Revenue (1897) Department.

PRESS SERIES.

G.O., Nos 871- 871A

Dated 12th October

[Despatch Abstract.]

Reservation of Kandipadu block, in Saddenapalli taluk, Nellore District under section 16 of the Madras Forest Act.

BACK PAPERS.

Misc.	No.	Dept'l. No.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

Revenue (1897) Department.

CURRENT No. 8266

Seen

Print 12/10/97

Forest No 191.

From The Secretary to the Board of Revenue Land Revenue Madras.

Forest No. 394.

Dated 8th September 97.

Received 23.9.97

Registered 24.9.97

Enclosures. 4.

Spare copies

SUBJECT.

Submg. draft Notifn for the constitution of the Kandikadu block in the Cuddapah taluk, Kistna dt. as a reserved forest.

Order No 871 dt 12th Oct: 1897.

The draft notification will be published in the Fort St George and Kistna Dt gazettes, the 31st December 1897 being entered as the date from which reservation shall take effect.

11.X.97

Copy to Bd: LR with map

Supdt: G. Press with notification 26.10.97

Encl No 871.

Copy to Sec. I R and agri: Dept.

11/X

Note. The reserve is only 410 acres in area but its reservation was sanctioned vide G.O. 6340 & 21.7.96 (flag F); moreover of 761 acres an extension to this reserve has been

initially notified ~~in~~ under the orders conveyed in G.O 541 d 8.7.97 (flag D): The total area of the block will thus be brought up to 1171 acres.

The notification under Sec 4 for the reserve in question was ordered to be published in G.O 787 d 7.11.94 (flag E).

11-X-97

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.
	541		8.7.97.
	340		21.7.96
	787		7.11.94

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

Bobbili, October 12, 1897.

~~DRAFT~~ NOTIFICATION.

His Excellency the Governor in Council is pleased to declare under the provisions of section 16 of the Madras Forest Act (V of 1882), that, from the 1897, the area, the boundaries of which are set forth in the schedule appended to this notification shall be constituted "reserved forest":—

SCHEDULE.

District.	Taluk.	Name of forest.	Boundaries.
Kistna.	Sattenapalle.	Kandipadu reserve.	*North.*—Starting from a village survey stone about twelve chains north of the trijunction of Kandipadu, Tsandrajupalem and Kandipadu hill No. 34, the surveyed boundary between Kandipadu and the hill up to the trijunction of Kandipadu, Perikipadu and Kandipadu No. 34 (hill); then along the surveyed boundary of Perikipadu village to the next village boundary stone; then a straight line east-south-east to a survey stone on the Perikipadu * surveyed village boundary three hundred and ten links south-west of the south-east corner of survey No. 2-A.-10 of Perikipadu village. *East.*—Thence along the said surveyed boundary to its trijunction with Vannayapalam agraharam and unsurvey No. 31. *South.*—Then along the surveyed boundary of Vannayapalam agraharam and Tsandrajupalem villages to the fifth village boundary stone counting south from and including the one at the trijunction of Kandipadu, Tsandrajupalem and Kandipadu hill No. 34. *West.*—Then the village boundary of Tsandrajupalem to the said trijunction; then the surveyed boundary between Kandipadu and the hill to the starting point.

* This boundary was found not to be that of Hasanbada after the initial notification was sent up but between Perikipadu surveyed and unsurveyed portions.

REMARKS.—The following has been ordered to be demarcated out and retained as an enclosure within the reserve free from reservation:—

The temple of Venkataswaraswami with a space of ten cents square in front of it including the temple, with access by a foot-path two yards wide for men only entering the reserve about one chain south of the trijunction of Kandipadu, Tsandrajupalem and Kandipadu hill No. 34 and running south-east and then north-east to the temple.

(Signed) A. W. LUSHINGTON,
District Forest-officer.

(Signed) E. A. SMITH,
Forest Settlement-officer.

Secretary.

9.10.97

NOTIFICATION.

His Excellency the Governor in Coil is pleased to declare under the provisions of section 16 of the Madras Forest Act V of 1882 that from the date of this Notification the area, the boundaries of which are set forth in the Schedule appended to this Notification shall be constituted "Reserved Forest."

