

Revenue (1898). Department.

MISCELLANEOUS SERIES.

G.O., No. 18/0

DATED 25th April.

[Despatch Abstract.]

Ordering the publication of an amended boundary description
notification under Section 4 of the Madras Forest Act relating to the
Koviladi block, Madurai Dt.

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

Revenue (1898) Department.

CURRENT No. 2759

Order mis No: 1810 of 25 April 1898.

From

The Board of Revenue, L.R. Madras.

Truck No. 229 Mno.

The revised notification will be published in the Fort St George and Madras district gazettes.

Dated 2nd April 1898

Received

Registered

Enclosures 6

Spare copies

To the Board: LR with map & 3 letters

Suplt: Govt Press with notification

Note The initial reservation of the Koviladi block was first approved in G.O 613 of 15.6.72 (flap M). It is now proposed to issue an amended notification ^{under sec 4} ~~defining~~ the boundaries of the block with a view to adjust the difference between the boundaries as notified under section 4 and as demarcated on the ground.

SUBJECT.

Subj with remarks to Govt for approval and publication in the Fort St George Gazette revised draft notification under sec. 4 of the Forest Act in respect of the Koviladi Block Kodak and Taluk, Madras.

224

8.111

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.
V.	513	R.O.	15-6-96

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

Madras, Collector's Office

Forest Branch

Letter No. 1019, dated 14th May 1896.
 From the Collector, Madras, to the Secretary to the Commission of Enquiry into the Revenue of the Madras District, Madras.
 The Secretary to the Commission of Enquiry into the Revenue of the Madras District, Madras.

The Conservator of Forests,
 Southern Circle.

Sir,
 I have the honour to state that in the case of the Koviladi Block (V of No: 6) for which notification for selection was communi-
 cated by Board's Proceedings No: 412, Forests, dated 2nd August 1892, as of the others selected at the same time, it has been found that both the map and the description of boundary are exceedingly faulty. The map of course can be revised as it does not form part of the notification and in the description of boundary the errors are mainly obvious mistakes in the lengths and bearings of the lines and could not be shown to define an area different from that which was demarcated by a cleared line and cairns to begin with.

though, certainly, they do not agree with
line...
The Settlement Officer considering that
the discrepancies cannot be passed over merely
by adopting a correct description in the final
notification, desires the re-notification of
the block. I therefore, enclose, a fresh draft
notification and a new map: the latter and
the description of boundary in the former,
being correct for the same block as originally
proposed.

I have the honour to be,

Your most Obedient servant,

(Signed)

For Collector.

Enclosed (Ref. No. 1070)

Forwarded

(Signed) E. D. M. Harper

Conservator of Forests

Coimbatore

2nd September 1896

Madras Collector's Office
(For the Forest Branch)
Date 18th March 1896
Ref. No. C. No. 1070 of 26.5.96
To the Secretary to the Commissioner of
Land Revenue,
Madras.

Thro' (per)
The Conservator of Forests,
Southern Circle,
Coimbatore.
Reference B.P. F. 1384 of 14.10.96

Sir,
I have the honour to state that on receipt
of the Board's Proceedings, the distances were
checked on the map and it was found that
the Board's measurements were correct. Mean-
while a new map of the block prepared by the
Surveyor was received and apart from the
difference between the Ranger's and Surveyor's
map, due to the Surveyor having followed
the line omitting the proposed exclusions, be-
tween cairns 2 to 13 in the old map, there
were other differences, viz, in the position of

the paths, near Cairns, 25 and 27 of the new map (Cairns 25 and 28 in the old map). This necessitates a further perambulation of the boundary by the present Ranger whose perambulation certificate is enclosed with a new map based on the surveyors, as amended by the Ranger, and a boundary description. It is requested that a revised notification under Section 4 may be published.

I have the honour to,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant.
(Signed)

For Collector.

Endorsement No. 3758.

(Signed) C. W. Brasier

Conservator of Forests

to be published.

25th March 1898

Forwarded

to the Collector

for publication

under Section 4

of the Forest Act

of 1878

and the



Proceedings of the Board of Revenue
(Land Revenue).

Forest No 1384, No, dated 14th October 96.

Read ^{supplement} letter from the Conservator of Forests, S.C, dated 18. 9. 96 No 1070 forwarding letter from the Collector of Madras, dated 14th September 96, R.C No 1019.

Resolution

The starting point of the boundary cannot be exactly identified on the map, and the lengths of some of the lines occurring in the notification do not correspond with the distances computed according to the scale of the map. The Board has recast the northern boundary description with the aid of the Revenue and topographical survey maps and amended the notification in these respects so as to correspond with the map. In reviewing the papers, the Collector is requested to have the corrections in the draft notification verified and amended, if necessary, so as to render it fit for submission to Government.

2. The Ajakudi Zaminari and S. No 324 referred to in the boundary notification have not been indicated on the map. It is also observed that Cairn No 26 is not located in the map at the northernmost corner of S. No 628 as given in the notification. The necessary correction should be made in the map before resubmission.

3. A very ^{early} reply is requested.

To the Cdr. of Madras.
Copy to Cdr. S.C.

(True Copy)
R.C.C. and
Secretary

Pellam

1898.
Board of Revenue
(Land Revenue),
MADRAS.


To

True copy

Boards Prop'd 14. Oct 98
Forest No 13821 Mis

Subject.

2,000
20-1-98.



Proceedings of the Board of Revenue
(Land Revenue).

Forest No. 229 Mis, dated 2^d April 98

Read again P.P. No. 13821 Mis 14. 10 96.

Read Endorsement of the Conservator of
Forests, Southern Circle, dated 25th March 1898,
No. 3758 forwarding to the Board letter from
the Collector of Madura, dated 18th March 1898,
R.C. No. 1019 / Forests.

Resolution

Submitted to Government

2. The proposed Koviladi block was notified under
Sec. 4 in G.O. 613 dated 15. 6. 92. It was subsequently
found that the map and the initial notification
of the said block were exceedingly faulty, as the
lengths and bearings of the lines described in
the notification were different from those actually
demarcated ^{on the} ground. The Collector, therefore,
submitted a fresh draft notification for approval
and this had to be returned for amendment
with reference to the remarks made in the
P.P. read above.

3. The revised notification now submitted, does
not, however, show that it is in supersession
of the one already issued. The Board has therefore
inserted the usual heading in the Collector's
notification and submits it to Government.

flor M

8
2759

with the request that it may be approved
and ordered to be published in the Fort Smith
George Gazette.

(True Extract)

Receiv
Secretary.

The Secretary to Government R.D.
with letter in origl & 2 Encls & a sketch
map to be returned & copy of 186. 1382 Dm
11. 10. 96
& letter therein of 14. 9. 96 No. 1019 to be ret'd

When given for copying _____
When copied _____
When examined _____
When signed _____

189

READ AGAIN G.O., No.
189

DATED

CURRENT No.

ENCLOSURES TO G. No.

ORDER OF GOVERNMENT

A A A A A A A

LETTER OF GOVERNMENT.

STATEMENT WITH DITTO.

PAPERS NOT FILED UP, BEING MEANT FOR DESPATCH
IN ORIGINAL.

B.B.—

A = Copy in full.
B = Not to be copied.
P = Copy abstract only.
Q = Copy heading only