

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

Revenue (1898) Department.

CURRENT No. 2759

Rec'd 4-APR-98 DEPT.

Order mis No: 1810 dt 25th April 1898. **From** The Board of Revenue, L.R. Madras.

The revised notification will be published in the Fort St George and Madura district gazettes.

Local No. 229 Rev.

Dated 2nd April 1898.

Received 6th

Registered

Enclosures 6

Spare copies

To the Board: LR with map & 3 statements

" Supdt: Govt Press with notification 30.4.98

Note The initial reservation of the Koviladi block was first approved in G.O 6138 15.6.92 (Map M). It is now proposed to issue an amended notification under Sec 4 altering the boundaries of the block with a view to adjust the differences between the boundaries as notified under Section 4 and as demarcated on the ground.

SUBJECT.

Submitting with remarks the draft notification for approval and publication in the Fort Saint George Gazette revised draft notification under Sec. 4 of the Forest Act in respect of the Kovilady Block Kodaikanal Taluk, Madura Dt.

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

Madras, Collector's Office
Forest Branch.
Dated 14th Sept 1896.
R.C. No. 1019.

From

The Collector of Madura,

To

The Secretary to the Commissioners of Land Revenue,
Madras.

Through

The Conservator of Forests,
Southern Circle.

Sir,

I have the honour to state that in the case of the Kovilady Block (V of No: 6) for which notification for declaration was commenced with Board's Proceedings No: 412, Forests, dated 2nd August 1892, as of the others selected at the same time, it has been found that both the map and the description of boundary are exceedingly faulty. The map of course can be revised as it does not form part of the notification and in the description of boundary the errors are mainly obvious mistakes in the lengths and bearings of the lines and could not be shown to define an area different from that which was demarcated by a cleared line and cairns to begin with

though certainly they do not agree with
[illegible]
2nd The Settlement Officer considering that
the discrepancies cannot be passed over merely
by adopting a correct description in the final
notification, desires the re-notification of
the block. I therefore, enclose a fresh draft
notification and a new map: the latter and
the description of boundary in the former,
being correct for the Sandur block as originally
proposed.

I have the honourable,
Sir,
Your most Obedient servant.
(Signed).
For Collector.

Enclosures. Rep. no. No. 1070
Forwarded
(Signed) E. D. W. Hoopell
Asst. Conservator of Forests,
Coimbatore
2nd September 1876

From
J. Q. D. Partridge Esq.
Collector of Madura
To
The Secretary to the Commissioners of
Land Revenue,
Madras.

Thro'
The Conservator of Forests,
Southern Circle.
Coimbatore.

Reference B.P. F. 1384 mis. 14.10.56.

Sir,

I have the honour to state that on receipt of the Board's Proceedings, the distances were checked on the map and it was found that the Board's measurements were correct. Meanwhile a new map of the block prepared by the Surveyor was received and apart from the difference between the Ranger's and Surveyor's map, due to the Surveyor having followed the line omitting the proposed exclusions, between cairns 2 to 13 in the old map, there were other differences, viz; in the position of

the f—

the paths near Cairns 25 and 27 of the new map (.. Cairns 26 and 28 in the old map). This necessitated a further peramblulation of the boundary by the present Ranger whose peri- Ambulation certificate is enclosed herewith a new map based on the surveyor's as amend- -ed by the Ranger, and the boundary descrip- tion ... It is requested that a revised noti- fication under section 4 may be published

... I have the honour,

... Sir,

Your most Obedient servant

(Sigd) ...

... for ag: Collector.

Endorsement No: 3758.

Forwarded.

(Sigd) C. W. Brasier,

... Conservator of Forests

Coimbatore.

25th March 1898.

REVENUE BOARD OFFICE MADRAS

2,000
20-1-96.

Proceedings of the Board of Revenue

(Land Revenue).

Forest No 1384 Mis, dated 14th October 96.

Read ~~letter~~ endorsement from the Conservator of Forests, S.C, dated 18. 9. 96 No 1070 forwarding letter from the Collector of Madura, dated 14th September 96, R C No 1019.

Resolution

The starting point of the boundary cannot be exactly identified on the map, and the lengths of some of the lines occurring in the notification do not correspond with the distances computed according to the scale of the map. The Board has, recast the northern boundary description with the aid of the Revenue and topographical survey maps and amended the notification in other respects so as to correspond with the map. In returning the papers, the Collector is requested to have the corrections in the draft notification verified and amended, if necessary, so as to render it fit for submission to Government.

2. The Ayakudi Zamindari and S. No 324 referred to in the boundary notification have not been indicated on the map. It is also observed that Cairn No 26 which is located in the map at the northernmost corner of S. No 628 as given in the notification. The necessary correction should be made in the map before resubmission.

3. A very early reply is requested.

To The Collr of Madura.
Cy/to Chief S. C.

[True Extract]
By R.C.C. Ward
Secretary

(True Copy)

Pillans

1898.

Board of Revenue

(Land Revenue),

MADRAS.

To

True copy

Board's Proc. dt 14. Oct. 98
Forest No 1382 Mis.

Subject.

8
2
2759

2,000
20-1-98.

REVENUE BOARD OFFICE
MADRAS

Proceedings of the Board of Revenue

(Land Revenue).

Forest No 229 Mis. dated 2d April 98

Read again B.P. Nº 1382 Mis dt 14-10-96.

Read Endorsement of the Conservator of Forests, Southern Circle, dated 25th March 1898, Nº 3758 forwarding to the Board letter from the Collector of Madura, dated 18th March 1898, R.C Nº 1019/Forests.

Resolution

Submitted to Government

2. The proposed Kovilady block was notified under Sec. 4 in G.O 613 dated 15. 6. 92. It was subsequently found that the map and the initial notification of the said block were exceedingly faulty as the lengths and bearings of the lines described in the notification were different from those actually demarcated on the ground. The Collector, therefore, submitted a fresh draft notification for approval and this had to be returned for amendment with reference to the remarks made in the B.P. read above.

3. The revised notification now submitted, does not, however, show that it is in supersession of the one already issued. The Board has therefore inserted the usual heading in the Collector's notification and submits it to Government

with the request that it may be approved and ordered to be published in the Fort Saint George Gazette.

(True Extract)

Reeves
Secretary.

To

The Secretary to Government Rd
with letter in orgl dtd 2 Encls & a sketch
Map to be returned Despy of 8 Oct. 1382 Mis
14. 10. 96
& Letter thereon dd 14-9-96 No. 1019 to be retd

When given for copying ____
When copied ____
When examined ____
When signed ____
} 189

READ AGAIN G.O., No. DATED
189

CURRENT No.

ENCLOSURES TO C. No.

ORDER OF GOVERNMENT	A	A	A	A	A	A	A
LETTER OF GOVERNMENT.							
STATEMENT WITH DITTO.							
PAPERS NOT FILED UP, BEING MEANT FOR DESPATCH IN ORIGINAL.							

N.B.—
A = Copy in full.
B = Not to be copied.
P = Copy abstract only.
Q = Copy heading only