

PRESS SERIES.

G.O., No. 909

Dated 4th November 1897

[Despatch Abstract.]

Stating that the necessary steps will be taken in the Local & Mund. Dep. re: the prevention of encroachments upon communal lands in proprietor estates.

Revenue (1897) Department.

CURRENT No. 8455

From

The Private Secy.
to H. E. the Governor.

No.

Dated 1st October 1897

Received

Registered

Enclosures

Spare copies

SUBJECT.

Re: the proposal
to legislate to protect
paramonges in proprietary
estates.

2
A. J. M. J.

Order

(instable)

100 984/96

Revenue Dep.

6: 8455 - 99

INDIAN TELEGRAPHS. *Monthly Number.*

To
Club.

INDIAN TELEGRAPHS.

Monthly Number.

To
(Office)

From
(Office)

Words.	Day.	Hour.	Minute.	Instructions.

To
(Person)

From
(Person)

RECEIVED
41 JUN 1881

I have the pleasure to inform
 you that the same has been
 forwarded to the
 appropriate authorities for their
 consideration. I am, Sir,
 very respectfully,
 Yours,
 J. H. [Signature]

Recd. from _____ LN. _____
 Sent to _____ LN. _____
 At _____ Hrs. _____ Mts. by _____
 At _____ Hrs. _____ Mts. by _____
 Telegraph Master.

C O P - W A S H T N 09-12-84-24 ON.OOO

C. 12/11/97

11-11-97

Subject are the Cudihattie accounts.

Revenue Dep.

6: 8455 of 97

GOVERNMENT HOUSE
GUINDY.

15th Nov 97.

for the Private Secretary to
the Governor.

BACK PAPERS.

Date.
RS.
Date.

My dear Stokes,

I return you
this file, (Cus 8455 of 97) with
many thanks for your note
on my query. His Excellency
as you see, has accepted
Munleer's proposals.

Yours sincerely,

W. H. M.

Attention is invited to the
s on G. No. 6830 of 1897. The
ient, viz: the protection of
as in proprietary estates from
ishment by Zemindars & /m
ibly be attained by inserting
one or two in the Tenancy Bill
it will be long before that
becomes law. The Hon'ble Mr.
and H. E. have decided that
ter should not be deferred
and that separate legislation sh
be undertaken at once in regard
to areas under the jurisdiction of
Municipal Councils and the revenue
provision inserted in the Bill to
Amend the Local Boards' Act
now under consideration in regard
to areas under the control of the
Local Boards.

W. H. M.

C. 12/11/97

all 11-97

Subject are the Gudi Kattu accounts.

Revenue Dep't

C. No: 8455 of '97

Note for the Private Secretary to H.E.
the Governor.

Attention is invited to the
notes on C. No: 6830 of 1897. The object
in view, viz., the protection of portion
holders in proprietary estates from en-
croachment by Zemindars &c. ma-
possibly be attained by inserting
a para or two in the Tenancy Bill,
but it will be long before that mea-
sure becomes law. The Hon'ble Mem-
bers and H.E. have decided that the
matter should not be deferred
and that separate legislation should
be undertaken at once in regard
to areas under the jurisdiction of
Municipal Councils and the necessary
provision inserted in the Bill to
amend the Local Boards' Act
now under consideration in regard
to areas under the control of the
Local Boards.

[Signature]

BACK PAPERS.

Date.

RS.

Date.

It is presumed that lands reserved
for communal purposes are re-
ferred to by the Private Secretary.

C. 12/11/97

11/11/97

Revenue (1897) Department.

CURRENT No.

From The Board of Rev;
L.A.

No. 228

Dated 9-7-97

Received

Registered

Enclosures one file

Spare copies

SUBJECT.

Submg. report on
encroachments in zemindari
and whole iram villages
on lands reserved for Communal
purposes at the time of the
permanent or iram Bill.

Order

one 12/8/97.

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

REVENUE DEPARTMENT.

1897.

Board of Revenue (Land Revenue), MADRAS.

Printed August 2nd.

Proceedings, No. 228, 9th July 1897.

JULY.

Submitting to Government the report on encroachments in zemindaris and whole inam villages on lands reserved for communal purposes at the time of the permanent or inam settlement.

PROCEEDINGS OF THE BOARD OF REVENUE
(LAND REVENUE.)