District.	Taluk.	Name of forest.	Boundaries.	Remarks.
1	2			5
Kistna	Sathenapalle	Kandipadu Reserve.	North:— Starting from a village Survey Stone about 12 chains north of the trijunction of Kandipadu, Isandrapalem, and Kandipadu hill no: 34, the Surveyed boundary between Kandipadu and the hill up to the trijunction of Kandipadu, Perikipadu and Kandipadu hs: 34 (hill); along the Surveyed boundary of Perikipadu village to the village boundary stone; thence a straight line Southeast to a Survey Stone on the ~~Hassanbada agra~~ Perikipad* surveyed village boundary which is Southwest of the South east Corner of S. no: 2/A:10 Perikipadu village East:— Thence along the Said ~~Agraharam~~ Surveyed boundary ~~to~~ of Perikipadu & its trijunction with Vamagapalem agraharam and ~~Perikipadu village~~ Unsurveyed 31. South:— Then along the Surveyed boundary of Vamagapalem agraharam and Isandragupalem villages to the 5th village boundary Stone Counting Southwards and including ~~the~~ one at the trijunction of Kandipadu, Isandragupalem and Kandipadu hill no: 34. West:— Then the village boundary of Isandragupalem no: 34 and the Surveyed boundary being to the said trijunction; the Surveyed boundary of Kandipadu and the ~~to~~ the starting point.	The following has been ordered to be demarcated out and retained as an enclosure within the reserve free from reservation. The temple of Kukkaleswara Swami with a space of 10 cubits square in front of it including the temple, ~~has been ordered to be demarcated out and retained as an enclosure within the reserve free from reservation~~ with access by a foot path 2 yards wide for man or by cattle leading the reserve about 1 chain South of the trijunction of Kandipadu, Isandragupalem and ~~Kandipadu~~ hill no: 34 and running South east and then North east to the temple.

* This boundary was found not to be that of Hassanbada after the publication was sent up but before the Surveyed remarks were written. Dated 12.11.96

5/11/96

C. V. Smith
Forest Settlement Officer

Ashington
District Forest Officer
12.11.96

REVENUE DEPARTMENT.

1897.

Board of Revenue

(Land Revenue),

MADRAS.

Printed September th.

Proceedings, Forest No. 394, 8th September 1897.

SEPTEMBER.

Submitting to Government, for approval and publication in the *Fort St. George Gazette*, draft notification under section 16 of the Madras Forest Act for the constitution of the Kandipadu block in the Sattenapalle taluk, Kistna district, as a reserved forest.

PROCEEDINGS OF THE BOARD OF REVENUE
(LAND REVENUE).

READ—again the following Proceedings of the Board of Revenue (Land Revenue), Forest Mis. No. 269, dated 20th March 1897 :—

Read—the following letter from E. A. SMITH, Esq., Special Deputy Collector on Forest Settlement Duty, Nellore, &c., Districts, to the Collector of Kistna (through the District Forest-officer, Kistna), dated Camp, Vinukonda, 29th October 1896 :—

I have the honour to report the conclusion of the settlement of the proposed Kandipadu reserve in the Sattenapalle taluk of your district.

2. The initial notification proposing to constitute this area into a reserved forest appeared in the *Fort St. George Gazette*, dated 13th November 1894, and the proclamation under section 6 of the Madras Forest Act was published in the District Gazette of 2nd March 1895, and the time allowed for the presentation of claims expired on the 2nd June 1895.

3. Mr. J. T. Lever was originally appointed to settle this block, then Mr. R. H. L. Lushington; and by notification published at page 1241 of the *Fort St. George Gazette*, dated 5th November 1895, I was appointed the Settlement-officer.

4. The block was inspected, perambulated and enquired into by me in February last and four claims were preferred for the following rights :—

(i) One claim to a Government patta field.
(ii) One claim to a temple.
(iii) One claim to a right of way to the temple.
(iv) One claim to other right of way.

The claims to a Government patta field, to the temple and a right of way to the same have been admitted and the patta field involved has been acquired on payment of money compensation. The temple has been ordered to be demarcated out and retained as an enclosure within the reserve free from reservation. The claim to the other right of way was rejected as unnecessary.

5. The reserve is thus free of all rights except those stated above.

6. The following are submitted herewith :—

(i) A signed copy of B. register of claims.
(ii) The draft notification under section 16.
(iii) The sketch-map of the reserve.

