Revenue (1897) Department.

PRESS SERIES.

G.O., No. 895-895 A

Dated 27th October

[Despatch Abstract.]

The draft notifn., under section 16 of the Madras Forest Act, for the constitution of the Ravattanvellur block, in the Kallakurchi taluk, as a reserved forest, ordered to be published in the Fort St. George and the South Arcot St. Gazettes.

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Dated	Date	G.O.	No.	Department	Date

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department	Date	G.O.	No.	Department	Date

Revenue (1897) Department.

CURRENT No. 8684

Secy

Print 27.10.97

Forest Spl. 201

Order No. 895-S/ 27th Oct: 1897.

The draft notification will be published in the Fort St George and S. Arcot Dt gazettes, the 31st January 1898 being entered as the date from which reservation shall take effect.

2 The privilege of repairing the Supply Channel should be conceded by a departmental order.

26.11 27.10.97

To the B.S: L.R with map.

Supt Dt: G. Press with notification
1 Supt of Forest Surveys
Encl No. 895A. 25/3

Copy to G. I. R and Agri: Dept.

P.T.O. 26.11 1/2

Dated 20 September 97
Received 7.10.97
Registered 8.10.97
Enclosures 4
Spare copies

From

The Secretary
to Govt B.S. L.R

Forest No. 405

SUBJECT.

Submitting draft notification for the Constitution of Ranetta-nallur block, Kallakurichi T.K, S. Arcot Dt ~~Dt~~ as a reserved forest.

Note The initial notification of this block was approved in G.O 41 25.1.95

2 From the D.F.O's statement and the D.S.O's decision against on claim No 29 xxx III, it would appear that S.No 478A and 483A are thrown out by the exclusion of S.No 478 and 483; but all the four Nos are separately shown as enclosed in the draft notification. This is unimportant. The fields are included & is enclosed.

26.9.91

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.
	41		25.1.95
	Replace		

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

Fort St. George, October 21, 1897.

No. 111.

DRAFT NOTIFICATION.

His Excellency the Governor in Council is pleased to declare, under the provisions of section 16 of the Madras Forest Act (V of 1882), that, from , the area, the boundaries of which are set forth in the schedule annexed to this notification, shall be constituted "reserved forest."

SCHEDULE.

District.	Taluk.	Names of villages.	Number of block.	Name of block.	Boundaries.
South Arcot.	Kallakurchi.	Pakkam, Mukkanur, Ravattanallur and Rayasamudiram.	207	Ravattanallur.	*North.*—Starting from the south-east corner of survey No. 185 of Rayasamudiram village a straight line east-south-eastwards to a village boundary stone at the north-west corner of survey No. 306 of Pakkam village. *East.*—Thence a straight line south-south-eastwards to the north-west corner of survey No. 322; thence a straight line westwards to the north-west corner of survey No. 362; thence a straight line to the north-west corner of survey No. 363; thence its west side to its south-west corner; thence a straight line to the khandam stone on the west side of survey No. 365; thence that side to its south-west corner; thence a straight line south-south-eastwards to a demarcation post and cairn about ten and a half chains due west of the south-west corner of survey No. 371; thence a straight line to the north-west corner of survey No. 477, all of Pakkam village; thence a straight line to the north-west corner of survey No. 494 of Ravattanallur; thence its west side to its south-west corner; thence a straight line to the south-west corner of survey No. 487 of the same village; thence a straight line to a village boundary stone at the north-west corner of survey No. 7 of Mukkanur; thence its west side to the next boundary stone; thence a straight line to the north-west corner of survey No. 17; thence its west side to its south-west corner; thence a straight line to the south-west corner of survey No. 18; thence a straight line to the north-west corner of survey No. 33; thence its west side to a point in it where it turns east; thence a straight line due south to a demarcation post and cairn on the north bank of the supply channel to Mukkanur eri (tank) about five chains from the said point, all of Mukkanur. *South.*—Thence a straight line west-south-westwards to a khandam stone on the north side of survey No. 407 about five chains north-west of the northern end of the Mukkanur tank calingula; thence a straight line westwards to a demarcation post and cairn about two and a half chains east of the north-east corner of survey No. 409, all of Mukkanur village. *West.*—Thence a straight line to a village boundary stone about five and a half chains north-east of the north-east corner of survey No. 423; thence westwards the village boundary to a boundary stone at the north-east corner of survey No. 424, all of Mukkanur; thence a straight line north-eastwards to a cairn about six chains north-east of the south-east corner of survey No. 114 of Ravattanallur; thence a straight line eastwards to a cairn about nine chains south of the south-east corner of survey No. 131; thence a straight line to the said corner, thence a straight line to the south-east corner of survey No. 133; thence its east side to an offset stone in the middle of it; thence a straight line to the south-east corner of survey No. 137; thence the east sides of that number and Nos. 138, 140 and 141 to the north-east corner of the last; thence a straight line to the south-east corner of survey No. 474; thence a straight line north-eastwards to a cairn about nine chains from the said corner; thence a straight line northwards to the south-east corner of survey No. 484; thence its east side and the east and north sides of survey No. 483 to a cairn in the last about half a chain from its north-west corner, all of Ravattanallur; thence a straight line to the trijunction of Rayasamudiram, Pakkam, and Ravattanallur villages; and thence a straight line to the starting point.

REMARKS.—A. The undermentioned rights of way are allowed within the block:—

(1) Cart-track from Ravattanallur to Ponparappi six yards wide and open for people, cattle and carts. It enters the block about eight chains north-east of the north-east corner of survey No. 348 of Ravattanallur and running eastwards and north-eastwards for about six and three-fourth furlongs leaves it at the south-east corner of survey No. 185 of Rayasamudiram village.

(2) Cart-track from Ravattanallur to Ponparappi four yards wide and open for people, cattle and carts. It enters the block about three chains north-west of the north-east corner of survey No. 475 of Pakkam and running northwards and then north-eastwards for about a mile leaves it twelve chains west-north-west of the boundary stone at the south-west corner of survey No. 305 of Pakkam.

(3) Cart-track from Pakkam to Bramakundam four yards wide and open for people, cattle and carts. It enters the block about five chains south of the south-west corner of survey No. 365 of Pakkam and running north-westwards following the course of A_2 for a short distance joins right of way A_1 about seven and a half chains east of the point of entrance of the latter. Its length within the block is about six furlongs.

(4) Cart-track from Ravattanallur to Pakkam six yards wide and open for people, cattle and carts. It enters the block about one and three-fourth chains south of the south-east corner of survey No. 484 of Ravattanallur and running eastwards for nearly three furlongs leaves the reserve about two chains west of the north-east corner of survey No. 488 of Ravattanallur.

(5) Foot-path from Ravattanallur to Kaduvanur two yards wide and open for people and cattle. It enters the block about one and a half chains south of the south-east corner of survey No. 474 of Ravattanallur and running south-eastwards for about four furlongs leaves it on the west side of survey No. 7 of Mukkanur about one and a half chains from its north-west corner.

(6) Cart-track from Ravattanallur to Mukkanur six yards wide and open for people, cattle and carts. It enters the block about six chains north-east of the south-east corner of survey No. 479 of Ravattanallur and running south-south-eastwards for about one mile and three furlongs leaves it about six chains north-north-east of the north-west corner of survey No. 54 of Mukkanur.

(7) Cart-track from Mukkanur to Kaduvanur four yards wide and open for people, cattle and carts. It enters the block at a point about two chains north-east of the north-east corner of survey No. 409 of Mukkanur and running eastwards leaves it at a point about five chains north-east of the Mukkanur eri calingula. Its length is about three furlongs.

B. The following temples, &c., have been excluded from reservation and left as enclosures with access as noted under each :—

(1) Thengalammankoil on the slope of a hill and consisting of a platform two yards square with the rock on which it stands about half an acre in extent (75 × 700 links). It is situated twenty yards south of the sixth boundary stone, counted eastwards from the north-east corner of survey No. 424 of Mukkanur. To be approached by a path two yards wide and open for people and cattle from the northernmost point of survey No. 407 and running generally north to the temple.

(2) Dharmalingamedai three yards square about fifteen chains north-north-east of Mukkanur eri calingula. To be approached by a path two yards wide open for people and cattle from the northernmost point of survey No. 407 and running generally north to it.

(3) Durga (7 × 5) yards on the top of the hill south-east of the Ravattanallur fort with a marginal space thirteen and a half yards broad and fifteen yards long from the corners of the building. To be approached by a path two yards wide open for people only running to it south-eastwards from Muttusavadikolam.

C. Right to water cattle in Narayakulam situated about four chains north-east from the north-east corner of survey No. 483 of Ravattanallur is admitted with access by a path two yards wide and open for people and cattle from the nearest point in the reserve boundary.

D. The undermentioned patta, &c., lands have been excluded from reservation and left as enclosures within the block with access as noted against each :—

Village.	Survey number.	Admitted extent.	Access.
		Acs.	
(1) Pakkam ..	478-A.	2·91	By right of way A, *supra*.
	478-B.	8·52	
	483-A granted in exchange for survey No. 485-G.	1·78	
	Fields Nos. 478 and 483 with the portion of survey No. 482 lying between them have been excluded as a compact enclosure.		
(2) Mukkanur ..	408-C.	2·27	By right of way A, *supra*.
(3) Do. ..	408-I.	5·45	By right of way A, *supra* and a branch from it running northwards to a width of two yards and open for people and cattle.

(Signed) A. W. C. STRANBOROUGH,
District Forest-officer.

(Signed) P. S. SINGARAVELU,
Forest Sett.-officer

Secretary.

Draft final notification

His Excellency the Governor in Council is pleased to declare under the provisions of section 16 of the Madras Forest act V of 1882, that from the area, the boundaries of which are set forth in the Schedule annexed to this notification shall be constituted reserved forest.

Schedule

District	Taluk	Names of villages	No. of Block	Name of Block	Boundaries.
South Arcot	Kallakurchi	Pakkam Mukkanur Ravattanallur Rayasamudiram ..	207	Ravattanallur.	North starting from the southeast corner of survey no. 185 of Rayasamudiram village a straight line east southeastwards to a village boundary stone at the northwest corner of survey no. 306 of Pakkam village. East. Thence a straight line south southeastwards to the northwest corner of survey no. 322; thence a straight line westwards to the northwest corner of survey no. 362; thence a straight line to the northwest corner of survey no. 363; thence its west side to its southwest corner; thence a straight line to the Kharudam stone on the west side of survey no. 365; thence that side to its southwest corner; thence a straight line south southeastwards to a demarcation post and cairn about 10½ chains due west of the southwest corner of survey no. 371; thence a straight line to the northwest corner of survey no. 427 all of Pakkam village; thence a straight line to the northwest corner of survey no. 494 of Ravattanallur; thence its west side to its southwest

corner; thence a straight line to the southwest corner of Survey no. 408 of the same village; thence a straight line to a village boundary stone at the northwest corner of Survey no. 7 of Mukkanur; thence its west side to the next boundary stone; thence a straight line to the northwest corner of Survey no. 17; thence its west side to its southwest corner; thence a straight line to the southwest corner of Survey no. 18; thence a straight line to the northwest corner of Survey no. 33; thence its west side to a point in it where it turns east; thence a straight line due south to a demarcation post and cairn on the north bank of the supply channel to Mukkanur Eri (tank) about 5 chains from the said point, all of Mukkanur.