FULL BOARD.

READ—again Proceedings of the Board of Revenue (Land Revenue), No. 59, dated 12th February 1896.

ABSTRACT.—Calling for reports as to whether and to what extent zemindars and other proprietors have encroached on lands reserved for communal purposes at the time of the permanent or inam settlement.

READ—again Proceedings of the Board of Revenue (Land Revenue), R. No. 1991, dated 22nd July 1896.

ABSTRACT.—Recording letter from the Collector of Nellore explaining the cause of delay in replying to Board's Proceedings, No. 59, dated 12th February 1896, regarding encroachments made on lands reserved for communal purposes in zemindaris and entire inam villages.

READ—again Proceedings of the Board of Revenue (Land Revenue), Mis. No. 3133, dated 27th July 1896.

ABSTRACT.—Informing the Separate Revenue Department, that a report on the extent of encroachments by zemindars and other proprietors on communal lands situated in their estates will be submitted to Government on receipt of Collector's replies to Board's Proceedings, No. 59, dated 12th February 1896, and that the question of reserving waste lands for topes will be considered in the Forest Branch, and considering that notices cannot be issued prohibiting the zemindars from charging differential rates for trees to be tapped for toddy.

READ—again Proceedings of the Board of Revenue (Land Revenue), R. No. 201, dated 18th January 1897.

ABSTRACT.—Recording, with remark, letter from the Collector of Nellore stating that it will take some time for the submission of a complete reply to Board's Proceedings, No. 59, dated 12th February 1896, for want of sufficient information from the zemindari karnams.

READ—also replies from Collectors to Board's Proceedings, No. 59, dated 12th February 1896, regarding encroachments made on lands reserved for communal purposes in zemindaris and entire inams.

RESOLUTION—No. 228, dated 9th July 1897.

The Board resolves to submit to Government the report on encroachments on communal porambokes in zemindaris and whole inam villages, which was called for in Government Order, Mis. No. 345, dated 27th January 1896.

2. Government desires information as to whether and to what extent zemindars and other proprietors have encroached on lands which, at the time of the permanent or inam settlement, were reserved for communal purposes. In reply to Board's Proceedings, No. 59, dated 12th February 1896, calling upon Collectors to furnish this information, the Collectors of Nilgiris and South Canara observe that there are no zemindaris or whole inam villages in their districts. In Malabar no lands are said to have been reserved for communal purposes, but the same tendency to encroach on the public roads is found in this district as in others. There are no zemindaris in Cuddapah, Anantapur, Bellary, Kurnool and Madras, but there are shrotriems or whole inam villages in all these districts. The Collector of Madras states that the roads within the shrotriems of Chintadripet, Tiruvatiswaranpet and Alwarpet are in charge of the municipality and that there has consequently been no room for encroachment by the shrotriemdars concerned.

3. The reports submitted by Collectors are accompanied in most cases by statistics showing the extent of encroachments on communal lands. The Collectors of Trichinopoly, Madura and Salem have been unable to furnish figures with their reports; but the Collector of Trichinopoly states that, as regards whole inam villages, he has caused a statement to be prepared showing the extent specified as poramboke at the inam settlement and that he has instructed Tahsildars to have another statement prepared showing the extent of land at present used for communal purposes. The available figures for the other districts have been abstracted in the appendix to these Proceedings. They show that encroachments to the extent of 5,168 acres have been found in fifteen districts; nearly three-fourths of this extent are contributed by the three districts named in the margin. The appendix to these proceedings furnishes such information as is available regarding the different kinds of porambokes encroached on. Of these, the area reserved for roads seems to have

	ACS.
Ganjām	1,444
Gódvári	1,237
Coimbatore	922
Total	3,603

suffered most by encroachment, next to it, tanks and tank-beds, and in a minor degree, village-sites.