7. The date from which reservation is to take effect has to be inserted in the draft notification.

ENCLOSURE No. 1.—B. Register.

ENCLOSURE No. 2.—Draft notification.

ENCLOSURE No. 3.—Sketch-map.

Endorsement by J. N. ATKINSON, Esq., Collector of Kistna, dated 27th November 1896, Dis. No. 1411.

Forwarded to the Secretary to the Commissioners of Land Revenue, through the Conservator of Forests, Northern Circle.

2. The statement of area and condition is enclosed.

3. A draft notification for an extension of this reserve (761 acres, making a total area of 1,171 acres) has already been submitted in September last, the boundary originally believed to be that of Hassanbada agraharam having been found to be merely that of the survey circuit in Perikipadu.

4. The land in claim (1) was acquired to keep the boundary along the already surveyed line, the cost being only Rs. 10.

5. This reserve, its extension and Bellamkonda reserve (which is only a few fields distant) form a working circle.

ENCLOSURE—Area statement.

Endorsement by A. W. PEET, Esq., Conservator of Forests, Northern Circle, dated Camp, Bezwada, 10th December 1896, R. C. No. 1082.

Forwarded.

Resolution—Forest Mis. No. 269, dated 20th March 1897.

It is observed from the sketch-map submitted that the Kandipadu village-site is just outside the reserve. The Collector is requested to report whether the close neighbourhood of a reserved block will not be a source of inconvenience and hardship to the villagers concerned, and whether it is not feasible to shift the boundary line further east so as to leave an ample margin between the village-site and the forest boundary. He should also submit a statement in the form printed and communicated with Board's Proceedings, Forest No. 459, dated 2nd October 1896, to show how the Kandipadu village is affected by the reservation of the present block.

2. It is also noted that in the final draft notification, the Perikipadu village boundary is now substituted for the Hassanbada agraharam boundary given in the initial notification. As the boundary between the agraharam and the Government village is still in dispute (*vide* Collector's letter disposed of in Board's Proceedings, Forest Mis. No. 1475, dated 13th November 1896), the substitution made in the present notification should be explained.

3. A very early reply is requested.

(True Copies and Extract.)

(Signed) R. C. C. CARR,
Secretary.

To the Collector of Kistna, with four enclosures (map, draft notification, B. register, and area statement), returned.

Copy to the Conservator of Forests, Northern Circle.

READ—also the following letter from J. N. ATKINSON, Esq., Collector of Kistna, to the Secretary to the Commissioners of Land Revenue, Madras (through the Conservator of Forests, Northern Circle), dated Masulipatam, 18th April 1897, Dis. No. 2900-For. :—

In reply to Board's Proceedings, Forest Mis. No. 269, dated 20th March 1897, I have the honour to state that the forest reserve is sufficiently far from the village-site to prevent its being a source of inconvenience to the villagers concerned. It is nearly a quarter mile away from the village-site.

2. Shifting the boundary more to the east would not be satisfactory as the line now taken is the best that could be adopted, and it is not really necessary to alter it as there is ample margin between the village-site and forest boundary.

3. The statement called for in paragraph 1 is submitted; it was not understood that that statement was required with notifications under section 16, but only with those under section 4. In connection with this statement it may be remarked that although the amount of assessed waste inside the surveyed area is about 90 per cent. of the cultivated area of Kandipadu and 25 per cent. of that in Perikipadu inside that surveyed area, both villages have extended their cultivation outside that surveyed area on the north-west side; and room will be given for further expansion of cultivation which is not shown in this statement.

4. With regard to paragraph 2 of the above Proceedings, a reply to Board's Proceedings, Forest Mis. No. 1475, dated 13th November 1896, is submitted along with this, from which I think it will be clearly understood that the substitution of the boundary of Perikipadu village for Hassanbada agraharam is justified.

ENCLOSURE No. 1.

B. Register of claims to Lands, rights to Forest produce or easements in the proposed Kandipadu reserved forest, Sattenapalle taluk, Kistna district.

