Revenue (1898) Department.

MISCELLANEOUS SERIES.

G.O., No. 1769

DATED 25th April

[Despatch Abstract.]

Comm q. to Mr. Ross, I.C.S. with
remark telegram from Govt of India
regarding his deputation

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS
REVENUE DEPARTMENT

OOTACAMUND,
30/A 1898.

My dear Blair

Grose writes me that
you wish to see the Kdg.
from Indi about Ron.
I send it herewith. It was
rd circ beyond H.M.
Meantime until the Letter
& Indian Mail be ready.
At this stage however it

Date.

Date.

My dear Fraser
Thanks - one must see the letter
Ruick Indian Mail is a ugly business
can quite understand what you have
30/4/98
Yours
HD

To Secy.

The sanction of the Secy of State for the retention of the Hon'ble Mr Ross on special duty expired on the 31st March last. No reply has as yet been received from the Govt of India to this Govt's letter no 61 D) 31-1-98 requesting sanction for Mr Ross's retention on special duty for a further period of two years. Orders are requested as to whether a telegraphic reminder may be sent to the Govt of India

KD
15/4/98

Yes
SB
15. IV

Under Secy
Three are drafts submitted below for yr

Jmc
G
16/4

Secy
16/4/98

Secy
16/4/98

No 89
16-4-98

Telegram (deferred)

To

The Secy to the Govt of India, dep.t of Finance

"My letter (No) 61 dated 31(st) January last regarding (Mr) Ross's retention on special duty ~~x please~~ very early ~~expedite~~ orders solicited

HMc
16-4-98

Secy
16/4/98

0/18 4,000—19-7-97.

Revenue (1898) Department.

CURRENT No. 2991

Tel.
From The Government of India
Rev: & Agric. Dept.

Order

No.

Dated 20 Sept.
Received 21 "
Registered 21 "
Enclosures
Spare copies.

SUBJECT.

Stating that the Govt of India is unable to sanction the continuance of the deputation of Mr. Ross beyond the end of September next or increase of his allowances beyond those now received and requesting a reply by wire whether his deputation subject to these conditions is still desired.

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

INDIAN TELEGRAPHS.

Code Class. | Monthly Number. 1596

To (Office) | From (Office)

Words | Day. | Hour. | Minute. | Official Instructions.

To (Person) | From (Person)

64 Word Form.

6/6 Simla No. 61 dated 12th January to finance dept. Govt. India regret inability to entertain proposal of deputation of Mr. Ress beyond end of September next or increase of his allowances beyond those now received Kindly telegraph whether his deputation subject to these conditions is still desired -

Recd. from I.N. | Sent to I.N.

At Hrs. Mts by | At Hrs. Mts by

Telegraph Master

OOTACAMUND 21-1-98

O G P—No. 597 T—15-12-90—240,000.

D/4 1,000—8-4-97.

REVENUE DEPARTMENT.

Telegram from the Govt of I No 698/20-2-98. C: No 2991

From

Dated 189 .

FOR ORDERS.

flag A

flag C

the Govt of I replies to our telegram of the 16th instant ~~soliciting~~ soliciting very early orders on the recommendation made in our letter 61/31-1-98. that Mr Ross should be retained on special duty for a further period of two years on increased salary. It regrets its inability to sanction the continuance of Mr Ross's deputation beyond September nest or the increase of his allowances beyond those now received, and enquires whether this Govt still desires his deputation subject to these conditions

2. ~~the~~ The Codification of the Rev Law is obviously out of the question, nor can Mr Ross's services be utilized in connection

c 23/4/98

c. 25/4/98

with the Malabar Settlement which will doubt be taken up before the Malabar Tenancy this Bill is passed. ~~The Tenancy~~ Bill which Mr Ross hoped to get ready ~~by~~ by the end of February has not yet been submitted to Govt; ~~& we might be asked~~ when he will be able to submit it

flag D

RS
21-4-98

Secy
HM
22/4/98

H.M.

It was almost hopeless to expect that the S. of I. wd sanction the allowances proposed. It is only in a most extreme case that they will give more than R10 a day in addition to substantive pay. (I dont think Mr Ross will be really much disappointed as it was only last year he told me he wd be satisfied with R2500 so long as he got the Hills).

But as to the duration of the employment, it is useless to

Of course his views may have altered since

Keep on Mr Ross merely till September. He must be retained at any rate tille the Bill shortly to be introduced has passed thro the Leg. Council. On the most sanguine calculation this cannot be ~~till~~ before December.

As to the Malabar Tenancy Bill, Mr Ross may be asked what progress has been made.

S.R. 24/4/98

Bills known to the Govt of India.

JS

Mr. Ross
RS
30/4/98

Yes, I suppose we should regulate for the fact that he has been hard worked & compensation for extra duty should be given in his Bill.

JS
23/4/98

H.M.

Please see draft below. In order that Mr Ross may draw pay we must have sanction meantime at any rate. We can write later on the question of further retention

S.R.
24/4/98

When given for copying ____
When copied ____
When examined ____
When signed ____
} 189 .

READ AGAIN G.O., No. DATED
189 .

GUJ NT No.

ENCLOSURES TO C. No.

ORDER OF GOVERNMENT	A	A	A	A	A	A	A	
LETTER OF GOVERNMENT.								
1								
STATEMENT WITH DITTO.								
PAPERS NOT FILED UP, BEING MEANT FOR DESPATCH IN ORIGINAL.								

N.B.—
A = Copy in full.
B = Not to be copied.
P = Copy abstract only.
Q = Copy heading only