4. The first query of Government as to whether zemindars and other proprietors have encroached on lands previously reserved for communal purposes must, therefore, be answered in the affirmative. As regards the extent to which this has been done, the information furnished is, as explained by the Collectors, incomplete owing, principally, to the following causes:—

(1) In the case of zemindaris, the old accounts (called gudikattu in Ganjām, bhubund accounts in Gódvári, amaraku dittam or extent of holdings in Kistna, dittam accounts in Nellore and paimash accounts elsewhere) have not been maintained for every village, and where maintained, they are vague and inaccurate, and in several cases do not contain particulars of the different kinds of poramboke;

(2) the difficulty of obtaining accounts from the karnams; and

(3) the difficulty of identifying the different classes of poramboke and of ascertaining their present extent in the absence of survey plans and field measurements. In the opinion of most Collectors, the actual extent of the encroachments made is very much larger than that reported; but the Collectors of Madura and Bellary have recorded their belief that the encroachments in their districts are not of a very serious character. The enquiries made in Ganjām show that encroachments have been general in that district, especially in the Aska division where the facilities for irrigation afforded by the Rushikulya canal have caused many to be made in quite recent years. In support of this view, it may be observed that the extent of the encroachments in three of the villages selected for special inquiry in the Head-quarters Deputy Collector's division is exceedingly large, amounting to acres 328, 261, and 376, respectively. In Vizagapatam free use of communal land for cultivation is said to be the rule. Almost the whole* of the

* Acres 1,236 81.

extent originally reserved for communal purposes in Gódvári, so far as is known,

has been leased out by the proprietors for cultivation. The Collector reports that besides the above, encroachments by private individuals without the leave of the zemindar or proprietor are of common occurrence.

5. In the Board's opinion there is no room to doubt that encroachments upon lands intended to be reserved for communal purposes in proprietary estates, have been extensive in the past and are still liable to occur, not only at the will of the proprietor, but whenever an inhabitant wishes to build a hut, enlarge his backyard, or otherwise extend his premises.

The frequency with which encroachments on porambokes in Government villages have to be repressed at jamabandi may be taken as an index of what is doubtless going on unchecked in all proprietary estates.

6. There is, however, no map or record by which the situation and extent of poramboke lands in proprietary estates can be known, and it may be doubted whether an attempt to ascertain and demarcate the limits even of such porambokes as still exist, would not involve more trouble and expense than the result would be worth. The enquiry would be a very large one, would certainly require the employment of a special establishment for several years, and would probably involve the Government in much litigation.

(True Copies and Extract.)

L. S. Swaminathan
Secretary.

To the Secretary to Government, Revenue Department,
with the Collectors' reports and statements to be returned.

Copy to all Collectors.

„ to the Settlement Department.

„ to the Separate Revenue Department.

APPENDIX.

Class and extent of porambokes encroached upon									
	Village-site.	Puntas, donkas, pathways, road or street.	Cattle-stand.	Channel.	Tanks or tank-beds.	Burial-ground.	Charitable tank.	Charitable tope.	
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	
	ACS.	ACS.	ACS.	ACS.	ACS.	ACS.	ACS.	ACS.	
Vissagapatam	73.63	782.10	..	69.39	148.49	9.28	..	..	8.60
Godavari	66.70	5.30	..	0.33	285.83	28.36	13.59	..	8.60
Kistna	4.10	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..
Cuddapah	6.43	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..
Anantapur	8.13	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	4.31
Kurnool	2.69	54.92	..	15.32	45.46	10.91	..	..	..
Chingleput	3.03	4.96	8.40	..	7.41	9.09	..	..	..
South Arcot	14.27	10.33	..	63.25	124.26	3.83	..	..	..
Tanjore	..	12.06	3.87	• 3.72	† 50.33	1.96	..	..	..
Tinnevely	21.44	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..
Total	190.32	869.67	12.27	152.01	661.98	63.45	13.59	21.41	
Ganjām	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	Details not given.
Nellore	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	
Bellary	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	
North Arcot	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	
Coimbatore	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	
Total	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	
Grand Total	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	

Details not given.

