Revenue (1897) Department.

ROUTINE SERIES.

G.O., No. 991.

DATED 22nd May 1897.

[Despatch Abstract.]

INFORMING Narayana Aiyar, late Monyam Munsif of Saiyanoo Village, Arcot Taluk, N. Arcot District, that, under section 23 (1) of Madras Act III of 1895, as amended by Act II of 1897, no appeal lies to Government.

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

Revenue (1897) Department.

CURRENT No. 4069

Order

From

Narayana Iyer,
Late Mooniam Munsiff
Saiyanoor Village,
No. Arcot Taluk.
N. Arcot Dt.

Dated 11th May 1897

Received 14.5.97

Registered 15.5.97

Enclosures 1

Spare copies

SUBJECT.

Regarding his claim to the Mooniam Munsif office of the above village

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

Rev

PETITION

9:11.

REVENUE DEPT

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS
14 MAY 97

To

His Excellancy the Right Honorable
the Governer in Council
Fort St. George.

The humble Petition of **NARAYANA IYER,**
Late Moniem Munisif of Saiyanoor Village,
Arcot Taluq, North Arcot District.

MOST RESPECTFULLY SHOWETH :—

That your Excellency's Petitioner was holding the office of Moniem Munsif from 1877 to 1890 for a period of 14 years having succeeded his father Kuppa Iyer, hereditorily.

2. That at the revision of the village establishment in 1890, Your Excellency's Petitioner was appointed, Munsif of the Vattam consisting of the villages of Saiyanoor and Urkasum.

3. That against the appointment of Your Excellency's Petitioner, one Subba Sastry appealed to the Collector, who referred the matter to the Sub-Collector for report, and the Sub-Collector referred it to the Tahsildar, who without looking into the records produced by Your Excellency's Petitioner or without examining the witness tendered before him reported to the Sub-Collector that Your Excellency's Petitioner neither filed any documents, nor tendered any witnesses in support of Your Excellency's Petitioner's case.

4. That the Sub-Collector on the report of the Tahsildar appointed the aforesaid Subba Sastry in the place of Your Excellency's Petitioner.

5. That the said Subba Sastry misrepresented that he is a descendant of the Paimashdar, Nana Iyer, who was the elder brother of Mamu Sastry, father of Subba Sastry.

6. That Your Excellancy's Petitioner begs to subjoin a geneological tree from which it will be seen that the original ancestor of the family was one Valiswera Iyer from whom Your Excellency's Petitioner succeeded as great grandson.

7. That Your Excellency's Petitioner was neither found unable nor incompetent to perform the duties of the Moniem Munsif, which will be seen on a perusal of the report of the Tahsildar.

8. That on receipt of the order from the sub-Collector directing Your Excellency's Petitioner to hand over charge of the office to the aforesaid Subba Sastry, Your Excellency's Petitioner appealed to the Collector, who diclined to interfere in the matter.

9. That thereupon Your Excellency's Petitioner appealed to the Board of Revenue, who in their Proceedings, No. 476, dated 29th January, 1896 stated that it was within the discretion of the local officers to retain the individual when they consider best qualified for the remaining office and rejected the petition as being inadmissible.

10. That under the above circumstances Your Excellancy's Petitioner most respectfully beg that Your Excellency will be graciously pleased to consider Your Excellency's Petitioner's case and restore the Petitioner to his appointment or direct a retrial of the Petitioner's case by the Collector.

For which act of kindness Your Excellency's Petitioner shall as in duty bound ever prey.

Yours most obedient Servant,

11th May 1897.

List of Enclosures

1. Petition dated 20th January 1896 to the Board of Revenue.
2. Proceedings of the Board of Revenue dated 29th January 1896. No. 476
   Do Do or 8th May 1896. No. 2113.
3.

C no 4069/97

G.O. ~~Pi~~ No. 991 d/22nd May 97.

The petitioner is informed that, under section 23(1) of Act II of 1895, as amended by Act II of 1897, no appeal lies to Government.

List
to
The Petitioner with enclosures.

28.5.97

L.R.
20 May 97

D/p. 22-5-97

When given for copying ——
When copied ——
When examined ——
When signed ——
} 189

READ AGAIN G.O., No. 189 , DATED

CURRENT No.

ENCLOSURES TO C. No.

ORDER OF GOVERNMENT	..	..	..	A	A	A	A	A	A	A
LETTER OF GOVERNMENT										
STATEMENT WITH DITTO										
PAPERS NOT FILED UP, BEING MEANT FOR DESPATCH IN ORIGINAL.										

N.B.—
A = Copy in full.
B = Not to be copied.
P = Copy abstract only.
Q = Copy heading only.