South Thence a straight line westerly southwestwards to a khandam station on the north side of Survey no. 407 about 5 chains northwest of the northern end of the Mukkanur tank bathingula; thence a straight line westwards to a demarcation post and cairn about 2½ chains east of the northeast corner of Survey no. 409; all of Mukkanur village.

West Thence a straight line to a village boundary stone about 5½ chains northeast of the northeast corner of Survey no. 423; thence westwards to the village boundary to a boundary stone at northeast corner of Survey no. 424; all of Mukkanur; thence a straight line northwestwards to a cairn about 6 chains northeast of the southeast corner of Survey no. 114 of Ravattanallur; thence a straight line eastwards to a cairn about 9 chains

south of the southeast corner of Survey no. 131; thence a straight line to the said corner; thence a straight line to the southeast corner of Survey no. 133; thence its east side to an offset stone in the middle of it; thence a straight line to the southeast corner of Survey no. 137; thence the east sides of that number and nos. 138, 140 and 141 to the northeast corner of the last; thence a straight line to the southeast corner of Survey no. 474; thence a straight line northeastwards to a cairn about 9 chains from the said corner; thence a straight line northwards to the southeast corner of Survey no. 484; thence its east side and the east and north sides of Survey no. 483 to a cairn in the last about half a chain from its northwest corner; all of Rayasamudiram, Pakkam, and Ravattanallur villages; and thence a straight line to the starting point.

Remarks

A. The undermentioned rights of way are allowed within the block.

1. Cart-track from Ravattanallur to Ponparappi 6 yards wide and open for people, cattle and carts. It enters the block about 8 chains northeast of the northeast corner of Survey no. 348 of [illegible] eastwards and northeastwards for about 6¼ furlongs leaves it at the southeast corner of Survey no. 185 of Rayasamudiram village.

2. Cart-track from Ravattanallur to Ponparappi 4 yards wide and open for people, cattle and

carts. It enters the block about 3 chains north-west of the northeast corner of Survey no 475 of Pakkam and running northwards and then northeastwards for about a mile leaves it 12 chains west northwest of the boundary stone at the Southwest corner of Survey no 305 of Pakkam.

3. Cart track from Pakkam to Brancha-Kendam 4 yards wide and open for people Cattle and carts. It enters the block about 5 chains south of the Southwest corner of Survey no 365 of Pakkam and running northwestwards following the course of A2. for a short distance joins right of way A1. about 1½ chains east of the point of entrance of the latter. Its length within the block is about 6 furlongs

4. Cart track from Ravattanallur to Pakkam 6 yards wide and open for people, cattle and carts. It enters the block about 1¾ chains south of the southeast corner of Survey no 484 of Ravattanallur and running eastwards and then southeastwards for about 3 furlongs (about 2 chains west of) leaves it at the northeast corner of Survey no 488 of Ravattanallur.

5. Footpath from Ravattanallur to Kaduvanur 2 yards wide and open for people and cattle. It enters the block about 1½ chains south of the southeast corner of Survey no 474 of Ravattanallur and running southeastwards for about 4 furlongs leaves it on the western side of Survey no 7 of Mukkanur about 1½ chains from its northwest corner.

6. Cart track from Ravattanallur to Mukkanur 6 yards wide and open for people, cattle and carts. It enters the block about 6 chains northeast of the southeast corner of Survey no 479 of Ravattanallur and running southwards for about 1 mile and three furlongs leaves it 6 chains northwest of the northeast corner of Survey no. 54 of Mukkanur.

7. Cart track from Mukkanur to Kadu[illegible] 4 yards wide and open for people, cattle and carts. It enters the block at a point about 2 chains northeast of the northeast corner of Survey no 409 of Mukkanur and running eastwards leaves it at a point about 5 chains northeast of the Mukkanur Sri Calinguda. Its length is about 3 furlongs.

B. The following temples are have been excluded from reservations and left as Enclosures with access as noted under each.

1. Thengalammankoil on the slope of a hill and consisting of a platform 2 yards square with the rock on which it stands about half an acre in extent (75x75 links. It is situated 20 yards south of the boundary stone, counted eastwards from the northeast corner of Survey no 424 of Mukkanur. To be approached by a path 2 yards wide and open for people and cattle from the nearest point in the southern boundary of the block.

2. Dharmalinga medai 3 yards square about 15 chains northwest northeast of Mukkanur Sri Calinguda. To be approached by a path 2 yards wide and cattle from the nearest point in the southern boundary of the block.

3. Durga (7x5) yards on the top of the hill southeast of the Ravatta[illegible] 13½ yards broad and 15 yards long from the corner of the building. To be approached by a path 2 yards wide open for people only running east southeastwards from Mettur Savadi Kolam

C. ... water cattle in Narayakulam is ad-
- m... with access by a path 2 yards wide
- ac... for people and cattle from the nearest
ac... 475 the reserve boundary.
bou... them

D. - The undermentioned patta lands have been excluded from reservation and left as enclosures within the block with access as noted against each.

Village.	Survey no.	Admitted Extent.	access.
Pakkam.	478 A	a - c 2 . 91	
	478 B.	8 . 52	
	483 A granted in exchange for Survey no. 485 C.	1 . 78.	
	Fields nos 478 and 483 with the portion of survey no. 482 lying between them have been excluded as a compact enclosure.		By right of way A3 supra.
2. Mukkanur.	408 C	2 . 27.	By right of way A3 supra.
3. Do.	408 J	5 . 45.	By right of way A3 supra and a branch from it running northwards to a width of 2 yards and open for people and cattle.

[illegible]
Forest Settlement officer

[illegible]
[illegible] for about 4 furlongs

REVENUE DEPARTMENT.

1897.

Board of Revenue

(Land Revenue),

MADRAS.

Printed September th.

Proceedings, Forest No. 405, 20th September 1897.

SEPTEMBER.

Submitting to Government, for approval and publication in the *Fort St. George Gazette* draft notification under section 16 of the Forest Act for the constitution of the Ravattanallur Block, in the Kallakurchi taluk, South Arcot district, as a reserved forest.

PROCEEDINGS OF THE BOARD OF REVENUE

(LAND REVENUE).

READ—the following letter from A. E. CASTLESTUART STUART, Esq., Collector of South Arcot, to the Secretary to the Commissioners of Land Revenue, Board of Revenue, Madras (through the Conservator of Forests, Central Circle, Madras), dated 7th May 1897, Ref. on Cur. No. 360 :—

I have the honour to report the conclusion of the settlement of Ravattanallur proposed reserved forest No. 207 in the Kallakurchi taluk of this district.

2. I enclose the Forest Settlement-officer's letter, Ref. on No. 545, dated 30th March 1897, with its enclosures :—

(1) B. Register of claims.
(2) Draft notification.
(3) Sketch-map.

3. Further, in accordance with orders, I append my District Forest-officer's statement of area and condition.

STATEMENT of area and condition.

Taluk.	Number and name of block.		Total area of the taluk.	Extent of Government land.		Area of reserves already notified under section 16.	Area of reserve under settlement.	Area of reserves now submitted.	Character of land proposed for reservation.		Sketch-map.
	Number.	Name.		Occupied.	Unoccupied.				Forest.	Grass.	
Kallakurchi	207	Ravattanallur.	558,913 acres.	182,242 acres.	376,671 acres.	* 64,909 acres.	15,935 acres.	1,086 acres.	810 acres.	276 acres.	One.

* Corrected in the Revenue Board's office.

4. The area of the block as notified under section 4 was 1,385 acres, but on account of the exclusions ordered at settlement, nearly 299 acres have been thrown out. The area of the block as it stands now is, therefore, 1,086 acres which is the area entered in the sketch and the statement given above.

5. The claim to repair the supply channel of the irrigation tank of Mukkanur village (claim No. 21-VII) will be allowed, as a matter of concession, after the block is notified under section 16.

ENCLOSURE No. 1.

From M.R.Ry. P. S. SINGARAVELU PILLAI Avargal, Forest Settlement-officer, Trichinopoly, &c., districts, to the Collector of South Arcot, dated Camp Trichinopoly, 30th March 1897, Ref. on No. 545.

I have the honour to report the completion of the settlement of the Ravattanallur block, Kallakurchi taluk.

2. The block lies in the villages of Ravattanallur, Rayasamudiram, Pakkam, and Mukkanur.

3. The notification under section 4 of the Forest Act appeared in the *Fort St. George Gazette*, dated 5th February 1895, and the proclamation under section 6 was issued by Mr. McMurray on the 13th idem.

4. The settlement of the block was begun by Mr. Raju Sastri, but completed by me on its transfer to my name in September 1896.

5. The time for appeal expired on 28th instant, but none was filed.

6. In all, thirty-five claims were preferred as follows :—

(i) Eighteen claims for patta land.
(ii) Five claims for sivoijama land.
(iii) Four for four temples, &c.
(iv) One for a burning ground.
(v) One for right to three water sources.
(vi) Six for ten paths.

One of the claims in item (vi) related also to right to repair a channel and one of item (iii) was for the exclusion of land for cultivation.

7. One claim in item (i) was rejected as the land was found to lie outside the block; thirteen in item (i), one in item (iii) and item (iv) were struck off, as the boundary was revised at the instance of the District Forest-officer so as to exclude the subject matter of the claims. The remaining claims in item (i), and three claims in item (iii) were admitted, and the lands, &c., have been ordered to be treated as enclosures. Of the right to the three water sources in item (v), one was admitted and recorded, and the other two were excluded from the block in the course of the revision of boundary already referred to. The paths in item (vi) were all admitted with the exception of one which lay for a short length inside the block, and which has now been ordered to be diverted. The claims in item (ii) and those for repairing a channel and exclusion of land for cultivation were rejected as not based on right. The right to repair the channel has to be conceded departmentally.

8. The following records are sent herewith :—

(1) Draft notification under section 16.
(2) Sketch-map.
(3) B. Register of claims.

9. The date in the draft final notification is left blank; as three months' time will have to be allowed for a proper promulgation of the final notification.

ENCLOSURE No. 2.

B. REGISTER of claims to Lands, rights to Forest produce or easements in the proposed Ravattanallur reserved forest block No. 207, Kallakurchi taluk, South Arcot district.