No.	Names of claimants.	Date of presentation of claims.	Nature and extent of claims preferred.	Brief summary of the evidence adduced in support of claims.	Brief summary of the District Forest-officer's arguments and evidence produced by him.	Brief abstract of decision and final disposal of the claims by the Forest Settlement-officer.
1	2	3	4	5	6	7
1	B. Anantha Ramayya of Kandipadu.	24th Feb. 1896.	Claims joint patta right over survey No. 188-A of Kandipadu, measuring 0·43 acre and assessed at As. 7.	Verified by the village accounts.	Admits claim and wishes the field to be acquired if possible by exchange.	Claim admitted. The field will be acquired under the Land Acquisition Act I of 1894. (Signed) E. A. SMITH, Forest Sett.-officer. 14th August 1896.
2	Poovada Byragee, karnam of Kandipadu as head of the village.	Do.	Claims on behalf of the Hindu community of Kandipadu the temple of Venkateswaraswami with a space of 10 cents in front of it.	....	Admits claim	*Note.*—Acquired on payment of money compensation of Rs. 10-13-0. (Signed) E. A. SMITH, Forest Sett.-officer. 24th October 1896.
3	Poovada Byragee, karnam of Kandipadu as head of the village.	Do.	Claims on behalf of the Hindu community of Kandipadu right of way to the above temple.	This enters the reserve about one chain south of the trijunction stone of Tendrajuralem, Kandipadu and No. 34 hill runs south-east and then north-east to the temple.	Do.	Claim admitted. The temple with a space of 10 cents square in front of it, including the temple, will be demarcated out and retained as an enclosure within the reserve free from reservation. (Signed) E. A. SMITH, Forest Sett.-officer. 14th August 1896.
4	Poovada Byragee, karnam of Kandipadu as head of the village.	Do.	Claims on behalf of the public right of way over the cart-track from Kandipadu to Doddeleru and Krosur, &c., villages and to the wet fields of Kandipada Nos. 177, 176, 175, 178, 179, 180, 181, 182 and 184 and passing on the boundary line.	....	Does not admit claim as there are other cart-tracks outside but thinks they may be accorded the privilege of going along boundary line.	Claim admitted as a foot-path for men only to a width of two yards. (Signed) E. A. SMITH, Forest Sett.-officer. 14th August 1896. Claim rejected as unnecessary as there are other and more direct cart-tracks outside the reserve. (Signed) E. A. SMITH, Forest Sett.-officer. 14th August 1896.

ENCLOSURE No. 2.—Draft notification.

ENCLOSURE No. 3.

STATEMENT of Area and Condition.

Total area of the taluk.	Extent of occupied and unoccupied Government land in the taluk.	Area of reserves already notified under section 16.	Area of reserves under settlement.	Area of reserve submitted for notification under section 16.	Character of land proposed for reservation.	Sketch-map.
1	2	3	4	5	6	7
SQ. MLS.	ACS.	ACS.	ACS.	ACS.		
Sattenapalle taluk .. 714	Occupied. 286,876 Unoccupied. 144,685	* 60,962	8,131	Kandipadu reserve .. 410	Scrub jungle ..	Forms enclosure to Collector's letter.

* Includes 1,015 acres subsequently notified.

ENCLOSURE No. 4.

AYAKAT abstract, Kandipadu reserve, Sattenapalle taluk.

Name of village.	Area.	Area of poramboke (village-sites, roads, tanks, &c).	Area under cultivation	Area included in sanctioned reserved forests.	Area now proposed for reservation.		Balance of waste.		
					Assessed.	Unassessed.	Assessed.	Unassessed.	Total.
	ACS.	ACS.	ACS.			ACS.	ACS.	ACS.	ACS.
Kandipadu	1,104	159	* 1,061	..	..	..	413	65	478
Perikipadu	1,049	92	† 697	..	..	(a) 132	129	181	310
Unsurvey	..	..	..	..	..	(a) 278 (b) 761	..	..	..

* Includes 594 acres in unsurvey (north-west side).
(a) Main reserve.
† Includes 182 acres in unsurvey (north-west side).
(b) Extension No. 1.

ENCLOSURE No. 5.—Sketch-map.

Endorsement by A. W. PEET, Esq., Conservator of Forests, Northern Circle, dated Waltair, 1st May 1897, R. C. No. 2139.

Forwarded.

RESOLUTION—Forest No. 394, dated 8th September 1897.

