Dollah for Records

Revenue (1898) Department.

MISCELLANEOUS SERIES.

G.O., No. 1802

DATED 25 April

[Despatch Abstract.]

Stating that the Govt. see no reason to reconsider the orders already passed re: the matter of the dismissal of S.V. Venkata Rasayya, late gumastah, Satyamangalam taluk.

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No	Department.	Date.	G.O	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O	No.	Department.	Date.
Ctro 4113/98			15-6-98				

Revenue (1898) Department.

CURRENT No. 2888

Ctro 2888 & 2900/98

From The Board of Revenue
Land Revenue
Madras.

DP 25/4/98

Order Misc. No: 1802d/25th April 1898.

Ref No. 57

The Govt sees no reason to reconsider the orders already passed.

Mlle 18/4/98 DP 25/4/98

Dated 6th April 1898.
Received 15 —
Registered 16 —
Enclosures 31.
Spare copies

To the petr ~~with encls~~.
To the Board (L.R.) with 30 encls. Despd 26/4/98.
To the Collr. 1 encl. E.

SUBJECT.

Submitting to Government petition from S. V. Venkata Dasayer, late Gumastah, Sazanangalam Jaluk, Coimbatore Dt, praying for a re-consideration of the order passed in the matter of his dismissal from the Government service.

Please see Go. no 2626 dated 4th July 1896 and the notes there under. This is a review petn which contains no fresh grounds already passed in the matter of the petr now requests as an alternative measure, that sanction may be accorded to his being

Copy typed 17/9

in the Municipal or Local Fund Department, or for his being provided at least with a copy of the plaint.

These reports are being maintained

Yes: if any Board wants him they will ask Collector

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date
2p	2626		
"	1058	"	
"	728	"	
"	1992	"	

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

COLLECTOR'S OFFICE
25 MAR 1898
COIMBATORE

To,

His Excellency the Governor in Council.

Fort saint george,

Thro: The Collector of Coimbatore. Madras,

May it please your Excellency,

The humble memorialist S.V. Venkata Dassayyar late gumasta, Satyamangalam Taluk, Coimbatore District, begs to acknowledge receipt of your Excy's endorsement dated 4th July 1896, No. 2626 (Exh i) declining to interfere on the petitioner's behalf in re his appeal against the Collector's Proceedings dated 20th April 1895 (Exh ii) dismissing him from his Taluk Gumastas post for a supposed misconduct in the darkast case referred to in the above said appeal and respectfully submits the following (as a review appeal) for your Excys merciful consideration and favorable orders.

2. The facts of the case are fully detailed in his first appeal to the Government (Exh iii) and his explanations to the charges brought against him are also satisfactorily given in it. The memorialist a petty & a low paid servant is therefore unable to bring forward any more effective explanations in face of the Proceedings passed by a Collector of the District than to cringe the merciful Government for mercy.

3. The memorialist humbly begs leave to bring to the notice of the benign government, the Resolutions of the India government contained in G.O dated 3rd December 1883 No 2290 Public, regarding the dismissal of public servants. and published in the District Standing Gazette dated 18th June 1889, page 22 as Standing Order No 2 of 1889, which strictly enunciate that public servants should not be dismissed merely in consequence of unfavorable opinions or for slight misconduct only on proof only of tangible delinquency as fraud &c.

4. In his present case the Memorialist humbly begs to submit that the Collector was very hasty in his Proceedings which is based on mere suspicions but not on facts proved or records. He simply expressed his opinion that the Memorialist stands charged with (1) Valuing the trees himself (2) crediting the tree value to Land Revenue (3) failing to submit the Valuation Statement to the Forest Department for approval and (4) substituting a Vernacular endorsement for an English one.

This Proceedings was passed just after the explanation of the Memorialist was recorded ie in ten minutes. The Memorialist was not even given the opportunity of expressing his full defence. The just Government will be pleased to consider in what an awful situation the Memorialist would have been then when considering his service that will be nearly 3 years his experience in official matters, his previous non-appearance before any Officer in any affair and how the threatened explanations could be effective.

5. The first Charge. The Collector himself withdrew this charge on reference to the Tahsildar only after the appeal to the Board was instituted by the Memorialist (Vide para 4 (2) of the Collector's letter to the Board Ext^tt).

The second charge. The Memorialist has furnished Challans (Ext^ts XIX & XX) in which no mention was made by him that the value should be credited to Land Revenue. The Collector ought to have made reference to the Treasury Officer, as he did in the first case, to support his conviction that the Memorialist had something to do with the wrong credit. This suggestion also of the Collector is therefore groundless.