No.	Names of claimants.	Date of presentation of claims.	Nature and extent of claims preferred.	Brief summary of the evidence adduced in support of claims.	Brief summary of the District Forest-officer's arguments and evidence produced by him.	Brief abstract of decision and final disposal of the claims by the Forest Settlement-officer.
1	2	3	4	5	6	7
1 / I	Vannara Muthu, pattadar No. 50, of Ravattanallur, Kallakurchi taluk.	6th Nov. 1895.	Prays that his patta land 6 acres 20 cents. in survey Nos. 399-A and B of Ravattanallur may not be taken up for the reserve.	Village accounts ..	....	The land claimed lies outside the block. The claim is therefore struck off the file. (Signed) C. RAJU SASTRI, SANKARAPURAM, Forest Sett.-officer. 29th February 1896.
7 / II	Sundu Chetty, son of Bengaru Chetty, of Ravattanallur.	22nd Feb. 1896.	Prays that two cawnies of land in survey No. 480-A of Ravattanallur cultivated by him under sivoijama may not be taken up for the reserve.	....	The District Forest-officer contests the claim.	The claim is not based on right. It is therefore rejected. (Signed) P. S. SINGARAVELU, KALLAKURCHI, Forest Sett.-officer. 26th October 1896.
8 / III	Appu Naick, son of Parasurama Naick, of Ravattanallur.	Do.	Prays that acres 1·68 in survey No. 480-A of Ravattanallur cultivated by him under sivoijama may not be taken for the reserve.	Karnam's evidence ..	Do. do.	The claim is not based on right and is therefore rejected. (Signed) P. S. SINGARAVELU, KALLAKURCHI, Forest Sett.-officer. 26th October 1896.
9 / IV	Munisami Naick, son of Muthiyalu Naick, of Ravattanallur.	Do.	Prays that acres 3·07 in survey No. 480-A of Ravattanallur cultivated by him under sivoijama may not be taken up for the reserve.	Do. ..	Do. do.	The claim is not based on right and is therefore rejected. (Signed) P. S. SINGARAVELU, KALLAKURCHI, Forest Sett.-officer. 26th October 1896.
12 / V	Venkatachala Naikan, son of Rama Naikan, of Mukkanur.	Do.	Prays that his patta land about ¼ acre in survey No. 418-B and his undivided father's patta land ¼ acre in survey No. 418-A and the whole of survey No. 408-G (84 cents) and his sivoijama land about ¼ of an acre in survey No. 408-A of Mukkanur included in the reserve may be thrown out of it.	Karnam's evidence and village accounts.	*District Forest-officer's answer.*—Claim to patta lands may be admitted; they all lie in the portion proposed to be excluded from the block. Claim to sivoijama land is contested on the ground that there is no patta for the same.	Survey No. 418 now lies beyond the revised boundary. The claim to survey No. 408-G * is admitted, and the field will be treated as an enclosure with a right of way from the nearest point in the reserve boundary. The path will be six feet wide and open for the pattadar's people and cattle. The claim to sivoijama land is not based on right and is therefore rejected. (Signed) P. S. SINGARAVELU, KALLAKURCHI, Forest Sett.-officer. 26th October 1896.
14 / VI	Perumalu Naikan, son of Rama Naikan, of Mukkanur.	Do.	Prays that his sivoijama land acres 2 and his undivided brother's sivoijama land 10 cents in survey No. 408-A of Mukkanur may not be taken up for the forest.	....	The District Forest-officer contests the claim.	The claim is not based on right and is therefore rejected. (Signed) P. S. SINGARAVELU, KALLAKURCHI, Forest Sett.-officer. 26th October 1896.
21 / VII	Ramasam Aiyar, Acting Monigar of Mukkanur.	Do.	Prays that the following rights may be allowed within the reserve:— (1) Right to repair the supply channel of the irrigation tank of their village. (2) Cart-track from Mukkanur to Kaduvanur. It enters the reserve at the khandam stone at the north-east corner of survey No. 409 and running eastwards leaves north of survey No. 259.	....	The District Forest-officer does not contest the claim to the cart-track and says that the right to repair the supply channel may be admitted subject to the usual conditions.	The claim to item No. 2 † is admitted and recorded under section 11 of the Forest Act. With reference to the revised boundary the cart-track enters the block at a point about two chains north-east of the north-east corner of survey No. 409 of Mukkanur and its exit is at a point about five chains north-east of the Mukkanur eri calingula. It will be open for people, cattle and carts to a width of four yards. The claim as to repairing the channel is not based on any right, but the District Forest-officer agrees to allow it as a concession subject to the usual conditions. KALLAKURCHI, 26th October 1896. (Signed) P. S. SINGARAVELU, Forest Sett.-officer.
30 / VIII	Visvanathier and others of Mukkanur.	24th Feb. 1896.	Pray that the following temples may be excluded fromthe reserve:— Thengalamman ‡koil on the slope of a hill consisting of a platform 2 yards square on a rock of half an acre in extent, 75 links × 700 links. It is 20 yards south of the sixth boundary stone counted eastwards beginning from the north-east corner of survey No. 424 of Mukkanur. Dharmalingamedai ◊ 3 yards square about 15 chains north-north-east of Mukkanur eri calingula. 2. Pray also that the lands in their village limits taken up for the forest may be thrown out for cultivation.	....	*District Forest-officer's answer.*—Claim to Thengalammankoil may be admitted. The koil to the extent claimed may be treated as an enclosure. The proposed reserve includes a small portion only of the Mukkanur village and hence the claim to land for extension of cultivation need receive no consideration.	The Thengalammankoil with the rock on which it stands and Dharmalingamedai will be excluded and left as enclosures with right of way †† from the nearest point in the southern boundary of the block to a width of two yards for people and cattle. The claim to item 2 is not based on right. The boundary has, however, been revised and land left outside the block to meet any possible requirement for extension of cultivation. (Signed) P. S. SINGARAVELU, KALLAKURCHI, Forest Sett.-officer. 26th October 1896.
28 / IX	Kuppu Naickan residing at Pakkam.	Do.	Prays that his patta land acres 8·52 in survey No. 478-B of Pakkam and sivoijama land 2 acres in survey No. 481 of Pakkam may not be taken for the reserve. Access is claimed by the cart-track from Ravattanallur to Pakkam.	....	The District Forest-officer does not object to the admission of the claim to patta land and contests the claim as regards sivoijama lands.	Survey No. 478-B ‖ is excluded and left as an enclosure with access ¶ as claimed. The claim for land cultivated under sivoijama cannot be admitted as being not based on right. (Signed) P. S. SINGARAVELU, KALLAKURCHI, Forest Sett.-officer. 26th October 1896.
10 / X	Subbu Naickan, son of Muthia Naickan, of Ravattanallur.	22nd Feb. 1896.	Prays that the Vinayagarkoil inam land acres 1·66 in survey No. 485 of Ravattanallur enjoyed by him may be excluded from the reserve.	Village accounts and karnam's evidence.	The District Forest-officer says that the land claimed lies in the portion proposed to be excluded from the block.	The land claimed lies outside the revised boundary of the block. The claim is therefore struck off. (Signed) P. S. SINGARAVELU, COIMBATORE, Forest Sett.-officer. 20th November 1896.
11 / XI	Ponmudi Asari, son of Angappan Asari, of Ravattanallur.	Do.	Prays that the blacksmith service inam land, acres 1·71 in survey No. 484 of Ravattanallur enjoyed by him may not be taken for the reserve.	Do. do.	Do. do.	The land claimed lies outside the revised boundary of the block. The claim is therefore struck off. (Signed) P. S. SINGARAVELU, COIMBATORE, Forest Sett.-officer. 20th November 1896.
13 / XII	Sinnatambi, son of Mangai, of Mukkanur.	Do.	Prays that his patta land 2 acres 27 cents in survey No. 408-C •• of Mukkanur may be excluded from the reserve.	Do. do.	*District Forest-officer's answer.*—Not contested, provided the patta is valid. The land claimed may be treated as an enclosure with access thereto.	The claim is admitted under section 10 of the Forest Act. The land will be left as an enclosure with access by the cart-track running south of it. (Signed) P. S. SINGARAVELU, COIMBATORE, Forest Sett.-officer. 20th November 1896.
15 / XIII	Mottai Asari, son of Ponnasari, of Mukkanur.	Do.	Prays that the carpenter service inam land 2·65 acres in survey No. 483 of Ravattanallur may not be taken for the reserve.	Do. do.	The District Forest-officer says that the land claimed lies in the portion proposed to be excluded from the block.	The land claimed lies outside the revised boundary. The claim is therefore struck off. (Signed) P. S. SINGARAVELU, COIMBATORE, Forest Sett.-officer. 20th November 1896.
2 / XIV	Tandarayagoundan, son of Muthiagoundan, of Ravattanallur.	20th Feb. 1896.	Prays that his patta lands 2 acres 26 cents in survey No. 480-F and 3·61 acres in survey No. 494-A of Ravattanallur may not be taken for the reserve.	Do. do.	Do. do.	The lands claimed lie outside the revised boundary of the block. The claim is therefore struck off. (Signed) P. S. SINGARAVELU, COIMBATORE, Forest Sett.-officer. 20th November 1896.

• Lies outside the revised boundary. † Classed as A_7 in the notification. ν Its length is about three furlongs. ‡ Classed as B_1 in the notification.
◊ Classed as B_2 in the notification. ‖ Forms a singie enclosure D_1 with the lands dealt with in claim No. XXXIII. ¶ By right of way A_3. •• Classed as D_2 in the notification.
†† From the northernmost point of survey No. 407 and runs generally north to Dharmalingamedai and the temple.

B. Register of claims to Lands, rights to Forest produce or easements in the proposed Ravattanallur reserved forest, &c.—*cont.*

