

Revenue (1898) Department.

MISCELLANEOUS SERIES.

G.O., No. 1806

Confidential 1029

Dated 25th April 1898

[Despatch Abstract.]

Passing orders on a letter from Messrs Mackenzie & Co., Calcutta, re: certain suits filed in the North West District Court against the Harwetnagar Zamindar.

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.
3276 498							

0 4,000-19-7-97.

Revenue (189) Department.

CURRENT No. 2310

Confidential no 26

From

Messrs Mackenzie, Lyall & Co
Calcutta

Order

No. -

Dated 17th March
Received 22nd March
Registered 22nd March } 1898

Enclosures 5.

Spare copies. -

SUBJECT,

Making certain sugges-
tions for saving the Har-
waragar Zemindari

25/3/98

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

letter from Messrs Mackenzie Lyall
 17.3.98 (C no 231)

To Secy.

In their letter read above
 Messrs Mackenzie Lyall and Co.
 forward a copy of a letter from the
 Karmatnagar Raja's agent and of
 the plaints in four suits filed
 against the Raja and enquire
 whether in the interest of the letter
 it is possible to stay proceedings
 at any rate for six months until
 an Act is passed.

2. Attention is invited to para
 5 of the Collector's letter at page
 3 of G.O. No 312 D 11.5.97 from
 which it will be seen that on
 application being made for an
 adjournment in December 1896
 the S.C. Court states that no further
 adjournment would be granted
 without substantial evidence
 that an Act was likely to be
 passed. At the end of January
 the Collector made an affidavit
 that the Govt of India had offered
 to consider legislation on certain
 conditions, and that arrangements
 towards

flag S

There is substantial evidence

3. The question for consideration is whether the Court may again be asked for a further adjournment. In this connection attention is solicited to the following remarks of the H. M. "But even if India consents to all this I agree with the Advocate" "General in doubting whether Karmel was!"

It would appear that in three of the riots,
(2), (3), (4), the relief act would be of no
avail. They may therefore be left to their
fate.

The present in the U.S. mit "O.S." has
not been sent yet, but from the short
statement of it given in form. 3 of King's
Scholarship's letter of the 6th, although,
it would appear to differ from the others.
As the Relief that has already been sent to
Trinidad, I might, I suggest, be worth while
to try to postpone the having of this particular
mit, and we might succeed in getting such
postponement. I would ask the Hon.

"Karnetnagar can be saved". It was resolved accordingly that it was unnecessary to let the Bill prepared by the Administrator General pass this session. In these circumstances it appears unnecessary to obtain any more adjournments.

A. In this connection it may be pointed out that three of the four suits filed against the Berjati are for the sub-
registry of certain villages
purchased at Court sales

KD
5/4/98

14/4/8

We agree to consider whether, instead of
issuing the 6th and later plays, as 6 & 7, it
might not be sufficient to say that we are
no longer to interfere in the first 3 units, —

05. 29/97, 05. 33/97, 05. 35/97

~~20.10.18~~

To the Hon. Mr. Justice

S.P.

21/4/88

I will not object to what
is proposed by H.E.

S.P.

23/4/88

Draft (and)

Issue Drafts

S.P.

24/6/88

Letter from Messrs Mackenzie & Co
100 Calcutta D/17.3.98
(C. No 2360).

S.O. No 1806 Rev. d/25.4.98

The Govt considers that
sufficient grounds do not
exist for applying to the District
Court for further adjournments
in ^{recognition} O.S. Nos 29, 33 and 35 of 97.
As regards O.S. No 1 ^{(apparently of 1898) and} of the plaint
has not been sent up; but
from the statement of it given
in para 3 of the letter to Mr
J. A. Warwick from the Agent
to the Raja of Kanchi dated
the 6th March last
it would appear to differ
from the others. The Collector
of North Arcot will be
requested to furnish ^{without delay} further
information as regards this
suit to enable the Govt.
to decide whether it would
be advisable to apply for an
adjournment as requested
by Messrs Mackenzie & Co.
2. Messrs Mackenzie & Co
will be informed in the above
sense accordingly.

S.P. 26/4/98

To the Collector of North Arcot
with papers in relation to the returned. K.O. 21/4/98

S.P. 24/6/88

S.O. No 41
dy 20. 4. 98


Recd
25/4/98
6

Dear Sirs,

With reference to your letter to the Honble Mr. Gore dated the 17th March last regarding the Karimnagar Zamindari, I am to state that sufficient grounds do not appear to exist to exist for applying to the S^t Court for further adjournments in the case of O.S. Nos 29, 33 & 35 of 97. As regard O.S. No 1 the Court is calling for further information to enable ^{it} the Court to decide whether it would be advisable to apply for further ^{and} adjournment in this suit.