The third & fourth Charge. The Memorialist has satisfactorily explained in his first appeal to the Government (Ext^t III sub paras 1 & 2 of para 6) which the Benevolent Govt will kindly peruse once again. As regards the third Charge he begs to add that he failed to submit not only the cases in question but also some more than dozen cases which were disposed of at the same times.

even urged this point in his explanation before the Collector (vide last para Ex. II (VIII)). Thus the Collector renders injustice to the Resolution No 9 II contained in the above said G.O. It is the humble request of the Memorialist that the Government will Kindly call for that Copy for their perusal.

9. The Collector was not even merciful enough, though supposing he was convinced of his (Memorialists) fraud, to allow the memorialist to resign or simply relieved of his office being his first offence, so that he may seek his livelihood elsewhere (as inunciated in the Resolution No 9 of the said G.O.).

10. Above all there is one thing which the merciful Government will be graciously pleased to consider that the dark casted land in question was not at all put in possession of the darkastas and to this day remains as it were, to say that the Government is deprived of its valuable property.

11. The memorialist humbly requests therefore (with fear at the same time) that the just Government will be kindly pleased to go over the records (as per list enclosed) once again and weigh the grounds asserted both by the Collector and the Memorialist independent of personal consideration in the spirit of the Resolutions contained in the above said G.O. and then pass such order as they deem proper.

12. If all the above still do not meet with the due consideration of the Government, the poor Memorialist should blame his fate only and nothing else and, as a last chance left to him, cringes the merciful Government that sanction may be accorded to him for being entertained on a municipal establishment as required under Govt

Government Notification No 788 published in the Fort Saint George gazette dated 27-11-94, page 241, or to be entertained on a Local Fund Establishment as required under Govt Notification No 789 published in the same gazette. or at least to provide him with a copyist place.

13. With this the Memorialist concludes that he is a very poor creature on whom depend a good number of members to protect and since his dismissal he is leading a very miserable life which no man could endure and fortunately to him he is just made to know the above quoted G.O. and therefore now submits this review after so long a time with a hope and an earnest desire that he would meet with success.

He begs to remain,

Madam, if it please your Excellency,

Your Excy's most obedt & humble servant

Thiruvalla

24th March 1898.

Reference on *No.* *of* 1898.

In replying the above should be quoted.

(LAND REVENUE.)

REVENUE BOARD OFFICE. MADRAS.

Read letter from the Collector of Coimbatore, Dis. № 968/Rev of 98, dated 29th March 1898.

Reference № 57 dated 6th April 1898.

Submitted to Government with reference to G. O. № 2626 Mis. Revenue dated 4th July 1896.

2. This review petition, which has been presented after a delay of more than 1 year and 8 months from the date of the G. O quoted above, contains no new grounds in support of the petitioner's case. The petitioner, while repeating the arguments already urged by him in his first petition, now appeals to the mercy of Government, and prays, as an alternative measure, that sanction may be accorded for his being employed in the Municipal or Local Fund Department or for his being provided at least with a Copyist's place

The Secretary to Govt. R. D. with the review petition, the Colrs letter & file of Enclos as per list — all except the review petn to be returned

Wolwamkarnas
13 APR 1898
for Secy Secretary.

$\frac{O}{18}$ 4,000—19-7-97.

Revenue (1898) Department.

CURRENT No. 2900.

From

S. V. Venkata Narayyar
Late Taluk Gumastah
Satyamangalam Taluk
Coimbatore Dist

Order

No.

Dated 10 Apl

Received 15 "

Registered 16 "

Enclosures

Spare copies.

SUBJECT.

Appealing against his dismissal.

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

PETITION

60

REVENUE DEPT

To

His Excellency The Governor in Council
Fort Saint George

Madras.

May it please your Excellency.

I the Continuation of his petition dated 25 August submitted thro: the Collector of Coimbatore the Memorialist S. Venkata Dasayya late Talarik. Gumasta Sathy Amangalam Taluk Coimbatore Dist: most humbly begs to add that the Collector in the case in question did not even allow him to place on record a full written statement of his defence as required under Resolution No 7 Cost sentence of the G. O. No. 2290 Public dated 3/12/83 referred to in the above said petition.

2. The Collectors Proceedings on the whole does not base upon any one of the Resolutions of the above quoted G. O. The Collector simply took an explanation in his presence orally as a form and dismissed the Memorialist. This shows clearly his prejudiced mind.

When given for copying ____
When copied ____
When examined ____
When signed ____ } 189 .

READ AGAIN G.O., No 189 , DATED

CURRENT No.

ENCLOSURES TO C. No.

ORDER OF GOVERNMENT	A	A	A	A	A	A	A	
LETTER OF GOVERNMENT.								
STATEMENT WITH DITTO.								
PAPERS NOT FILED UP, BEING MEANT FOR DESPATCH IN ORIGINAL.								

N.B.—
A = Copy in full.
B = Not to be copied.
P = Copy abstract only.
Q = Copy heading only