No.	Names of claimants.	Date of presentation of claims.	Nature and extent of claims preferred.	Brief summary of the evidence adduced in support of claims.	Brief summary of the District Forest-officer's arguments and evidence produced by him.	Brief abstract of decision and final disposal of the claims by the Forest Settlement-officer.
1	2	3	4	5	6	7
18 / XV	Sappayan, son of Polan, residing at Ravattanallur.	22nd Feb. 1896.	Prays that the Pariah burning ground ½ acre in extent situated just east of the reserve boundary and south of the cart-track south of survey No. 484 of Ravattanallur may be excluded from the reserve.	....	The District Forest-officer says that the burning ground lies in the portion proposed to be excluded from the block.	The land claimed lies outside the revised boundary. The claim is therefore struck off. (Signed) P. S. SINGARAVELU, COIMBATORE, Forest Sett.-officer. 23rd November 1896.
19 / XVI	Krishnier, karnam of Rayasamudram.	Do.	Prays that the following right of way may be allowed within the reserve. Cart-track * branching from the cart-track from Ravattanallur to Ponparappi north of Tattathikulam. It runs south-south-west and intersecting the cart-track from Ravattanallur to Pakkam leaves at the north-west corner of survey No. 394 of Pakkam village. It is required for people, cattle and carts to a width of eight yards. It also runs from Ravattanallur to Ponparappi.	....	The District Forest-officer does not contest the claim and says that the cart-track may be allowed to a width of four yards.	The claim is admitted under section 11 of the Forest Act. The track will be four yards wide and open for people, cattle and carts. It enters the block about three chains north-west of the north-east corner of survey No. 475 of Pakkam and running northwards and then north-eastwards for about a mile leaves it twelve chains west-north-west of the boundary stone at the south-west corner of survey No. 305 of Pakkam. (Signed) P. S. SINGARAVELU, COIMBATORE, Forest Sett.-officer. 23rd November 1896.
22 / XVII	Ramanujanaickan, son of Kullappa Naickan, residing at Ponparappi.	22nd Feb. 1896.	Prays that his patta land 1 acre in survey No. 66 and sivoijama land 3·50 acres in survey No. 65 of Ponparappi (Vada) may not be taken for the reserve.	Village accounts and karnam's evidence.	*District Forest-officer's answer.*—Claim to patta land may be admitted and the claim to sivoijama land is contested on the ground that it is not covered by a patta. The both lie in the portion proposed to be excluded from the block.	The lands claimed lie outside the revised boundary of the block. The claim is therefore struck off. (Signed) P. S. SINGARAVELU, COIMBATORE, Forest Sett.-officer. 23rd November 1896.
23 / XVIII	Alli Khan Sahib, son of Badaikhan Sahib, residing at Ponparappi.	Do.	Prays that his undivided father's patta land acres 0·32 in survey No. 67-B of Ponparappi may not be taken for the reserve.	Do. do.	The District Forest-officer says that the land claimed lies in the portion proposed to be excluded from the block.	The land claimed lies outside the revised boundary of the block. The claim is therefore struck off. (Signed) P. S. SINGARAVELU, COIMBATORE, Forest Sett.-officer. 23rd November 1896.
24 / XIX	Visvanathier, son of Kallier, monigar of Mukkanur, residing at Lakkinayakampatti.	24th Feb. 1896.	Prays that his patta land acres 5·45 in survey No. 408-I, † of Makkanur may be excluded from the reserve with access.	Do. do.	*District Forest-officer's answer.*—The land claimed may be treated as an enclosure with access thereto.	The claim is admitted under section 10 of the Forest Act. The land will be treated as an enclosure with access by the cart-track running south of it and a branch from it running northwards to a width of two yards and open for people and cattle. (Signed) P. S. SINGARAVELU, COIMBATORE, Forest Sett.-officer. 23rd November 1896.
25 / XX	Parison, son of Govindan, of Pakkam village.	Do.	Prays that his patta land 2 acres 19 cents in survey No. 485-H of Pakkam village may be excluded from the reserve with access thereto.	Do. do	The District Forest-officer says that the land claimed lies in the portion proposed to be excluded from the block.	The land claimed lies outside the revised boundary of the block. The claim is therefore struck off. (Signed P. S. SINGARAVELU, CHENGAPALLI, Forest Sett.-officer. 27th November 1896.
26 / XXI	Govindan, son of Lakshumanan, residing at Ravattanallur.	Do.	Prays that his patta land acres 0·81 in survey No. 485-F of Pakkam village may not be taken for the reserve.	Do.	Do.	The land claimed lies outside the revised boundary of the block. The claim is therefore struck off. (Signed) P. S. SINGARAVELU, CHENGAPALLI, Forest Sett.-officer. 27th November 1896.
27 / XXII	Ramasami Naik, son of Muthu Naik, residing at Ravattanallur.	Do.	Prays that his patta land acres 6·79 in survey No. 485-D of Ravattanallur may be excluded from the reserve.	Do.	Do.	The land claimed lies outside the revised boundary of the block. The claim is therefore struck off. (Signed) P. S. SINGARAVELU, CHENGAPALLI, Forest Sett.-officer. 27th November 1896.
32 / XXIII	Venkataraman, son of Sinnankone, residing at Pakkam.	25th Feb. 1896.	Prays that his patta land acres 3·92 in survey No. 475 and acres 2·76 in survey No 476 of Pakkam village may not be taken for the reserve.	Do.	The District Forest-officer says that the land claimed lies in the portion proposed to be excluded.	The lands claimed lie outside the revised boundary of the block. The claim is therefore struck off. (Signed) P. S. SINGARAVELU, CHENGAPALLI, Forest Sett.-officer. 27th November 1896.
33 / XXIV	Subraya Udayan, monigar gumasta, of Pakkam village.	Do.	Prays that the following right of way may be allowed within the reserve. Cart-track ‡ from Pakkam to Bramakundam. It enters the block south of survey No. 365 of Pakkam and running nort-west joins the cart-track from Ravattanallur to Ponparappi east of survey No. 347 of Ravattanallur.	....	The District Forest-officer does not contest the claim.	The claim is admitted under section 11 of the Forest Act. The track will be four yards wide and open for people, cattle and carts. It enters the block about five chains south of the south-west corner of survey No. 365 of Pakkam and running north-westwards following the course of the cart-track from Ravattanallur to Ponparappi for a short distance joins path item No. 3 in claims No. 5 about seven and a half chains east of the point of entrance of the latter. Its length within the block is about six furlongs. (Signed) P. S. SINGARAVELU, CHENGAPALLI, Forest Sett.-officer. 27th November 1896.
20 / XXV	Ramasami Aiyar, monigar of Mukkanur.	22nd Feb. 1896.	Prays that the Thengalammankoil on the slope of the hill consisting of a platform of about two yards square standing on a flat rock about half an acre in extent may be excluded from the reserve.	....	Do.	The claim has been dealt with in the order on claim No. 30. (Signed) P. S. SINGARAVELU, UTTUKULI, Forest Sett.-officer. 2nd December 1896.
34 / XXVI	Sadayan, son of Kullan, residing at Kaduvanur.	26th Feb. 1896.	Prays that his patta land acres 3·15 in survey No. 477 and 35 cents in survey No. 485-E of Pakkam may not be taken up for the reserve.	Village accounts and karnam's evidence.	The District Forest-officer says that the lands claimed lie in the portion proposed to be excluded from the block.	The lands claimed lie outside the revised boundary of the block. The claim is therefore struck off. (Signed) P. S. SINGARAVELU, UTTUKULI, Forest Sett.-officer. 2nd December 1896.
35 / XXVII	Periyatambi Goundan, son of Govinda Goundan, of Pakkam.	27th Feb. 1896.	Prays that his patta land acres 0·73 in survey No. 485-I of Pakkam may not be taken for the reserve.	Do.	Do.	The land claimed lies outside the revised boundary of the block. The claim is therefore struck off. (Signed) P. S. SINGARAVELU, UTTUKULI, Forest Sett.-officer. 2nd December 1896.

* Classified as A_2 in the notification. † Classed as D_3 in the notification. ‡ Classed as A_3 in the notification.

B. Register of claims to Lands, rights to Forest produce or easements in the proposed Ravattanallur reserved forest, &c.—*cont.*

No.	Names of claimants.	Date of presentation of claims.	Nature and extent of claims preferred.	Brief summary of the evidence adduced in support of claims.	Brief summary of the District Forest-officer's arguments and evidence produced by him.	Brief abstract of decision and final disposal of the claim by the Forest Settlement-officer.
1	2	3	4	5	6	7
3 / XXVIII	Subbu Naicken and others, ryots of Pakkam, &c., villages.	21st Feb. 1896.	Pray that the waste lands in their village limits taken up for forest reservation may be excluded and left to them for cultivation.	....	The District Forest-officer says that sufficient lands have been excluded from the block from Pakkam and Ravattanallur villages for extension of cultivation.	The claim is not based on right and is therefore rejected. Petitioners are, however, informed that sufficient land has since been excluded for possible extension of cultivation. (Signed) P. S. SINGARAVELU, UTTUKULI, Forest Sett.-officer. 3rd December 1896.
4 / XXIX	Subbu Naicken and other ryots of Pakkam, &c., villages.	Do.	Pray that the following right may be allowed within the reserve. Right to water cattle in— (i) Pachikolam. (ii) Muttusavadikolam. (iii) * Narayakulam.	....	*The District Forest-officer's answer.*— (1) Pachikolam lies in the portion proposed to be excluded from the block. (2) Muthusavadikolam excepting a portion of its south-east corner lies outside the block. (3) Claim to water-cattle in Narayakulam is admitted with access thereto.	Item No. 1 lies outside the revised boundary of the block. Item No. 3 is admitted and recorded under section 11 of the Forest Act. Access to it is allowed by a path 2 yards wide and open for people and cattle from the nearest point in the reserve boundary. Item No. 2 lies outside the block. (Signed) P. S. SINGARAVELU, UTTUKULI, Forest Sett.-officer. 3rd December 1896.
17 / XXX	Muhamed Khan Sahib, son of Guldar Sahib, of Ravattanallur.	22nd Feb. 1896.	Prays that access to the temple in claim No. 16 *infra* from the Muttusavadikolam running south-eastwards to it may be allowed to a width of 2 yards for people only.	....	The District Forest-officer does not contest the claim.	The claim is admitted under section 11 of the Forest Act. (Signed) P. S. SINGARAVELU, UTTUKULI, Forest Sett.-officer. 3rd December 1896.
16 / XXXI	Muhamed Khan Sahib, son of Guldar Sahib, of Ravattanallur.	Do.	Prays that the † Durga (7 × 5) yards on the top of the hill south-east of the Ravattanallur fort may be excluded from the reserve to a space of about quarter of an acre, *i.e.*, thirteen and a half yards broad and fifteen yards long from the corners of the building.	....	Do. do.	The claim is admitted under section 10 of the Forest Act. The temple and space will be excluded and left as an enclosure with access by the path allowed in claim No. 17. (Signed) P. S. SINGARAVELU, UTTUKULI, Forest Sett.-officer. 3rd December 1896.
31 / XXXII	Visvanathier and others, ryots of Mukkanur, &c. villages.	24th Feb. 1896.	Pray that the following rights of way may be allowed within the reserve:— (1) Cart-track from Mukkanur to Kuduvanur. It enters the block at the khandam stone at the north-east corner of survey No. 409 and running eastwards leaves the block north of survey No. 259. (2) Cart-track running north-east of survey No. 423 for about three chains.	....	Do. do.	The claim to item No. 1 has already been duly considered and disposed of—*vide* order on claim No. 21. Item No. 2 may be diverted outside the block. (Signed) P. S. SINGARAVELU, UTTUKULI, Forest Sett.-officer. 3rd December 1896.
29 / XXXIII	Appavu, son of Tandaroya Udayan, of Pakkam.	Do.	Prays that his patta land 2 acres 91 cents in survey No. 478-A and acres 1·16 in survey No. 485-G and sivoijama land acres 1·78 in survey No. 483-A of Pakkam may be excluded from the reserve.	Village accounts and karnam's evidence.	The District Forest-officer admits the claim to patta land and contests the claim as regards sivoijama land. He further agrees to the exclusion of survey Nos. 478 and 483 and the portion of survey No. 482 situated between the two first mentioned numbers.	The claim to the patta lands is admitted under section 10 of the Forest Act. Survey No. 485-G ‡ will be acquired. Survey Nos. 478 and 483 and the part of survey No. 482 between them have been excluded and treated as an enclosure § with access by the cart-track ‖ running to the west of them. (Signed) P. S. SINGARAVELU, CUDDALORE, Forest Sett.-officer. 27th January 1897.
6 / XXXIV	Rungasami Naik, monigar of Ravattanallur.	Do.	Prays that the following rights may be allowed within the reserve:— (1) Cart-track from Ravattanallur to Mukkanur. It enters the block east of survey No. 479 and running southwards leaves the block at the north-west corner of survey No. 54 of Mukkanur. (2) Cart-track from Ravattanallur to Pakkam. It enters the reserve east of survey No. 475 and running eastwards leaves at the north-east corner of survey No. 469 of Pakkam.	....	The District Forest-officer says that items Nos. 1, 2 and 3 may be allowed to a width of four yards and item No. 4 to a width of four feet, item No. 5 lies in the portion proposed to be excluded from the block and that item No. 6 has been disposed of in claim No. 4.	Items Nos. 1, 2 and 3 will be admitted under section 11 of the Forest Act and allowed to a width of six yards as usual in the other districts of this division. Item No. 4 will be admitted to a width of two yards. Item No. 5 lies outside the boundary as revised at the instance of the District Forest-officer. Item No. 6 is merged in claim No. 4 which has already been disposed of. Item No. 1 enters the block about six chains north-east of the south-east corner of survey No. 479 of Ravattanallur and running south-south-eastwards for about one mile and three furlongs leaves it about six chains north-north east of the north-west corner of survey No. 54 of Mukkanur. Item No 2 enters the block about one and three-fourth chains south of the south-east corner of survey No. 484 of Ravattanallur and running eastwards and then south-eastwards for about six furlongs leaves it at the north-east corner of survey No. 469 of Pakkam. Item No. 3 enters the block about eight chains north-east of the north-east corner of survey No. 348 of Ravattanallur and running eastwards and north-eastwards for about six and three fourth furlongs leaves it at the south-east corner of survey No. 185 of Rayasamudiram village. Item No. 4 enters the block about one and half a chains south of the south-east corner of survey No. 474 of Ravattanallur and running south-eastwards for about four furlongs leaves on the west side of survey No. 7 of Mukkanur about one and a half chains from its north west corner. (Signed) P. S. SINGARAVELU, Forest Sett.-officer. CUDDALORE, 27th January 1897.
6 / XXXV	Rungasami Naik, monigar of Ravattanallur.	22nd Feb. 1896.	Prays that the rock on the east bank of the pond Pachikolam on which people invoke the God in the month of Tai may be excluded from the reserve to an extent of one acre.	....	The District Forest-officer says that the rock lies in the portion proposed to be excluded from the block.	The rock is thrown out of the block by a revision of its boundary made at the instance of the District Forest-officer. The claim is therefore struck off. (Signed) P. S. SINGARAVELU, CUDDALORE, Forest Sett.-officer. 27th January 1897.