With reference to the Proceedings read above, the Collector of Kistna now reports that the Kandipadu village-site is far away from the reserve and that there is no necessity to alter the boundary line, there being an ample margin between the village-site and the reserve boundary. He also states that the substitution of the Perikipadu surveyed boundary in the draft final notification for that of Hassanbada agraharam is justified from the circumstances reported in his letter disposed of in Board's Proceedings, Forest No. 212, dated 28th May 1897. As the surveyed boundary now substituted

G.O. 541 8.7.97

refers to a distinct line which can be identified at any time, the Board has no objection to accept it, and accordingly submits the draft notification for approval and publication in the *Fort St. George Gazette* with the recommendation that the 31st December next may be fixed as the date from which the reservation shall take effect.

2. As regards the Collector's statement in paragraph 1 of his letter that the village-site is a quarter mile away from the reserve, it is observed that the position of the above village-site as indicated on the sketch-map is wrong and requires rectification. As the map is taken as a guide in these matters, the Collector is requested to correct the map when returned to him and to insist on greater accuracy in the preparation of maps in future.

3. With reference to paragraph 3 of the Collector's letter, it may be pointed out that villagewar statements are ordinarily required only in respect of section 4 notifications. The statement in question was necessary to see how the Kandipadu village was affected by the reservation of the proposed block.

4. The block lies in the Sattenapalle taluk and covers an area of 410 acres. The proposed reservation was approved in G.O., No. 340, dated 21st July 1896, the block being numbered in the papers then disposed of as No. 45. It may also be noted that a draft notification for extending this reserve was approved in G.O., No. 541, dated 8th July 1897.

(True Copies and Extract.)

Secretary.

To the Secretary to Government, Revenue Department,
with draft notifications (one original and two printed)
and a sketch-map, to be returned.
" Collector of Kistna.
" Conservator of Forests, Northern Circle.
" Forest Settlement-officer, Kistna, &c., Districts.

Exd. A. F. Eccleston.

Government {1897} of Madras.

REVENUE.

Recd. } 1897. *Enclosures*

Regd. } *Spare copies*

G.O., Nos. 871, 871A, 12th October 1897.

OCTOBER.

Reserved Forest.

The draft notification, under section 16 of the Madras Forest Act, for the constitution of the Kandipadu block, in the Sattenapalle taluk, as a —, ordered to be published in the Fort St. George and the Kistna District Gazettes.

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS.

REVENUE DEPARTMENT.

Read—the following Proceedings of the Board of Revenue (Land Revenue), Forest No. 394, dated 8th September 1897 :—

Read—again the following Proceedings of the Board of Revenue (Land Revenue), Forest Mis. No. 269, dated 20th March 1897 :—

Read—the following letter from E. A. SMITH, Esq., Special Deputy Collector on Forest Settlement Duty, Nellore, &c., Districts, to the Collector of Kistna (through the District Forest-officer, Kistna), dated Camp, Vinukonda, 29th October 1896 :—

I have the honour to report the conclusion of the settlement of the proposed Kandipadu reserve in the Sattenapalle taluk of your district.

2. The initial notification proposing to constitute this area into a reserved forest appeared in the *Fort St. George Gazette*, dated 13th November 1894, and the proclamation under section 6 of the Madras Forest Act was published in the District Gazette of 2nd March 1895, and the time allowed for the presentation of claims expired on the 2nd June 1895.

3. Mr. J. T. [illegible]ever was originally appointed to settle this block, then Mr. R. H. L. Lushington, and by notification published at page 1241 of the *Fort St. George* [illegible], dated 5th November 1895, I was appointed the Settlement-officer.

4. The block was inspected, perambulated and enquired into by me in February last and four claims were preferred for the following rights :—

(i) One claim to a Government patta field.
(ii) One claim to a temple.
(iii) One claim to a right of way to the temple.
(iv) One claim to other right of way.

The claims to a Government patta field, to the temple and a right of way to the same have been admitted and the patta field involved has been acquired on payment of money compensation. The temple has been ordered to be demarcated out and retained as an enclosure within the reserve free from reservation. The claim to the other right of way was rejected as unnecessary.

5. The reserve is thus free of all rights except those stated above.

6. The following are submitted herewith :—

(i) A signed copy of B. register of claims.
(ii) The draft notification under section 16.
(iii) The sketch-map of the reserve.