—	Class and extent of porambokes encroached upon—cont.						Remarks.
	Temple.	Thrashing floor.	Mountain poramboke.	Grazing ground.	Miscellaneous.	Total.	
	10	11	12	13	14	15	
Vizagapatam	ACS. 73.63	..	..	..	ACS. 170.87	ACS. 73.63	Inclusive of all land other than the cultivable area.
Godavari	..	..	..	..	..	1,236.81	
Kistna	..	..	..	..	..	345.67	
Cuddapah	..	..	..	..	..	15.36	
Anantapur	..	..	..	..	..	8.13	
Kurnool	0.72	5.17	104.00	0.91	33.77	278.52	
Chingleput	0.33	..	..	..	141.17	41.46	
South Arcot	..	..	..	..	..	342.89	
Tanjore	0.73	3.12	..	..	..	97.43	
Tinnevely	..	..	..	..	..	..	
Total	1.78	8.29	104.00	0.91	345.61	2,445.49	Inclusive of all land other than the cultivable area.
Ganjām	..	..	..	..	..	1,444.02	
Nellore	..	..	..	..	..	1.07	
Bellary	..	..	..	..	..	71.28	
North Arcot	..	..	..	..	..	284.40	
Coimbatore	..	..	..	..	..	921.94	Inclusive of all land other than the cultivable area.
Total	..	..	..	..	..	2,722.71	
Grand Total	..	..	..	..	..	5,168.20	

* Odai.

† Urani ACS. 29.93
Tank-bed 16.85
Bund 3.65

FOR ORDERS.

Please see G. O. 345,
dated 27th January 1893 and the notes
thereunder.

In August 1895, the Chair-
-man of the Vizianagaram Municipality
reported upon the claim put forward
by the Maharaja to all vacant sites
and sides of roads within the Munie-
-cipality, and upon the receipt of
the report, the Government observed
that such claims on the part of Ze-
mindars and holders of whole inam
villages were by no means uncommon,
and feared that considerable incon-
venience would be caused by encroach-
-ments on communal property unless
timely steps were taken to repudiate
and resist these claims. It is the
village communities that are inter-
ested in the proper preservation of
the communal porambokes; but as
they are neither wealthy nor public
-spirited and cannot be expected to
take

The Hon. Mr. G. S. S. S.
The Hon. Mr. S. S. S. S.

6/12/97

take united action against a powerful landholder, the Government was disposed to think that the only suitable plan of protecting the communal lands from encroachment was to vest them in Local Boards or Municipal Councils by legislation. Before, however taking action in that direction, it called upon the Board of Revenue to furnish information ⁽¹⁾ as to whether Zemindars and other proprietors have encroached upon lands reserved for communal purposes at the time of permanent or inam settlement as the case may be; and ⁽²⁾ as to the extent of such encroachments.

2. The Board of Revenue consulted the Collectors of the several districts and in the Proceedings read above submits its consolidated report.

It will be seen from this that in Malabar no lands are said to have been reserved for communal purposes; that in the Nilgiris and

South

Ganjam / N. Arcot
Nellore / Coimbatore
Bellary

or whole inam villages; and that in Madras, where there are only the Shrotriams of Chintadripet, Tiruvettiswarapet and Alwarpet, the roads within those villages are in charge of the Municipality and that there has consequently been no room for encroachment by the Shrotriendars concerned. The Collectors of Trichinopoly, Madurai, and Salem have been unable to furnish figures with their reports. Excluding these seven districts and excluding also the marginally noted five districts for which details have not been furnished, all the available figures for the remaining ten districts have been tabulated by the Board under the following headings:-

take united action against a power-

Extent of encroachment.
Acres. Cents.

(1) Village site	190 -32
(2) Puntas, donkas, path-ways, road or street..	869 -67
(3) Cattle stands.....	12 -27
(4) Channels.....	152 - 1
(5) Tanks or tank-bed lands	661 -98
(6) Burial grounds.....	63 -45
(7) Charitable tank.....	13 -59
(8) -do- tope.....	21 -41
(9) Temple.....	1 -78
(10) Thrashing floor.....	8 -29
(11) Mountain poramboke.....	104 - 0
(12) Grazing grounds.....	-91
(13) Miscellaneous.....	345 -81

Total 2,445 -49

Add areas for Ganjam, Nellore, Bellary, North Arcot and Coimbatore, for which details have not been furnished.....

2,722 -71

Grand Total 5,168 -20

3. It will thus be observed that the area reserved "for roads, &c." has suffered most by encroachment; next "tanks and tank-bed lands" and in a minor degree "village sites". The marginally noted three districts contribute nearly three-fourths of the total extent of encroachments.

4. The Board of Revenue accordingly answers in the affirmative the first question, i.e., whether Zemindars and other proprietors have encroached upon lands previously reserved for

Ganjam Acs. 1,444
Godavari..... " 1,237
Coimbatore..... " 922.