(3) Cart-track from Ravattanallur to Ponparappi. It enters the reserve east of survey No. 34 of Ravattanallur and running north-east leaves in survey No. 65 of Ponparappi.

(4) Foot-path from Ravattanallur to Kaduvanur. It enters the block south of survey No. 474 of Ravattanallur and running east-south-east leaves on the west side of survey No. 7 of Mukkanur. The cart-tracks are required to a width of eight yards for people, cattle and carts and the foot-path to a width of two yards for people and cattle.

(5) Right of people and cattle to use the water of Pachikolam south of survey No. 130 of Ravattanallur.

(6) Right to water cattle in Narayakulam east of survey No. 483 of Ravattanallur.

Claim No. 4.—* Item No. (iii) is classed as C in the notification. The tank "Narayakulam" is situated about four chains north-east from the north-east corner of survey No. 483 of Ravattanallur.

Claim No. 16.—† Classed as B_3 in the notification.

Claim No. 29.—‡ Survey No. 485-G. was acquired under the Land Acquisition Act and survey No. 483-A granted to the claimant in exchange for it.
§ The enclosure is classed as D_1 in the notification.
‖ The access is classed as A_3 in the notification.

Claim No. 5.—Items Nos. 1 to 4 are classed as A_6, A_4, A_1 and A_5 respectively in the notification.
Item No. 2.—Owing to revision, the cart-track runs eastwards for nearly three furlongs and leaves the reserve about two chains west of the north-east corner of survey No. 488 of Ravattanallur.

ENCLOSURE No. 3.—Draft notification.

ENCLOSURE No. 4.—Sketch-map.

Endorsement by E. P. POPERT, Esq., Conservator of Forests, Central Circle, dated Ootacamund, 16th May 1897, No. 1717.

Forwarded.

RESOLUTION—Forest No. 405, dated 20th September 1897.

Submitted to Government, with remarks, for approval and publication of the draft final notification in the *Fort St. George Gazette*.

2. (i) *Claim No. VII, Item No.* 1.—It is noted from paragraph 5 of the Collector's covering letter that the right to repair the supply channel will be conceded as a privilege after the block is notified under section 16.

(ii) *Claim No. VIII.*—The access to the temple not being described with precision, the necessary insertion has been made in the register and notification so as to accord with the map.

(iii) *Claim No. XXIX, Item No.* 3.—The situation of the tank dealt with has not been given by the Forest Settlement-officer. The Board has, however, supplied the omission in accordance with the sketch-map.

(iii) *Claim No XXXII, Item No.* 2.—As proposed by the Forest Settlement-officer the cart-track claimed should be diverted outside the reserve boundary.

3. The block lies in the Kallakurchi taluk and covers an area of 1,086 acres as finally settled.

4. Effect may be given to the reservation of the block from the 31st January next.

(True Copies and Extract.)

Secretary.

To the Secretary to Government, Revenue Department,
with draft notifications (one original and two printed)
and a sketch-map to be returned.
" Collector of South Arcot.
" Conservator of Forests, Central Circle.
" Forest Settlement-officer, South Arcot, &c., districts.

Exd. M. Muniswami.

Government {1897} of Madras.

REVENUE.

Recd. } 1897. *Enclosures*

Regd. } *Spare copies*

G.O., Nos. 895, 895A, 27th October 1897.

OCTOBER.

Reserved Forest.

The draft notification, under section 16 of the Madras Forest Act, for constitution of the Ravattanallur block, in the Kallakurchi taluk, as a —, ordered to be published in the Fort St. George and the South Arcot District Gazettes.

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS.

REVENUE DEPARTMENT.

Read—the following Proceedings of the Board of Revenue (Land Revenue), Forest No. 405, dated 20th September 1897 :—

Read—the following letter from A. E. CASTLESTUART STUART, Esq., Collector of South Arcot, to the Secretary to the Commissioners of Land Revenue, Board of Revenue, Madras (through the Conservator of Forests, Central Circle, Madras), dated 7th May 1897, Ref. on Cur. No. 360 :—

I have the honour to report the conclusion of the settlement of Ravattanallur proposed reserved forest No. 207 in the Kallakurchi taluk of this district.

2. I enclose the Forest Settlement-officer's letter, Ref. on No. 545, dated 30th March 1897, with its enclosures :—

(1) B. Register of claims.
(2) Draft notification.
(3) Sketch-map.

3. Further, in accordance with orders, I append my District Forest-officer's statement of area and condition.

Statement of area and condition.

Taluk.	Number and name of block.		Total area of the taluk.	Extent of Government land.		Area of reserves already notified under section 16.	Area of reserve under settlement.	Area of reserves now submitted.	Character of land proposed for reservation.		Sketch-map.
	Number.	Name.		Occupied.	Unoccupied.				Forest.	Grass.	
Kallakurchi	207	Ravattanallur.	558,913 acres.	182,242 acres.	376,671 acres.	* 64,909 acres.	15,935 acres.	1,086 acres.	810 acres.	276 acres.	One.

* Corrected in the Revenue Board's office.

4. The area of the block as notified under section 4 was 1,385 acres, but on account of the exclusions ordered at settlement, nearly 299 acres have been thrown out. The area of the block as it stands now is, therefore, 1,086 acres which is the area entered in the sketch and the statement given above.

5. The claim to repair the supply channel of the irrigation tank of Mukkanur village (claim No. 21-VII) will be allowed, as a matter of concession, after the block is notified under section 16.

Enclosure No. 1.

From M.R.Ry. P. S. SINGARAVELU PILLAI Avargal, Forest Settlement-officer, Trichinopoly, &c., districts, to the Collector of South Arcot, dated Camp Trichinopoly, 30th March 1897, Ref. on No. 545.

I have the honour to report the completion of the settlement of the Ravattanallur block, Kallakurchi taluk.

2. The block lies in the villages of Ravattanallur, Rayasamudiram, Pakkam, and Mukkanur.

3. The notification under section 4 of the Forest Act appeared in the *Fort St. George Gazette*, dated 5th February 1895, and the proclamation under section 6 was issued by Mr. McMurray on the 13th idem.

4. The settlement of the block was begun by Mr. Raju Sastri, but completed by me on its transfer to my name in September 1896.

5. The time for appeal expired on 28th instant, but none was filed.

6. In all, thirty-five claims were preferred as follows :—

(i) Eighteen claims for patta land.
(ii) Five claims for sivoijama land.
(iii) Four for four temples, &c.
(iv) One for a burning ground.
(v) One for right to three water sources.
(vi) Six for ten paths.

One of the claims in item (vi) related also to right to repair a channel and one of item (iii) was for the exclusion of land for cultivation.

7. One claim in item (i) was rejected as the land was found to lie outside the block; thirteen in item (i), one in item (iii) and item (iv) were struck off, as the boundary was revised at the instance of the District Forest-officer so as to exclude the subject matter of the claims. The remaining claims in item (i), and three claims in item (iii) were admitted, and the lands, &c., have been ordered to be treated as enclosures. Of the right to the three water sources in item (v), one was admitted and recorded, and the other two were excluded from the block in the course of the revision of boundary already referred to. The paths in item (vi) were all admitted with the exception of one which lay for a short length inside the block, and which has now been ordered to be diverted. The claims in item (ii) and those for repairing a channel and exclusion of land for cultivation were rejected as not based on right. The right to repair the channel has to be conceded departmentally.

8. The following records are sent herewith :—

(1) Draft notification under section 16.
(2) Sketch-map.
(3) B. Register of claims.

9. The date in the draft final notification is left blank; as three months' time will have to be allowed for a proper promulgation of the final notification.

ENCLOSURE No. 2.

B. REGISTER of claims to Lands, rights to Forest produce or easements in the proposed Ravattanallur reserved forest block No. 207, Kallakurchi taluk, South Arcot district.