7. The date from which reservation is to take effect has to be inserted in the draft notification.

—

Enclosure No. 1.—B. Register.

—

Enclosure No. 2.—Draft notification.

—

Enclosure No. 3.—Sketch-map.

Endorsement by J. N. ATKINSON, Esq., Collector of Kistna, dated 27th November 1896, Dis. No. 1411.

Forwarded to the Secretary to the Commissioners of Land Revenue, through the Conservator of Forests, Northern Circle.

2. The statement of area and condition is enclosed.

3. A draft notification for an extension of this reserve (761 acres, making a total area of 1,171 acres) has already been submitted in September last, the boundary originally believed to be that of Hassanbada agraharam having been found to be merely that of the survey circuit in Perikipadu.

4. The land in claim (1) was acquired to keep the boundary along the already surveyed line, the cost being only Rs. 10.

5. This reserve, its extension and Bellamkonda reserve (which is only a few fields distant) form a working circle.

—

Enclosure—Area statement.

—

Endorsement by A. W. PEET, Esq., Conservator of Forests, Northern Circle, dated Camp, Bezwada, 10th December 1896, R. C. No. 1082.

Forwarded.

—

Resolution—Forest Mis. No. 269, dated 20th March 1897.

It is observed from the sketch-map submitted that the Kandipadu village-site is just outside the reserve. The Collector is requested to report whether the close neighbourhood of a reserved block will not be a source of inconvenience and hardship to the villagers concerned, and whether it is not feasible to shift the boundary line further east so as to leave an ample margin between the village-site and the forest boundary. He should also submit a statement in the form printed and communicated with Board's Proceedings, Forest No. 459, dated 2nd October 1896, to show how the Kandipadu village is affected by the reservation of the present block.

2. It is also noted that in the final draft notification, the Perikipadu village boundary is now substituted for the Hassanbada agraharam boundary given in the initial notification. As the boundary between the agraharam and the Government village is still in dispute (*vide* Collector's letter disposed of in Board's Proceedings, Forest Mis. No. 1475, dated 13th November 1896), the substitution made in the present notification should be explained.

3. A very early reply is requested.

(True Copies and Extract.)

(Signed) R. C. C. CARR,
Secretary.

To the Collector of Kistna, with four enclosures (map, draft notification, B. register, and area statement), returned.
Copy to the Conservator of Forests, Northern Circle.

Read—also the following letter from J. N. ATKINSON, Esq., Collector of Kistna, to the Secretary to the Commissioners of Land Revenue, Madras (through the Conservator of Forests, Northern Circle), dated Masulipatam, 18th April 1897, Dis. No. 2900-For. :—

In reply to Board's Proceedings, Forest Mis. No. 269, dated 20th March 1897, I have the honour to state that the forest reserve is sufficiently far from the village-site to prevent its being a source of inconvenience to the villagers concerned. It is nearly a quarter mile away from the village-site.

2. Shifting the boundary more to the east would not be satisfactory as the line now taken is the best that could be adopted, and it is not really necessary to alter it as there is ample margin between the village-site and forest boundary.

3. The statement called for in paragraph 1 is submitted; it was not understood that that statement was required with notifications under section 16, but only with those under section 4. In connection with this statement it may be remarked that although the amount of assessed waste inside the surveyed area is about 90 per cent. of the cultivated area of Kandipadu and 25 per cent. of that in Perikipadu inside that surveyed area, both villages have extended their cultivation outside that surveyed area on the north-west side; and room will be given for further expansion of cultivation which is not shown in this statement.

4. With regard to paragraph 2 of the above Proceedings, a reply to Board's Proceedings, Forest Mis. No. 1475, dated 13th November 1896, is submitted along with this, from which I think it will be clearly understood that the substitution of the boundary of Perikipadu village for Hassanbada agraharam is justified.

Enclosure No. 1.

B. Register of claims to Lands, rights to Forest produce or easements in the proposed Kandipadu reserved forest, Sattenapalle taluk, Kistna district.