Total Acres 3,603.

communal purposes and it thinks that there is no room to doubt that encroachments upon communal lands in proprietary estates, have been extensive in the past and are still liable to occur not only at the will of the proprietor but whenever an inhabitant wishes to build a hut, enlarge his backyard or otherwise extend his premises and ~~conclude~~ that the frequency with which encroachments on porambokes in Govt. villages have to be repressed at Jamabandy may be taken as an index of what is doubtless going on unchecked in all proprietary estates.

5. As regards the second question i.e., the extent to which encroachments have taken place, the Board states that the information furnished by Collectors is incomplete owing to the following causes:-

(1) In the case of Zemindari's, the old accounts have not been maintained for every village and where maintained they are vague and inaccurate and in several cases do not contain particulars of the different kinds of porambokes;

(2) the difficulty of obtaining accounts from the kurnams ;

(3) the difficulty of identifying different classes of poramboke and of ascertaining their present extent in the absence of survey plans and field measurements.

In view of the above difficulties, the Board doubts whether an attempt to ascertain and demarcate the limits even of such porambokes as still exist would not involve more trouble and expense than

take united action against a power

Extent of encroachment.

When the result would be worth and status
the enquiry would be a very large
one, would certainly require the employ-
ment of a special establishment for sever-
al years and would probably involve the
Govt. in such litigation.

6. It is not known what propor-
tion the extent of encroachments report-
ed bears to the total extent reserved
for communal purposes. But it does not
seem necessary that any further enquiry
should be made. The Collectors' reports
clearly show that encroachments have
been made on communal lands and in some
cases to a considerable extent, and most
Collectors are of opinion that the actual
extent of encroachments made is very
much larger than that reported. In the
above circumstances it seems desirable
to legislate as originally proposed by
Government, by the insertion of a few
provisions in the 'Municipalities'
and ^{the} Local Boards' Acts, vesting all Com-
munal lands in Zemindaris and whole
Inam Villages in the Municipal Councils
or Local Boards concerned and giving
those bodies power to repress encroach-
ments upon such lands. If the proposal be
approved, the papers may be transferred to
the Local & Municipal Dept: for the ne-
cessary action. It is understood that a
bill to amend the Local Boards' Act is
now under consideration in that Dept. In
regard to the areas under the jurisdiction
of Municipal Councils, a short amending
act containing a few sections will proba-
bly suffice.

7. In this connection

I don't think it is necessary
for L. B. to do this. After
property is given to L. B. &
Municipalities they can arrange
for surveys when necessary.

~~Govt.~~ Govt. might order surveys when
litigation follows, the
L. B. & M. B. would have to under-
take it, and for the sake of the people it
is better to do so - but in any
case these L. B. & M. B. questions were approached
in a proper spirit of compromise, with the
desire to secure for the public only so
much as the public really needs, and without
taking away from Zamindars & Inamdar lands
which the public does not really need, many
because they are defined as perambulations.
B.S.

IV of 1882
II of 1882

Yes, Legislation is necessary.
There will be many cases in
which L. B. & Municipalities
cannot prevent encroachments
but there will be many more
as they come; and more still
as Zamindars & Inamdar
will abstain from encroachments
because of the fear that
action will be taken against
them.
B.S.

attention is solicited
to G.O. No 1992 R d, 26.10.96
and the notes under G.O.
No 3612 mis (Rev) d, 9.9.96
in regard to the treatment
of Runtas in Zemindaris
and whole inam villages.

I submit that it will be much better
to let this question alone until
the Tenancy Bill is passed
when it will be possible to
compel the survey of Zemindaris
without a proper survey it
seems impossible to arrive at
any satisfactory conclusion in
the matter.

11/9/97

I don't think the matter should
be so deferred. Legislation
will improve the situation
at once, & enable us to
take full advantage of any
survey. Under the Tenancy
Bill, Govt. will probably
take power to order survey;
but it will not compel the
survey of Zemindaris unless
this is required for the purpose
of the Bill. The Vizianagaram
Municipality, circumstances are
so prompt that references
and some the survey will be all
other Municipalities.

B.S. 11/9/97
1579/57
3.11.97

By order.

11/9/97

13 Sept 97

Adm. Div. 10/15/97
10/15/97

Mr. The Env.