No.	Names of claimants.	Date of presentation of claims.	Nature and extent of claims preferred.	Brief summary of the evidence adduced in support of claims.	Brief summary of the District Forest-officer's arguments and evidence produced by him.	Brief abstract of decision and final disposal of the claims by the Forest Settlement-officer.
1	2	3	4	5	6	7
1 I	Vannara Muthu, pattadar No. 50, of Ravattanallur, Kallakurchi taluk.	6th Nov. 1895.	Prays that his patta land 6 acres 20 cents. in survey Nos. 399-A and B of Ravattanallur may not be taken up for the reserve.	Village accounts ..	....	The land claimed lies outside the block. The claim is therefore struck off the file. (Signed) C. RAJU SASTRI, SANKARAPURAM, Forest Sett.-officer. 29th February 1896.
7 II	Sundu Chetty, son of Bengaru Chetty, of Ravattanallur.	22nd Feb. 1896.	Prays that two cawnies of land in survey No. 480-A of Ravattanallur cultivated by him under sivoijama may not be taken up for the reserve.	....	The District Forest-officer contests the claim.	The claim is not based on right. It is therefore rejected. (Signed) P. S. SINGARAVELU, KALLAKURCHI, Forest Sett.-officer. 26th October 1896.
8 III	Appu Naick, son of Parasurama Naick, of Ravattanallur.	Do.	Prays that acres 1·68 in survey No. 480-A of Ravattanallur cultivated by him under sivoijama may not be taken for the reserve.	Karnam's evidence ..	Do. do.	The claim is not based on right and is therefore rejected. (Signed) P. S. SINGARAVELU, KALLAKURCHI, Forest Sett.-officer. 26th October 1896.
9 IV	Munisami Naick, son of Muthiyalu Naick, of Ravattanallur.	Do.	Prays that acres 3·07 in survey No. 480-A of Ravattanallur cultivated by him under sivoijama may not be taken up for the reserve.	Do. ..	Do. do.	The claim is not based on right and is therefore rejected. (Signed) P. S. SINGARAVELU, KALLAKURCHI, Forest Sett.-officer. 26th October 1896.
12 V	Venkatachala Naikan, son of Rama Naikan, of Mukkanur.	Do.	Prays that his patta land about ½ acre in survey No. 418-B and his undivided father's patta land ½ acre in survey No. 418-A and the whole of survey No. 408-G (84 cents) and his sivoijama land about ½ of an acre in survey No. 408-A of Mukkanur included in the reserve may be thrown out of it.	Karnam's evidence and village accounts.	*District Forest-officer's answer.*—Claim to patta lands may be admitted; they all lie in the portion proposed to be excluded from the block. Claim to sivoijama land is contested on the ground that there is no patta for the same.	Survey No. 418 now lies beyond the revised boundary. The claim to survey No. 408-G * is admitted, and the field will be treated as an enclosure with a right of way from the nearest point in the reserve boundary. The path will be six feet wide and open for the pattadar's people and cattle. The claim to sivoijama land is not based on right and is therefore rejected. (Signed) P. S. SINGARAVELU, KALLAKURCHI, Forest Sett.-officer. 26th October 1896.
14 VI	Perumalu Naikan, son of Rama Naikan, of Mukkanur.	Do.	Prays that his sivoijama land acres 2 and his undivided brother's sivoijama land 10 cents in survey No. 408-A of Mukkanur may not be taken up for the forest.	....	The District Forest-officer contests the claim.	The claim is not based on right and is therefore rejected. (Signed) P. S. SINGARAVELU, KALLAKURCHI, Forest Sett.-officer. 26th October 1896.
21 VII	Ramasam Aiyar, Acting Monigar of Mukkanur.	Do.	Prays that the following rights may be allowed within the reserve:— (1) Right to repair the supply channel of the irrigation tank of their village. (2) Cart-track from Mukkanur to Kaduvanur. It enters the reserve at the khandam stone at the north-east corner of survey No. 409 and running eastwards leaves north of survey No. 259.	....	The District Forest-officer does not contest the claim to the cart-track and says that the right to repair the supply channel may be admitted subject to the usual conditions.	The claim to item No. 2 † is admitted and recorded under section 11 of the Forest Act. With reference to the revised boundary the cart-track enters the block at a point about two chains north-east of the north-east corner of survey No. 409 of Mukkanur and its exit is at a point about five chains north-east of the Mukkanur eri calingula. It will be open for people, cattle and carts to a width of four yards. The claim as to repairing the channel is not based on any right, but the District Forest-officer agrees to allow it as a concession subject to the usual conditions. KALLAKURCHI, 26th October 1896. (Signed) P. S. SINGARAVELU, Forest Sett.-officer.
30 VIII	Visvanathier and others of Mukkanur.	24th Feb. 1896.	Pray that the following temples may be excluded fromthe reserve:— Thengalamman ‡koil on the slope of a hill consisting of a platform 2 yards square on a rock of half an acre in extent, 75 links × 700 links. It is 20 yards south of the sixth boundary stone counted eastwards beginning from the north-east corner of survey No. 424 of Mukkanur. Dharmalingamedai ‖ 3 yards square about 15 chains north-north-east of Mukkanur eri calingula. 2. Pray also that the lands in their village limits taken up for the forest may be thrown out for cultivation.	....	*District Forest-officer's answer.*—Claim to Thengalammankoil may be admitted. The koil to the extent claimed may be treated as an enclosure. The proposed reserve includes a small portion only of the Mukkanur village and hence the claim to land for extension of cultivation need receive no consideration.	The Thengalammankoil with the rock on which it stands and Dharmalingamedai will be excluded and left as enclosures with right of way †† from the nearest point in the southern boundary of the block to a width of two yards for people and cattle. The claim to item 2 is not based on right. The boundary has, however, been revised and land left outside the block to meet any possible requirement for extension of cultivation. (Signed) P. S. SINGARAVELU, KALLAKURCHI, Forest Sett.-officer. 26th October 1896.
28 IX	Kuppu Naickan residing at Pakkam.	Do.	Prays that his patta land acres 8 52 in survey No. 478-B of Pakkam and sivoijama land 2 acres in survey No. 481 of Pakkam may not be taken for the reserve. Access is claimed by the cart-track from Ravattanallur to Pakkam.	....	The District Forest-officer does not object to the admission of the claim to patta land and contests the claim as regards sivoijama lands.	Survey No. 478-B ‖ is excluded and left as an enclosure with access ¶ as claimed. The claim for land cultivated under sivoijama cannot be admitted as being not based on right. (Signed) P. S. SINGARAVELU, KALLAKURCHI, Forest Sett.-officer. 26th October 1896.
10 X	Subbu Naickan, son of Muthia Naickan, of Ravattanallur.	22nd Feb. 1896.	Prays that the Vinayagarkoil inam land acres 1 66 in survey No. 485 of Ravattanallur enjoyed by him may be excluded from the reserve.	Village accounts and karnam's evidence.	The District Forest-officer says that the land claimed lies in the portion proposed to be excluded from the block.	The land claimed lies outside the revised boundary of the block. The claim is therefore struck off. (Signed) P. S. SINGARAVELU, COIMBATORE, Forest Sett.-officer. 20th November 1896.
11 XI	Ponmudi Asari, son of Angappan Asari, of Ravattanallur.	Do.	Prays that the blacksmith service inam land, acres 1·71 in survey No. 484 of Ravattanallur enjoyed by him may not be taken for the reserve.	Do. do.	Do. do.	The land claimed lies outside the revised boundary of the block. The claim is therefore struck off. (Signed) P. S. SINGARAVELU, COIMBATORE, Forest Sett.-officer. 20th November 1896.
13 XII	Sinnatambi, son of Mangai, of Mukkanur.	Do.	Prays that his patta land 2 acres 27 cents in survey No. 408-C ** of Mukkanur may be excluded from the reserve.	Do. do.	*District Forest-officer's answer.*—Not contested, provided the patta is valid. The land claimed may be treated as an enclosure with access thereto.	The claim is admitted under section 10 of the Forest Act. The land will be left as an enclosure with access by the cart-track running south of it. (Signed) P. S. SINGARAVELU, COIMBATORE, Forest Sett.-officer. 20th November 1896.
15 XIII	Mottai Asari, son of Ponnasari, of Mukkanur.	Do.	Prays that the carpenter service inam land 2·65 acres in survey No. 483 of Ravattanallur may not be taken for the reserve.	Do. do.	The District Forest-officer says that the land claimed lies in the portion proposed to be excluded from the block.	The land claimed lies outside the revised boundary. The claim is therefore struck off. (Signed) P. S. SINGARAVELU, COIMBATORE, Forest Sett.-officer. 20th November 1896.
2 XIV	Tandarayagoundan, son of Muthiagoundan, of Ravattanallur.	20th Feb. 1896.	Prays that his patta lands 2 acres 26 cents in survey No. 480-F and 3·51 acres in survey No. 494-A of Ravattanallur may not be taken for the reserve.	Do. do.	Do. do.	The lands claimed lie outside the revised boundary of the block. The claim is therefore struck off. (Signed) P. S. SINGARAVELU, COIMBATORE, Forest Sett.-officer. 20th November 1896.

* Lies outside the revised boundary. † Classed as A_7 in the notification. Its length is about three furlongs. ‡ Classed as B_1 in the notification.
§ Classed as B_2 in the notification. ‖ Forms a single enclosure D_1 with the lands dealt with in claim No. XXXIII. ¶ By right of way A_3. ** Classed as D_2 in the notification.
†† From the northernmost point of survey No. 407 and runs generally north to Dharmalingamedai and the temple.

B. Register of claims to Lands, rights to Forest produce or easements in the proposed Ravattanallur reserved forest, &c.—*cont.*

No.	Names of claimants.	Date of presentation of claims.	Nature and extent of claims preferred.	Brief summary of the evidence adduced in support of claims.	Brief summary of the District Forest-officer's arguments and evidence produced by him.	Brief abstract of decision and final disposal of the claims by the Forest Settlement-officer.
1	2	3	4	5	6	7
18 / XV	Sappayan, son of Polan, residing at Ravattanallur.	22nd Feb. 1896.	Prays that the Pariah burning ground ½ acre in extent situated just east of the reserve boundary and south of the cart-track south of survey No. 484 of Ravattanallur may be excluded from the reserve.	....	The District Forest-officer says that the burning ground lies in the portion proposed to be excluded from the block.	The land claimed lies outside the revised boundary. The claim is therefore struck off. (Signed) P. S. SINGARAVELU, Coimbatore, Forest Sett.-officer. 23rd November 1896.
19 / XVI	Krishnier, karnam of Rayasamudram.	Do.	Prays that the following right of way may be allowed within the reserve. Cart-track * branching from the cart-track from Ravattanallur to Ponparappi north of Tattathikulam. It runs south-south-west and intersecting the cart-track from Ravattanallur to Pakkam leaves at the north-west corner of survey No. 394 of Pakkam village. It is required for people, cattle and carts to a width of eight yards. It also runs from Ravattanallur to Ponparappi.	....	The District Forest-officer does not contest the claim and says that the cart-track may be allowed to a width of four yards.	The claim is admitted under section 11 of the Forest Act. The track will be four yards wide and open for people, cattle and carts. It enters the block about three chains north-west of the north-east corner of survey No. 475 of Pakkam and running northwards and then north-eastwards for about a mile leaves it twelve chains west-north-west of the boundary stone at the south-west corner of survey No. 305 of Pakkam. (Signed) P. S. SINGARAVELU, Coimbatore, Forest Sett.-officer. 23rd November 1896.
22 / XVII	Ramanujanaickan, son of Kullappa Naickan, residing at Ponparappi.	22nd Feb. 1896.	Prays that his patta land 1 acre in survey No. 66 and sivoijama land 3·50 acres in survey No. 65 of Ponparappi (Vada) may not be taken for the reserve.	Village accounts and karnam's evidence.	*District Forest-officer's answer.*—Claim to patta land may be admitted and the claim to sivoijama land is contested on the ground that it is not covered by a patta. The both lie in the portion proposed to be excluded from the block.	The lands claimed lie outside the revised boundary of the block. The claim is therefore struck off. (Signed) P. S. SINGARAVELU, Coimbatore, Forest Sett.-officer. 23rd November 1896.
23 / XVIII	Alli Khan Sahib, son of Badaikhan Sahib, residing at Ponparappi.	Do.	Prays that his undivided father's patta land acres 0·32 in survey No. 67-B of Ponparappi may not be taken for the reserve.	Do. do.	The District Forest-officer says that the land claimed lies in the portion proposed to be excluded from the block.	The land claimed lies outside the revised boundary of the block. The claim is therefore struck off. (Signed) P. S. SINGARAVELU, Coimbatore, Forest Sett.-officer. 23rd November 1896.
24 / XIX	Visvanathier, son of Kallier, monigar of Mukkanur, residing at Lakkinayakampatti.	24th Feb. 1896.	Prays that his patta land acres 5·45 in survey No. 408-I, † of Makkanur may be excluded from the reserve with access.	Do. do.	*District Forest-officer's answer.*—The land claimed may be treated as an enclosure with access thereto.	The claim is admitted under section 10 of the Forest Act. The land will be treated as an enclosure with access by the cart-track running south of it and a branch from it running northwards to a width of two yards and open for people and cattle. (Signed) P. S. SINGARAVELU, Coimbatore, Forest Sett.-officer. 23rd November 1896.
25 / XX	Parison, son of Govindan, of Pakkam village.	Do.	Prays that his patta land 2 acres 19 cents in survey No. 485-H of Pakkam village may be excluded from the reserve with access thereto.	Do. do	The District Forest-officer says that the land claimed lies in the portion proposed to be excluded from the block.	The land claimed lies outside the revised boundary of the block. The claim is therefore struck off. (Signed P. S. SINGARAVELU, Chengapalli, Forest Sett.-officer. 27th November 1896.