Yours faithfully.

KD
23/4/98


24/4/98

DO NO 103
2-9-98

D. O. to Messrs Mackenzie Lyall
and Co Calcutta.

Dear Sirs

With reference to your letters
to the Hon: M^r Arundel of 30th
June and 11th August last, and
in continuation of my letter
N^o 41 of 25-4-98, I am to state
that on receipt of the further
information called for from the
Attorney of N. Arcot regarding
O. S. N^o 42 of 1896 (^{apparently} ~~incorrectly~~
referred to ^{as} O. S. N^o 1 in the papers
added to the late Hon: M^r Arundel
forwarded with your letter of 17-3-98)
this Govt ~~is~~ directed ~~to~~
to apply for a further adjourn-
ment of the ~~for~~ hearing of the
suit if the parties ^{do} not
come to a compromise before
the date fixed for the hearing.

The result of that applied:
not known

2. The draft ^{of a} bill to amend the
Madras Court of Wards Regn V
of 1804 so as to enable the
Court of Wards ^{in a separate} to assume the
management of the estates of
embarrassed proprietors is now
under the consideration of the
Govt.

Yours faithfully

ago. There was no further
communication
6-9-98

RS
2-9-98

C No. 5769

From Messrs Mackenzie Lyall and Leo Calverley

Dated 11th August 1898.

~~FORWARDED.~~

To V.B.

Messrs Mackenzie Lyall and Leo forward copy of a letter addressed by them to ^{the} H. M. on the 30th June last requesting further information in continuation of the letter addressed ~~to~~ to them on the ^{25th April} ~~25th June~~ last regarding the Karret-nagar Zamindari.

2. In a letter dated 17-3-98 and addressed to the late Hon^{ble} Mr. Crose, Messrs M. L. & Leo enclosed ~~forwarded~~ copy of a letter received by them from the agent to the Rajah of Karretnagar and of ^{the} plaints statements &c relative to certain suits filed in the District Court of North Arcot against the Rajah, and suggested that it would be to the Rajah's benefit to get a stay of proceedings, or at any rate of an adjournment for six months,

D.O. $\frac{41}{25-4-98}$ under G.O. $\frac{1806}{25-4-98}$

distributed with G.O. $\frac{2928}{13-7-98}$

FOR ORDERS.

YCP.C
8P-7-81

G.O. 1806
25-4-98

para 4 of office note under the
above G.O.

G.O. 141
25-4-98 under the above G.O.

G.O. 2928
13-7-98

2081
8P-4-85

Para 2 of ^{their} letter to H.M.

G.O. 2928
13-7-98

of this decision in letter
of 25-4-98. The Collector
furnished the information
called for above with his letter
of 2-5-98, whereupon the
Govt directed him to apply
for a further adjournment
in the fourth suit if the
parties did not come to a
compromise before the date
fixed for the hearing. Nothing
further has been heard from
the Collector. Messrs M. L & Co
may be informed accordingly
what they appear to be most
anxious about is the fate of
the bill forwarded to the Govt
(Vide para 2 of their letter ^{to H.M.} of 30-6-98)
The Govt has not yet replied
to this Govt's letter ¹⁹⁹ 5-4-98 for-
warding the draft bill to
amend the ^{Madras} Court of Wards
Regn

3 A draft D.O. to Messrs M
L & Co is put up ^{for approval}
on a separate sheet.
Considering that the
Collector has been authorized
to state in open Court that

the bill for the relief of em-
 barrassed proprietors is now under
 the consideration of the Govt.,
 there is no harm in giving
 the information contained in
 para 2 of the draft D. O.,
 although the matter is ^{still heated} ~~settled~~
 Confidential

RS
 2-9-98

Sey
 29/8

(This is another bad case of
 delay on the part of the
 2^d aut. A person who writes
 an important letter expects
 a reply of some sort within
 a couple of days. It is now
 22 days since the letter was
 written. The office note
 contains nothing new &
 nothing wh. the office can
 have forgotten since the files
 were dealt with)

For the draft for my
 signature at meeting
 29/8

When given for copying _____
 When copied _____
 When examined _____
 When signed _____

189

READ AGAIN G.O., No.
 189

DATED

CURRENT No.

ENCLOSURES TO C. No.

ORDER OF GOVERNMENT

A A A A A A A

LETTER OF GOVERNMENT.

STATEMENT WITH DITTO.

PAPERS NOT FILED UP, BEING MEANT FOR DESPATCH
 IN ORIGINAL.

N.B.-

A = Copy in full.
 B = Not to be copied.
 P = Copy abstract only.
 Q = Copy heading only.