C.O. (Pres) 10/15/97
The Board's report
read above clearly
shows that encroachments
~~on~~ upon lands intended
to be reserved for com-
munal purposes in pro-
prietary estates, have been
extensive in the past
and are still liable to
occur. The ~~as~~ Government
accordingly considers it
desirable to legislate
as proposed in the
concluding portion of
para 2 of G.O. No 345 mis,
d, 27.1.96. The necessary
steps will be taken in
the Local & Municipal
Department.

6.18
3.11.97

To the Secy to Govt.
L & M Dept
for favor of counter initials.

124/11/97
189/97

To the Bd of Rev (L.R.)
L & M Dept
from orders with copy of notes in
(P)
189/97
+ Collection notes in
in custody

10/15/97
10/15/97

Government {1897} of Madras.
REVENUE.

Recd.	} 1897.	Enclosures
Regd.		Spare copies

G.O., No. 909, 4th November 1897.

NOVEMBER.

Communal Lands.

Stating that the necessary steps will be taken in the Local and Municipal Department regarding the prevention of encroachments upon — in proprietary estates.

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS.

REVENUE DEPARTMENT.

READ—the following Proceedings of the Board of Revenue (Land Revenue), No. 228, dated 9th July 1897:—

FULL BOARD.

Read—again Proceedings of the Board of Revenue (Land Revenue), No. 59, dated 12th February 1896.

ABSTRACT.—Calling for reports as to whether and to what extent zemindars and other proprietors have encroached on lands reserved for communal purposes at the time of the permanent or inam settlement.

Read—again Proceedings of the Board of Revenue (Land Revenue), R. No. 1991, dated 22nd July 1896.

ABSTRACT.—Recording letter from the Collector of Nellore explaining the cause of delay in replying to Board's Proceedings, No. 59, dated 12th February 1896, regarding encroachments made on lands reserved for communal purposes in zemindaris and entire inam villages.

Read—again Proceedings of the Board of Revenue (Land Revenue), Mis. No. 3133, dated 27th July 1896.

ABSTRACT.—Informing the Separate Revenue Department, that a report on the extent of encroachments by zemindars and other proprietors on communal lands situated in their estates will be submitted to Government on receipt of Collector's replies to Board's Proceedings, No. 59, dated 12th February 1896, and that the question of reserving waste lands for topes will be considered in the Forest Branch, and considering that notices cannot be issued prohibiting the zemindars from charging differential rates for trees to be tapped for toddy.

Read—again Proceedings of the Board of Revenue (Land Revenue), R. No. 201, dated 18th January 1897.

ABSTRACT.—Recording, with remark, letter from the Collector of Nellore stating that it will take some time for the submission of a complete reply to Board's Proceedings, No. 59, dated 12th February 1896, for want of sufficient information from the zemindari karnams.

Read—also replies from Collectors to Board's Proceedings, No. 59, dated 12th February 1896, regarding encroachments made on lands reserved for communal purposes in zemindaris and entire inams.

Resolution—No. 228, dated 9th July 1897.

The Board resolves to submit to Government the report on encroachments on communal poramboke in zemindaris and whole inam villages, which was called for in Government Order, Mis. No. 345, dated 27th January 1896.

2. Government desires information as to whether and to what extent zemindars and other proprietors have encroached on lands which, at the time of the permanent or inam settlement, were reserved for communal purposes. In reply to Board's Proceedings, No. 59, dated 12th February 1896, calling upon Collectors to furnish this information, the Collectors of Nilgiris and South Canara observe that there are no zemindaris or whole inam villages in their districts. In Malabar no lands are said to have been reserved for communal purposes, but the same tendency to encroach on the public roads is found in this district as in others. There are no zemindaris in Cuddapah, Anantapur, Bellary, Kurnool and Madras, but there are shrotriems or whole inam villages in all these districts. The Collector of Madras states that the roads within the shrotriems of Chintadripet, Tiruvatiswaranpet and Alwarpet are in charge of the municipality and that there has consequently been no room for encroachment by the shrotriemdars concerned.