26 / XXI	Govindan, son of Lakshumanan, residing at Ravattanallur.	Do.	Prays that his patta land acres 0·81 in survey No. 485 F of Pakkam village may not be taken for the reserve.	Do.	Do.	The land claimed lies outside the revised boundary of the block. The claim is therefore struck off. (Signed) P. S. SINGARAVELU, Chengapalli, Forest Sett.-officer. 27th November 1896.
27 / XXII	Ramasami Naik, son of Muthu Naik, residing at Ravattanallur.	Do.	Prays that his patta land acres 6·79 in survey No. 485-D of Ravattanallur may be excluded from the reserve.	Do.	Do.	The land claimed lies outside the revised boundary of the block. The claim is therefore struck off. (Signed) P. S. SINGARAVELU, Chengapalli, Forest Sett.-officer. 27th November 1896.
32 / XXIII	Venkataraman, son of Sinnankone, residing at Pakkam.	25th Feb. 1896.	Prays that his patta land acres 3·92 in survey No. 475 and acres 2·76 in survey No. 476 of Pakkam village may not be taken for the reserve.	Do.	The District Forest-officer says that the land claimed lies in the portion proposed to be excluded.	The lands claimed lie outside the revised boundary of the block. The claim is therefore struck off. (Signed) P. S. SINGARAVELU, Chengapalli, Forest Sett.-officer. 27th November 1896.
33 / XXIV	Subraya Udayan, monigar gumasta, of Pakkam village.	Do.	Prays that the following right of way may be allowed within the reserve. Cart-track ‡ from Pakkam to Bramakundam. It enters the block south of survey No. 365 of Pakkam and running nort-west joins the cart-track from Ravattanallur to Ponparappi east of survey No. 347 of Ravattanallur.	....	The District Forest-officer does not contest the claim.	The claim is admitted under section 11 of the Forest Act. The track will be four yards wide and open for people, cattle and carts. It enters the block about five chains south of the south-west corner of survey No. 365 of Pakkam and running north-westwards following the course of the cart-track from Ravattanallur to Ponparappi for a short distance joins path item No. 3 in claims No. 5 about seven and a half chains east of the point of entrance of the latter. Its length within the block is about six furlongs. (Signed) P. S. SINGARAVELU, Chengapalli, Forest Sett.-officer. 27th November 1896.
20 / XXV	Ramasami Aiyar, monigar of Mukkanur.	22nd Feb. 1896.	Prays that the Thengalammankoil on the slope of the hill consisting of a platform of about two yards square standing on a flat rock about half an acre in extent may be excluded from the reserve.	....	Do.	The claim has been dealt with in the order on claim No. 30. (Signed) P. S. SINGARAVELU, Uttukuli, Forest Sett.-officer. 2nd December 1896.
34 / XXVI	Sadayan, son of Kullan, residing at Kaduvanur.	26th Feb. 1896.	Prays that his patta land acres 3·15 in survey No. 477 and 35 cents in survey No. 485-E of Pakkam may not be taken up for the reserve.	Village accounts and karnam's evidence.	The District Forest-officer says that the lands claimed lie in the portion proposed to be excluded from the block.	The lands claimed lie outside the revised boundary of the block. The claim is therefore struck off. (Signed) P. S. SINGARAVELU, Uttukuli, Forest Sett.-officer. 2nd December 1896.
35 / XXVII	Periyatambi Goundan, son of Govinda Goundan, of Pakkam.	27th Feb. 1896.	Prays that his patta land acres 0·73 in survey No. 485-I of Pakkam may not be taken for the reserve.	Do.	Do.	The land claimed lies outside the revised boundary of the block. The claim is therefore struck off. (Signed) P. S. SINGARAVELU, Uttukuli, Forest Sett.-officer. 2nd December 1896.

* Classified as A_2 in the notification. † Classed as D_2 in the notification. ‡ Classed as A_2 in the notification.

B. Register of claims to Lands, rights to Forest produce or easements in the proposed Ravattanallur reserved forest, &c.—*cont.*

No.	Names of claimants.	Date of presentation of claims.	Nature and extent of claims preferred.	Brief summary of the evidence adduced in support of claims.	Brief summary of the District Forest-officer's arguments and evidence produced by him.	Brief abstract of decision and final disposal of the claims by the Forest Settlement-officer.
1	2	3	4	5	6	7
3 / XXVIII	Subbu Naicken and others, ryots of Pakkam, &c., villages.	21st Feb. 1896.	Pray that the waste lands in their village limits taken up for forest reservation may be excluded and left to them for cultivation.	....	The District Forest-officer says that sufficient lands have been excluded from the block from Pakkam and Ravattanallur villages for extension of cultivation.	The claim is not based on right and is therefore rejected. Petitioners are, however, informed that sufficient land has since been exoluded for possible extension of cultivation. (Signed) P. S. SINGARAVELU, Uttukuli, Forest Sett.-officer. 3rd December 1896.
4 / XXIX	Subbu Naicken and other ryots of Pakkam, &c., villages.	Do.	Pray that the following right may be allowed within the reserve. Right to water cattle in— (i) Pachikolam. (ii) Muttusavadikolam. (iii) * Narayakulam.	....	*The District Forest-officer's answer.*— (1) Pachikolam lies in the portion proposed to be excluded from the block. (2) Muthusavadikolam excepting a portion of its south-east corner lies outside the block. (3) Claim to water-cattle in Narayakulam is admitted with access thereto.	Item No. 1 lies outside the revised boundary of the block. Item No. 3 is admitted and recorded under section 11 of the Forest Act. Access to it is allowed by a path 2 yards wide and open for people and cattle from the nearest point in the reserve boundary. Item No. 2 lies outside the block. (Signed) P. S. SINGARAVELU, Uttukuli, Forest Sett.-officer. 3rd December 1896.
17 / XXX	Muhamed Khan Sahib, son of Guldar Sahib, of Ravattanallur.	22nd Feb. 1896.	Prays that access to the temple in claim No. 16 *infra* from the Muttusavadikolam running south-eastwards to it may be allowed to a width of 2 yards for people only.	....	The District Forest-officer does not contest the claim.	The claim is admitted under section 11 of the Forest Act. (Signed) P. S. SINGARAVELU, Uttukuli, Forest Sett.-officer. 3rd December 1896.
16 / XXXI	Muhamed Khan Sahib, son of Guldar Sahib, of Ravattanallur.	Do.	Prays that the † Durga (7 × 5) yards on the top of the hill south-east of the Ravattanallur fort may be excluded from the reserve to a space of about quarter of an acre, *i.e.*, thirteen and a half yards broad and fifteen yards long from the corners of the building.	....	Do. do.	The claim is admitted under section 10 of the Forest Act. The temple and space will be excluded and left as an enclosure with access by the path allowed in claim No. 17. (Signed) P. S. SINGARAVELU, Uttukuli, Forest Sett.-officer. 3rd December 1896.
31 / XXXII	Visvanathier and others, ryots of Mukkanur, &c. villages.	24th Feb. 1896.	Pray that the following rights of way may be allowed within the reserve:— (1) Cart-track from Mukkanur to Kaduvanur. It enters the block at the khandam stone at the north-east corner of survey No. 409 and running eastwards leaves the block north of survey No 259. (2) Cart-track running north-east of survey No. 423 for about three chains.	....	Do. do.	The claim to item No. 1 has already been duly considered and disposed of—*vide* order on claim No. 21. Item No. 2 may be diverted outside the block. (Signed) P. S. SINGARAVELU, Uttukuli, Forest Sett.-officer. 3rd December 1896.
29 / XXXIII	Appavu, son of Tandaroya Udayan, of Pakkam.	Do.	Prays that his patta land 2 acres 91 cents in survey No. 478-A and acres 1·16 in survey No. 485-G and sivoijama land acres 1·78 in survey No. 483-A of Pakkam may be excluded from the reserve.	Village accounts and karnam's evidence.	The District Forest-officer admits the claim to patta land and contests the claim as regards sivoijama land. He further agrees to the exclusion of survey Nos. 478 and 483 and the portion of survey No. 482 situated between the two first mentioned numbers.	The claim to the patta lands is admitted under section 10 of the Forest Act. Survey No. 485-G ‡ will be acquired. Survey Nos. 478 and 483 and the part of survey No. 482 between them have been excluded and treated as an enclosure § with access by the cart-track ‖ running to the west of them. (Signed) P. S. SINGARAVELU, Forest Sett.-officer. Cuddalore, 27th January 1897.
5 / XXXIV	Rungasami Naik, monigar of Ravattanallur.	Do.	Prays that the following rights may be allowed within the reserve:— (1) Cart-track from Ravattanallur to Mukkanur. It enters the block east of survey No. 479 and running southwards leaves the block at the north-west corner of survey No. 54 of Mukkanur. (2) Cart-track from Ravattanallur to Pakkam. It enters the reserve east of survey No. 475 and running eastwards leaves at the north-east corner of survey No. 469 of Pakkam. (3) Cart-track from Ravattanallur to Ponparappi. It enters the reserve east of survey No. 34 of Ravattanallur and running north-east leaves in survey No. 65 of Ponparappi. (4) Foot-path from Ravattanallur to Kaduvanur. It enters the block south of survey No. 474 of Ravattanallur and running east-south-east leaves on the west side of survey No. 7 of Mukkanur. The cart-tracks are required to a width of eight yards for people, cattle and carts and the foot-path to a width of two yards for people and cattle. (5) Right of people and cattle to use the water of Pachikolam south of survey No. 130 of Ravattanallur. (6) Right to water cattle in Narayakulam east of survey No. 483 of Ravattanallur.	....	The District Forest-officer says that items Nos. 1, 2 and 3 may be allowed to a width of four yards and item No. 4 to a width of four feet, item No. 5 lies in the portion proposed to be excluded from the block and that item No. 6 has been disposed of in claim No. 4.	Items Nos. 1, 2 and 3 will be admitted under section 11 of the Forest Act and allowed to a width of six yards as usual in the other districts of this division. Item No. 4 will be admitted to a width of two yards. Item No. 5 lies outside the boundary as revised at the instance of the District Forest-officer. Item No. 6 is merged in claim No. 4 which has already been disposed of. Item No. 1 enters the block about six chains north-east of the south-east corner of survey No. 479 of Ravattanallur and running south-south-eastwards for about one mile and three furlongs leaves it about six chains north-north-east of the north-west corner of survey No. 54 of Mukkanur. Item No. 2 enters the block about one and three-fourth chains south of the south-east corner of survey No. 484 of Ravattanallur and running eastwards and then south-eastwards for about six furlongs leaves it at the north-east corner of survey No. 469 of Pakkam. Item No. 3 enters the block about eight chains north-east of the north-east corner of survey No. 348 of Ravattanallur and running eastwards and north-eastwards for about six and three-fourth furlongs leaves it at the south-east corner of survey No. 185 of Rayasamudiram village. Item No. 4 enters the block about one and half a chains south of the south-east corner of survey No. 474 of Ravattanallur and running south-eastwards for about four furlongs leaves on the west side of survey No. 7 of Mukkanur about one and a half chains from its north west corner. (Signed) P. S. SINGARAVELU, Forest Sett.-officer. Cuddalore, 27th January 1897.
6 / XXXV	Rungasami Naik, monigar of Ravattanallur.	22nd Feb. 1896.	Prays that the rock on the east bank of the pond Pachikolam on which people invoke the God in the month of Tai may be excluded from the reserve to an extent of one acre.	....	The District Forest-officer says that the rock lies in the portion proposed to be excluded from the block.	The rock is thrown out of the block by a revision of its boundary made at the instance of the District Forest-officer. The claim is therefore struck off. (Signed) P. S. SINGARAVELU, Forest Sett.-officer. Cuddalore, 27th January 1897.