No.	Names of claimants.	Date of presentation of claims.	Nature and extent of claims preferred.	Brief summary of the evidence adduced in support of claims.	Brief summary of the District Forest-officer's arguments and evidence produced by him.	Brief abstract of decision and final disposal of the claims by the Forest Settlement-officer.
1	2	3	4	5	6	7
1	B. Anantha Ramayya of Kandipadu.	24th Feb. 1896.	Claims joint patta right over survey No. 188-A of Kandipadu, measuring 0·43 acre and assessed at As. 7.	Verified by the village accounts.	Admits claim and wishes the field to be acquired if possible by exchange.	Claim admitted. The field will be acquired under the Land Acquisition Act I of 1894. (Signed) E. A. SMITH, Forest Sett.-officer. 14th August 1896.
2	Poovada Byragee, karnam of Kandipadu as head of the village.	Do.	Claims on behalf of the Hindu community of Kandipadu the temple of Venkateswaraswami with a space of 10 cents in front of it.	....	Admits claim	*Note.*—Acquired on payment of money compensation of Rs. 10-13-0. (Signed) E. A. SMITH, Forest Sett.-officer. 24th October 1896. Claim admitted. The temple with a space of 10 cents square in front of it, including the temple, will be demarcated out and retained as an enclosure within the reserve free from reservation. (Signed) E. A. SMITH, Forest Sett.-officer. 14th August 1896.
3	Poovada Byragee, karnam of Kandipadu as head of the village.	Do.	Claims on behalf of the Hindu community of Kandipadu right of way to the above temple.	....	Do.	Claim admitted as a foot-path for men only to a width of two yards. (Signed) E. A. SMITH, Forest Sett.-officer. 14th August 1896.
4	Poovada Byragee, karnam of Kandipadu as head of the village.	Do.	Claims on behalf of the public right of way over the cart-track from Kandipadu to Doddeleru and Krosur, &c., villages and to the wet fields of Kandipada Nos. 177, 178, 175, 178, 179, 180, 181, 182 and 184 and passing on the boundary line.	This enters the reserve about one chain south of the trijunction stone of Tsandrajupalem, Kandipadu and No 34 hill runs south-east and then north-east to the temple.	Does not admit claim as there are other cart-tracks outside but thinks they may be accorded the privilege of going along boundary line.	Claim rejected as unnecessary as there are other and more direct cart-tracks outside the reserve. (Signed) E. A. SMITH, Forest Sett.-officer. 14th August 1896.

Enclosure No. 2.—Draft notification.

Enclosure No. 3.

Statement of Area and Condition.

Total area of the taluk.	Extent of occupied and unoccupied Government land in the taluk.	Area of reserves already notified under section 16.	Area of reserves under settlement.	Area of reserve submitted for notification under section 16.	Character of land proposed for reservation.	Sketch-map.
1	2	3	4	5	6	7
Sq. mls. Sattenapalle taluk .. 714	Acs. Occupied. 286,876 Unoccupied. 144,685	Acs. * 60,962	Acs. 8,131	Kandipadu reserve .. Acs 410	Scrub jungle ..	Forms enclosure to Collector's letter.

* Includes 1,015 acres subsequently notified.

Enclosure No. 4.

Ayakat abstract, Kandipadu reserve, Sattenapalle taluk.

Name of village.	Area.	Area of poramboke (village-sites, roads, tanks, &c).	Area under cultivation.	Area included in sanctioned reserved forests.	Area now proposed for reservation.		Balance of waste.		
					Assessed.	Unassessed.	Assessed.	Unassessed.	Total.
Kandipadu	Acs. 1,104	Acs. 159	Acs. * 1,061	..	..	Acs. ..	Acs. 413	Acs. 65	Acs. 478
Perikipadu	1,049	92	† 697	..	..	(a) 132	129	181	310
Unsurvey	..	..	..	..	..	(a) 278 (b) 761	..	..	..

* Includes 594 acres in unsurvey (north-west side). † Includes 182 acres in unsurvey (north-west side).
(a) Main reserve. (b) Extension No. 1.

Enclosure No. 5.—Sketch-map.

Endorsement by A. W. PEET, Esq., Conservator of Forests, Northern Circle, dated Waltair, 1st May 1897, R. C. No. 2139.

Forwarded.

Resolution—Forest No. 394, dated 8th September 1897.