3. The reports submitted by Collectors are accompanied in most cases by statistics showing the extent of encroachments on communal lands. The Collectors of Trichinopoly, Madura and Salem have been unable to furnish figures with their reports; but the Collector of Trichinopoly states that, as regards whole inam villages, he has caused a statement to be prepared showing the extent specified as poramboke at the inam settlement and that he has instructed Tahsildars to have another statement prepared showing the extent of land at present used for communal purposes. The available figures for the other districts have been abstracted in the appendix to these Proceedings. They show that encroachments to the extent of 5,168 acres have

	ACS.
Ganjām	1,444
Gódvári	1,237
Coimbatore	922
Total	3,603

been found in fifteen districts; nearly three-fourths of this extent are contributed by the three districts named in the margin. The appendix to these proceedings furnishes such information as is available regarding the different kinds of poramboke encroached on. Of these, the area reserved for roads seems to have

suffered most by encroachment, next to it, tanks and tank-beds, and in a minor degree, village-sites.

4. The first query of Government as to whether zemindars and other proprietors have encroached on lands previously reserved for communal purposes must, therefore, be answered in the affirmative. As regards the extent to which this has been done, the information furnished is, as explained by the Collectors, incomplete owing, principally, to the following causes:—

(1) In the case of zemindaris, the old accounts (called gudikattu in Ganjām, bhubund accounts in Gódvári, amaraka dittam or extent of holdings in Kistna, dittum accounts in Nellore and paimash accounts elsewhere) have not been maintained for every village, and where maintained, they are vague and inaccurate, and in several cases do not contain particulars of the different kinds of poramboke;

(2) the difficulty of obtaining accounts from the karnams; and

(3) the difficulty of identifying the different classes of poramboke and of ascertaining their present extent in the absence of survey plans and field measurements. In the opinion of most Collectors, the actual extent of the encroachments made is very much larger than that reported; but the Collectors of Madura and Bellary have recorded their belief that the encroachments in their districts are not of a very serious character. The enquiries made in Ganjām show that encroachments have been general in that district, especially in the Aska division where the facilities for irrigation afforded by the Rushikulya canal have caused many to be made in quite recent years. In support of this view, it may be observed that the extent of the encroachments in three of the villages selected for special inquiry in the Head-quarters Deputy Collector's division is exceedingly large, amounting to acres 328, 261 and 376, respectively. In Vizagapatam free use of communal land for cultivation is said to be the rule. Almost the whole of the

* Acres 1,236 81.

extent originally reserved for communal purposes in Gódvári, so far as is known,

has been leased out by the proprietors for cultivation. The Collector reports that besides the above, encroachments by private individuals without the leave of the zemindar or proprietor are of common occurrence.

5. In the Board's opinion there is no room to doubt that encroachments upon lands intended to be reserved for communal purposes in proprietary estates, have been extensive in the past and are still liable to occur, not only at the will of the proprietor, but whenever an inhabitant wishes to build a hut, enlarge his backyard, or otherwise extend his premises.

The frequency with which encroachments on poramboke in Government villages have to be repressed at jamabandi may be taken as an index of what is doubtless going on unchecked in all proprietary estates.

6. There is, however, no map or record by which the situation and extent of poramboke lands in proprietary estates can be known, and it may be doubted whether an attempt to ascertain and demarcate the limits even of such porambokes as still exist, would not involve more trouble and expense than the result would be worth. The enquiry would be a very large one, would certainly require the employment of a special establishment for several years, and would probably involve the Government in much litigation.

(True Copies and Extract.)

(Signed) R. C. C. CARR,
Secretary.

To the Secretary to Government, Revenue Department,
with the Collectors' reports and statements to be returned.

Copy to all Collectors.

" to the Settlement Department.

" to the Separate Revenue Department.

APPENDIX.