Claim No. 4.—* Item No. (iii) is classed as C in the notification. The tank "Narayakulam" is situated about four chains north-east from the north-east corner of survey No. 482 of Ravattanallur.

Claim No. 16.—† Classed as B_3 in the notification.

Claim No. 29.—‡ Survey No. 485-G. was acquired under the Land Acquisition Act and survey No. 483-A granted to the claimant in exchange for it.
§ The enclosure is classed as D_1 in the notification.
‖ The access is classed as A_3 in the notification.

Claim No. 5.—Items Nos. 1 to 4 are classed as A_6, A_4, A_1 and A_5 respectively in the notification.
Item No. 2. Owing to revision, the cart-track runs eastwards for nearly three furlongs and leaves the reserve about two chains west of the north-east corner of survey No. 488 of Ravattanallur.

Enclosure No. 3.—Draft notification.

Enclosure No. 4.—Sketch-map.

Endorsement by E. P. POPERT, Esq., Conservator of Forests, Central Circle, dated Ootacamund, 16th May 1897, No. 1717.

Forwarded.

Resolution—Forest No. 405, dated 20th September 1897.

Submitted to Government, with remarks, for approval and publication of the draft final notification in the *Fort St. George Gazette.*

2. (i) *Claim No VII, Item No.* 1.—It is noted from paragraph 5 of the Collector's covering letter that the right to repair the supply channel will be conceded as a privilege after the block is notified under section 16.

(ii) *Claim No. VIII.*—The access to the temple not being described with precision, the necessary insertion has been made in the register and notification so as to accord with the map.

(iii) *Claim No. XXIX, Item No.* 3.—The situation of the tank dealt with has not been given by the Forest Settlement-officer. The Board has, however, supplied the omission in accordance with the sketch-map.

(iii) *Claim No XXXII, Item No.* 2.—As proposed by the Forest Settlement-officer the cart-track claimed should be diverted outside the reserve boundary.

3. The block lies in the Kallakurchi taluk and covers an area of 1,086 acres as finally settled.

4. Effect may be given to the reservation of the block from the 31st January next.

(True Copies and Extract.)

(Signed) R. C. C. CARR,
Secretary.

To the Secretary to Government, Revenue Department,
with draft notifications (one original and two printed),
and a sketch-map to be returned.

" Collector of South Arcot.
" Conservator of Forests, Central Circle.
" Forest Settlement-officer, South Arcot, &c., Districts.

Order—No. 895, Revenue, dated 27th October 1897.

Forest No. 201.

The draft notification will be published in the Fort St. George and South Arcot District Gazettes, the 31st January 1898 being entered as the date from which reservation shall take effect.

2. The privilege of repairing the supply channel should be conceded by a departmental order.

(True Extract.)

(Signed) G. STOKES,
Secretary to Government.

To the Board of Revenue (Land Revenue), with map.
" the Superintendent, Government Press, with notification.

Endorsement No. 895A.

Copy to the Government of India, Revenue and Agricultural Department.

(Signed) G. STOKES,
Secretary to Government.

NOTIFICATION.

His Excellency the Governor in Council is pleased to declare, under the provisions of section 16 of the Madras Forest Act (V of 1882), that, from the 31st January 1898, the area, the boundaries of which are set forth in the schedule annexed to this notification, shall be constituted "reserved forest."

Schedule.

District.	Taluk.	Names of villages.	Number of block.	Name of block.	Boundaries.
South Arcot.	Kallakurchi.	Pakkam, Mukkanur, Ravattanallur and Rayasamudiram.	207	Ravattanallur.	*North.*—Starting from the south-east corner of survey No. 185 of Rayasamudiram village a straight line east-south-eastwards to a village boundary stone at the north-west corner of survey No. 306 of Pakkam village. *East.*—Thence a straight line south-south-eastwards to the north-west corner of survey No. 322; thence a straight line westwards to the north-west corner of survey No. 363; thence its west side to its south-west corner; thence a straight line to the khandam stone on the west side of survey No. 365; thence that side to its south-west corner; thence a straight line south-south-eastwards to a demarcation post and cairn about ten and a half chains due west of the south-west corner of survey No. 371; thence a straight line to the north-west corner of survey No. 477, all of Pakkam village; thence a straight line to the north-west corner; thence a straight line to the of survey No. 494 of Ravattanallur; thence its west side to its south-west corner; thence a straight line to a village boundary stone at south-west corner of survey No. 487 of the same village; thence a straight line to the next boundary stone; thence the north-west corner of survey No. 7 of Mukkanur; thence its west side to its south-west corner; thence a straight line to the north-west corner of survey No. 17; thence its west side to its south-west corner; thence a straight line to the south-west corner of survey No. 18; thence a straight line to the north-west corner of survey No. 33; thence its west side to a point in it where it turns east; thence a straight line due south to a demarcation post and cairn on the north bank of the supply channel to Mukkanur eri (tank) about five chains from the said point, all of Mukkanur. *South.*—Thence a straight line west-south-westwards to a khandam stone on the north side of survey No. 407 about five chains north-west of the northern end of the Mukkanur tank calingula; thence a straight line westwards to a demarcation post and cairn about two and a half chains east of the north-east corner of survey No. 409, all of Mukkanur village. *West.*—Thence a straight line to a village boundary stone about five and a half chains north-east of the north-east corner of survey No. 423; thence westwards the village boundary to a boundary stone at the north-east corner of survey No. 424, all of Mukkanur; thence a straight line north-eastwards to a cairn about six chains north-east of the south-east corner of survey No. 114 of Ravattanallur; thence a straight line eastwards to a cairn about nine chains south of the south-east corner of survey No. 133; thence its east side to line to the said corner, thence a straight line to the south-east corner of survey No. 137; thence an offset stone in the middle of it; thence a straight line to the south-east corner of the last; thence a straight the east sides of that number and Nos. 138, 140 and 141 to the north-east corner of survey No. 484; line to the south-east corner of survey No. 474; thence a straight line north-eastwards to a cairn about nine chains from the said corner; thence a straight line northwards to the south-east corner of survey No. 484; thence its east side and the east and north sides of survey No. 483 to a cairn in the last about half a chain from its north-west corner, all of Ravattanallur; thence a straight line to the trijunction of Rayasamudiram, Pakkam, and Ravattanallur villages; and thence a straight line to the starting point.

Remarks.—A. The undermentioned rights of way are allowed within the block:—

(1) Cart-track from Ravattanallur to Ponparappi six yards wide and open for people, cattle and carts. It enters the block about eight chains north-east of the north-east corner of survey No. 348 of Ravattanallur and running eastwards and north-eastwards for about six and three-fourth furlongs leaves it at the south-east corner of survey No. 185 of Rayasamudiram village.

(2) Cart-track from Ravattanallur to Ponparappi four yards wide and open for people, cattle and carts. It enters the block about three chains north-west of the north-east corner of survey No. 475 of Pakkam and running northwards and then north-eastwards for about a mile leaves it twelve chains west-north-west of the boundary stone at the south-west corner of survey No. 305 of Pakkam.

(3) Cart-track from Pakkam to Bramakundam four yards wide and open for people, cattle and carts. It enters the block about five chains south of the south-west corner of survey No. 365 of Pakkam and running north-westwards following the course of A_2 for a short distance joins right of way A_1 about seven and a half chains east of the point of entrance of the latter. Its length within the block is about six furlongs.

(4) Cart-track from Ravattanallur to Pakkam six yards wide and open for people, cattle and carts. It enters the block about one and three-fourth chains south of the south-east corner of survey No. 484 of Ravattanallur and running eastwards for nearly three furlongs leaves the reserve about two chains west of the north-east corner of survey No. 488 of Ravattanallur.

(5) Foot-path from Ravattanallur to Kaduvanur two yards wide and open for people and cattle. It enters the block about one and a half chains south of the south-east corner of survey No. 474 of Ravattanallur and running south-eastwards for about four furlongs leaves it on the west side of survey No. 7 of Mukkanur about one and a half chains from its north-west corner.

(6) Cart-track from Ravattanallur to Mukkanur six yards wide and open for people, cattle and carts. It enters the block about six chains north-east of the south-east corner of survey No. 479 of Ravattanallur and running south-south-eastwards for about one mile and three furlongs leaves it about six chains north-north-east of the north-west corner of survey No. 54 of Mukkanur.

(7) Cart-track from Mukkanur to Kaduvanur four yards wide and open for people, cattle and carts. It enters the block at a point about two chains north-east of the north-east corner of survey No. 409 of Mukkanur and running eastwards leaves it at a point about five chains north-east of the Mukkanur eri calingula. Its length is about three furlongs.

B. The following temples, &c, have been excluded from reservation and left as enclosures with access as noted under each :—

(1) Thengalammankoil on the slope of a hill and consisting of a platform two yards square with the rock on which it stands about half an acre in extent (75 × 700 links). It is situated twenty yards south of the sixth boundary stone, counted eastwards from the north-east corner of survey No. 424 of Mukkanur. To be approached by a path two yards wide and open for people and cattle from the northernmost point of survey No. 407 and running generally north to the temple.

(2) Dharmalingamedai three yards square about fifteen chains north-north-east of Mukkanur eri calingula. To be approached by a path two yards wide open for people and cattle from the northernmost point of survey No. 407 and running generally north to it.

(3) Durga (7 × 5) yards on the top of the hill south-east of the Ravattanallur fort with a marginal space thirteen and a half yards broad and fifteen yards long from the corners of the building. To be approached by a path two yards wide open for people only running to it south-eastwards from Muttusavadikolam.

C. Right to water cattle in Narayakulam situated about four chains north-east of the north-east corner of survey No. 483 of Ravattanallur is admitted with access by a path two yards wide and open for people and cattle from the nearest point in the reserve boundary.

D. The undermentioned patta, &c., lands have been excluded from reservation and left as enclosures within the block with access as noted against each :—

Village.	Survey number.	Admitted extent.	Access.
		Acs.	
(1) Pakkam ..	478-A.	2·91	By right of way A_3 *supra*.
	478-B.	8·52	
	483-A granted in exchange for survey No. 485-G.	1·78	
	Fields Nos. 478 and 483 with the portion of survey No. 482 lying between them have been excluded as a compact enclosure.		
(2) Mukkanur ..	408-C.	2·27	By right of way A_7 *supra*.
(3) Do. ..	408-I.	5·45	By right of way A_7 *supra* and a branch from it running northwards to a width of two yards and open for people and cattle.

Exd. Shaik Karim.

25 Copies.

First copy sent to Press.

Returned for signature.

Despatched in print.