With reference to the Proceedings read above, the Collector of Kistna now reports that the Kandipadu village-site is far away from the reserve and that there is no necessity to alter the boundary line, there being an ample margin between the village-site and the reserve boundary. He also states that the substitution of the Perikipadu surveyed boundary in the draft final notification for that of Hassanbada agraharam is justified from the circumstances reported in his letter disposed of in Board's Proceedings, Forest No. 212, dated 28th May 1897. As the surveyed boundary now substituted

refers to a distinct line which can be identified at any time, the Board has no objection to accept it, and accordingly submits the draft notification for approval and publication in the *Fort St. George Gazette* with the recommendation that the 31st December next may be fixed as the date from which the reservation shall take effect.

2. As regards the Collector's statement in paragraph 1 of his letter that the village-site is a quarter mile away from the reserve, it is observed that the position of the above village-site as indicated on the sketch-map is wrong and requires rectification. As the map is taken as a guide in these matters, the Collector is requested to correct the map when returned to him and to insist on greater accuracy in the preparation of maps in future.

3. With reference to paragraph 3 of the Collector's letter, it may be pointed out that villagewar statements are ordinarily required only in respect of section 4 notifications. The statement in question was necessary to see how the Kandipadu village was affected by the reservation of the proposed block.

4. The block lies in the Sattenapalle taluk and covers an area of 410 acres. The proposed reservation was approved in G.O., No. 340, dated 21st July 1896, the block being numbered in the papers then disposed of as No. 45. It may also be noted that a draft notification for extending this reserve was approved in G.O., No. 541, dated 8th July 1897.

(True Copies and Extract.)

(Signed) R. C. C. CARR,
Secretary.

To the Secretary to Government, Revenue Department,
with draft notifications (one original and two printed),
and a sketch-map, to be returned.
" Collector of Kistna.
" Conservator of Forests, Northern Circle.
" Forest Settlement-officer, Kistna, &c., districts.

Order—No. 871, Revenue, dated 12th October 1897.

Forest No. 191.

The draft notification will be published in the Fort St. George and Kistna District Gazettes, the 31st December 1897 being entered as the date from which reservation shall take effect.

(True Extract.)

(Signed) G. STOKES,
Ag. Secretary to Government.

To the Board of Revenue (Land Revenue), with map.
" the Superintendent, Government Press, with notification.

Endorsement No. 871A.

Copy to the Government of India, Revenue and Agricultural Department.

(Signed) G. STOKES,
Ag. Secretary to Government.

NOTIFICATION.

His Excellency the Governor in Council is pleased to declare under the provisions of section 16 of the Madras Forest Act (V of 1882), that, from the 31st December 1897, the area, the boundaries of which are set forth in the schedule appended to this notification shall be constituted "reserved forest."

Schedule.

District.	Taluk.	Name of forest.	Boundaries.
Kistna.	Sattenapalle.	Kandipadu reserve.	*North.*—Starting from a village survey stone about twelve chains north of the trijunction of Kandipadu, Tsandrajupalem and Kandipadu hill No. 34, the surveyed boundary between Kandipadu and the hill up to the trijunction of Kandipadu, Perikipadu and Kandipadu No. 34 (hill); then along the surveyed boundary of Perikipadu village to the next village boundary stone; then a straight line east-south-east to a survey stone on the Perikipadu surveyed village boundary three hundred and ten links south-west of the south-east corner of survey No. 2-A.-10 of Perikipadu village. *East.*—Thence along the said surveyed boundary to its trijunction with Vannayapalam agraharam and unsurvey No. 31. *South.*—Then along the surveyed boundary of Vannayapalam agraharam and Tsandrajupalem villages to the fifth village boundary stone counting south from and including the one at the trijunction of Kandipadu, Tsandrajupalem and Kandipadu hill No. 34. *West.*—Then the village boundary of Tsandrajupalem to the said trijunction; then the surveyed boundary between Kandipadu and the hill to the starting point.

Remarks.—The following has been ordered to be demarcated out and retained as an enclosure within the reserve free from reservation:—

The temple of Venkataswaraswami with a space of ten cents square in front of it including the temple, with access by a foot-path two yards wide for men only entering the reserve about one chain south of the trijunction of Kandipadu, Tsandrajupalem and Kandipadu hill No. 34 and running south-east and then north-east to the temple.

Exd. P. Seetharam.

25 Copies.

First copy sent to Press.

Returned for signature.

Despatched to print.