

Revenue (1898) Department.

PRESS SERIES.

G.O., No. 132

Dated 5th March

[Despatch Abstract.]

Sanctioning the continuance of the allowance of Rs 300 enjoyed by Manikya Bai, Tivana Lamma Caste, ex-Regimental Officer, in the Mitha Dist, in the name of her adopted minor son Manikya Bai, she being recognised as guardian during the son's minority.

Government (1898) of Madras.
REVENUE.

Recd.

Regd.

1898.

Enclosures

Spare copies

G.O., No. 132, 5th March 1898.

MARCH.

Allowance.

Sanctioning the continuance of the allowance of Rs. 300 enjoyed by Manikya Rao Tirumalamma Garu, ex-zemindari of Répalle, in the Kistna District, in the name of her adopted minor son Markundeya Rao, she being recognised as guardian during the son's minority.

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS.

REVENUE DEPARTMENT.

READ—the following letter from R. MORRIS, Esq., Acting Collector of Kistna, to the Chief Secretary to Government, dated Msulipatam, 27th January 1898, Dis. No. 209-Accts. :—

With reference to paragraph 2 of G.O., No. 240, Revenue, dated 19th February 1884, I have the honour to state that Manikya Rao Tirumalamma Garu, ex-zemindari of Répalle, reports in her petition, dated 15th August 1897, that she has adopted a boy Markundeya Rao, now aged 13 years, from among her relatives at Hanumukonda, in the Nizam's Dominions, and prays that the allowances of Rs. 300 per month sanctioned in the Government Order quoted above to herself and to the son whom she may adopt may be ordered to be continued in the name of her minor adopted son taking her (petitioner) as the boy's guardian till he attains his majority.

2. The petition was referred to the Tahsildar of Tenali for report as to the validity and date of the alleged adoption. The Tahsildar in his arzi No. 2342, dated 14th September 1897, reports that the village officers at Pallikona depose to the fact that the adoption took place on 2nd June 1887 according to the Hindu law, and he recommends that the petitioner's request may be complied with.

3. This pensioner in her arzi dated, 16th August 1893, reported the fact of adoption to the then Collector, but she was requested to explain the delay in reporting the fact. There was no reply to this till August 1897, when she renewed her application. She says, on further enquiry, that the delay in reporting the fact of adoption was due to her stay for some time in the Nizam's Dominions for the purpose of bringing up the boy in his natural father's house and to the illness of herself and of the boy subsequently. She further states that she received no reply to her petition of 1893, though she waited for a long time, and that, therefore, she renewed her application in August 1897.

4. Under these circumstances, I request that the petitioner's prayer may be complied with.

Endorsement No. 361, Political.

Transferred to the Revenue Department.

(Signed) A. R. KNAPP,
Acting Under Secretary.

9th February 1898.

ORDER—No. 132, Revenue, dated 5th March 1898.

Miscellaneous.

The allowance of Rs. 300 will hereafter be continued in the name of the adopted son, Markundeya Rao, Manikya Rao Tirumalamma Garu being recognised as his guardian during his minority.

(True Extract.)

(Signed) G. S. FORBES,
Ag. Secretary to Government.

To the Collector of Kistna.
„ the Accountant-General.

Exd. Shik Karim.

30 Copies.

OFFICE
OF THE
COLLECTOR & MAGISTRATE,
MASULIPATAM,
KISTNA DISTRICT.27th January 1898FROM, *R. Morris Esquire,*
Acting Collector, Kistna DistrictTO, *Chief* Government
THE SECRETARY TO THE COMMISSIONERS OF
Political Department AND REVENUE,
MADRAS.

Sir.

L
A

With reference to para 2 of G.O. no 240 2
dated 19th February 1884, I have the honor to
state that Manikya Rao Tirumalamma
garu Ex. Zamindari of Repalle reports in
her petition dated 15th August 1897, that she
has adopted a boy Markundeya Rao now of
13 years from among her relatives at Hanuman
-konda in the Nizam's dominions and prays
that the allowances of Rs 300 per month sanc
in the G.O. quoted above to herself an
to the son whom she may adopt may
ordered to be continued in the name of her
minor adopted son taking her (petitioner)
the boy's guardian till he attains his major
2. The petition was referred to the Tahsild
of Tenali for report as to the validity and
of the alleged adoption

arzi no 2342 reports that the Village officers at Pallikona depose to the fact that the adoption took place on 2nd June 1887 according to the Hindu Law and he recommends that the petitioner's request may be complied with.

3. This pensioner in her arzi dated 16th August 1893 reported the fact of adoption to the then Collector but she was requested to explain the delay in reporting the fact. There was no reply to this till August 1897 when she renewed her application. She says, on further enquiry, that the delay in reporting the fact of adoption was due to her stay for sometime in the Nizam's Dominions for the purpose of bringing up the boy in his natural father's house and to the illness of herself and of the boy subsequently. She further states that she received no reply to her petition of 1893 though she waited for a long time and that therefore she renewed her application August 1897.

4. Under these circumstances I request that the petitioner's prayer may be complied with.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,

Your most Obedient Servant
K. H. M.
for collector

Revenue
Political (1898) Department.

CURRENT No. 1191



From

The Collector Kistna

Print 5/3/98
210
Order Est. No. 361, 79th Feby 1898.

Transferred to the
Revenue Department

Des No.

Dated 27th January 1898.

Received

Registered

Enclosures

Spare copies

Cmb 7/2/98
By Assistant Secretary
10/1328/5th May 1898
(Pres) H.M. 3/3/98
G.O.

The allowance of Rs 300 will hereafter be continued in the name of the adopted son, Markundeya Rao, and Manikya Rao Tirumala garu will be recognised as his guardian during his minority.

SUBJECT.

Recommending the sanction of the pension of Rs 300 granted to Manikya Rao Tirumala. mmasum Ex. Secundarii of Repalle in the favor of her adopted son.

3 To the Collector of Kistna
6 To the A. G.
P.T.

R.O. for papers.

31/1/98

Note.

BACK PAPERS.

In G.O. no 2408, 19.2.84,
the Govt sanctioned the Conti-
nuance of the allowance in the
name of the widow, Nayika
Rao Tirumalkunta & to the
son whom she may adopt.
Now, she has adopted a boy
named Markundeya Rao, 13
years old, and she requests
that the allowance may be
continued ⁱⁿ the name of the
minor she being taken as
the boy's guardian till he
attains his majority.
The Collector recommends
Compliance with the request
and it is submitted that it
may be sanctioned.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.
240:	19.2.84	Rw. sc	
379:	14.4.82	"	Genl Rem
1202:	28.7.80	"	Papers of
299:	3.3.74	"	16/2/98
1720:	2.10.71	"	
190:	11.2.70	"	

FURTHER PAPE

24/12/88
138