1	Class and extent of porambokes encroached upon							9
	Village-site.	Puntas, donkas, pathways, road or street.	Cattle-stand.	Channel.	Tanks or tank-beds.	Burial ground.	Charitable tank.	
2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	
Vizagapatam	ACS. 73-63	ACS. 782-10	..	ACS. 69-39	ACS. 148-49	ACS. 9-26	ACS. 13-59	ACS. 8-60
Godavari	66-70	530	..	..	285-83	28-35	..	8-60
Kistna	4-10	..	..	0-33	..	..	..	..
Cuddapah	6-43	..	..	..	..	..	..	..
Anantapur	8-13	..	..	..	..	..	..	..
Kurnool	2-59	..	..	15-32	45-46	10-91	..	4-31
Chingleput	3-03	54-92	..	..	7-11	9-09	..	..
South Arcot	14-27	4-96	8-40	63-25	124-26	3-88	..	..
Tanjore	10-33	..	..	3-72	150-53	1-96	..	..
Tinnevely	21-44	12-06	3-87	..	..	..	..	..
Total	190-32	869-67	12-27	152-01	661-93	63-45	13-59	21-41
Ganjám	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..
Nellore	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..
Bellary	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..
North Arcot	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..
Coimbatore	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..
Total	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..
Grand Total	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..

Details not given.

Class and extent of porambokes encroached upon—cont.

10	Temple.	Thrashing floor.	Mountain poramboke.	Grazing ground.	Miscellaneous.	Total.	Remarks.
11	12	13	14	15	16		
Vizagapatam	ACS. 73-63	ACS. 782-10	ACS. 69-39	ACS. 148-49	ACS. 9-26	ACS. 13-59	ACS. 8-60
Godavari	66-70	530	..	..	285-83	28-35	..
Kistna	4-10	..	..	0-33	..	..	..
Cuddapah	6-43	..	..	..	..	..	..
Anantapur	8-13	..	..	..	..	..	..
Kurnool	2-59	..	..	15-32	45-46	10-91	4-31
Chingleput	3-03	54-92	..	..	7-11	9-09	..
South Arcot	14-27	4-96	8-40	63-25	124-26	3-88	..
Tanjore	10-33	..	..	3-72	150-53	1-96	..
Tinnevely	21-44	12-06	3-87	..	..	..	..
Total	1-78	8-29	101-00	0-91	345-91	2,445-49	
Ganjám	..	..	..	..	..	..	
Nellore	..	..	..	..	..	..	
Bellary	..	..	..	..	..	..	
North Arcot	..	..	..	..	..	..	
Coimbatore	..	..	..	..	..	..	
Total	..	..	..	..	..	..	
Grand Total	..	..	..	..	..	..	

Inclusive of all land other than the cultivable area.

* Odai.

† Urani
Tank-bed
Bound

ACS.
29-93
16-95
3-65

6
No. 909, REVENUE, 4TH NOVEMBER 1897.

ORDER—No. 909, Revenue, dated 4th November 1897.

Miscellaneous.

The Board's report read above clearly shows that encroachments upon lands intended to be reserved for communal purposes in proprietary estates have been extensive in the past and are still liable to occur. The Government accordingly considers it desirable to legislate as proposed in the concluding portion of paragraph 2 of G.O., Mis. No. 315, dated 27th January 1896. The necessary steps will be taken in the Local and Municipal Department.

(True Extract.)

(Signed) G. STOKES,
Secretary to Government.

To the Board of Revenue (Land Revenue).
„ the Local and Municipal Department, with copy of notes
in full, and Collector's reports in original.

Exd. Shauk Karim

40 Copies.

Regular
Miscellaneous No.

charge of the Record
of 189

FOR WHAT CURRENT.		To whom given.	When taken out.
No.	Year.		
197-18	1898	2336/18	1898
3600/2	1898	1898	1898
4010/31	1898	1898	1898
4750/99	1899	1899	1899
4901/99	1899	1899	1899
704/98	1898	1898	1898
704/98	1898	1898	1898
704/98	1898	1898	1898

FOR WHAT CURRENT.

To whom given.

When taken out.

No.	Year.	To whom given.	When taken out.
967/98	1898	- do -	4-2-98
		not received in R.O.	

Regular
Miscellaneous No.

of the Record

189

When taken out

58

FOR WHAT CURRENT.

No.

FOR WHAT CURRENT.

No.

Year.

To whom given.

When taken out.

28	12-28-78	12-28-78
1/14/98	8. a	1/10/98

0: 150

REVENUE DEPARTMENT.

Paper taken out of the Records from the charge of the Record-keeper.

Regular _____ No.
Miscellaneous _____

of 189 .

[illegible]

FOR WHAT CURRENT.		To whom given.	When taken out.
No.	Year.		
5326	1880	11-12	30-7-1880
			2-3-1880
			12/1880
27-1-1791	1880	4234/17	1880