

Regular
Miscellaneous No.

of 189

ROUTINE SERIES.

DATED 7th April 97

[Despatch Abstract.]

Petⁿ given Ratnasakapatthi Mondali
late clerk, Collector's office, Chingleput, -
disposed of by Para X of C Petⁿ Rules.

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

Revenue (1897) Department.

CURRENT No.



From

H. A. Sim Esq. J.C.S.
Private Secretary
H.E. the Governor.

No.

list
Order R No. 73747th April 97

Para E of C Petition Rules

11/4/97

In the Petition with enclosure - 10-4-97

Dated 30th March 1897.

Received 5-4-97

Registered 5-4-97

Enclosures 4

Spare copies -

SUBJECT.

Transfers a petition dated 30th March 1897 from J. Ratna -
Sathapathy Mudali, late Clerk
Collector's Office Chingleput
praying that the Collector's
order dismissing him from
the service may be so modified
as to allow of his being re-em-
ployed in Government service.

/ By order/

W. S. M.

Private Secretary. 10.

6/4/97

6/4/97

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

PETITION

304

REVENUE DEP.

To

His Excellency The Right Honorable

Sir Arthur Clibank Habelock, G.C.M.G., G.C.I.E.,

GOVERNOR OF MADRAS.

The humble petition of T. RATNA-SABAPATHY MUDELLY, late 2nd Clerk of the Birth & Death Registration Department in the Collector's Office, Chingleput.

MOST RESPECTFULLY SHEWETH:—

That your petitioner was entertained as 2nd Clerk of the Birth & Death Registration Department in the Chingleput Collectors office in February 1888 and he was working in the Said Department for about six (6) months to the entire satisfaction of his Head Clerk A. Hussain Beg Sahib, and the then Head Accountant N. Narasinga Row. As he was found useful he was taken into the Vernacular Account Department and in his place one C. Venkata Row an old man of the Vernacular Account Department was sent, this Your Excellency can see from the office *order Book* maintained in the Accounts Branch.

2. That your petitioner was working under the Supervision of the Vernacular Head Accountant, A. Venkatarama Iyer and though he was drawing only Rs 15 as 2nd Birth & Death Registration Clerk, he was doing the duties of the 2nd Vernacular Accountant, such as auditing the accounts of two Taluqs, preparing Land Revenue Demand Collection and Balance Statements to the Board and to the Collector &c., and gave full satisfaction.

3. That in the year 1891, your petitioner was sent to work in the Tamil Census office and sometime after his return from that office, he was taken into the English Correspondence Department first as Current Reference Clerk and then as registering Clerk.

4. On the 7th March 1894 the petitioner was obliged to apply for 2 days leave on account of urgent private business at Madras. His wife was seriously unwell and his family Doctor advised that a change was necessary and especially to a place where (Mica) mineral water was available. So he left this for Nellore to better his wife's health and made another application on the 8th March 1894, for an extension of 15 days leave from the 9th, and that if this could not be granted to consider the same application as his resignation. This he did fearing that the English Head Clerk, Mr. Bashiam Iyengar who had a grudge against him may do him some harm by not granting the leave sought for and also because the petitioner only joined the office on the 22nd February '94 from leave on forfeiture of pay for 5 months.

5. This Bashiam Iyengar's character is well known to various Collectors and he was compelled to take long leave several times by the Collectors. He out of malice misrepresented matters to the Deputy Collector, and got the Collector to pass orders dismissing petitioner from the service under section 249 of the Civil Service Regulations for having stayed away without leave (vide Collector's Proceeding's 18th March 1894.)

6. By perusing the papers connected with the unfortunate petitioners case and the remarks therein made, your Excellency can very well infer how much malice the Head Clerk had towards him in misrepresenting matters to the Collector.

7. The petitioner ventures to affirm most Solomnly that there is nothing on record in the Collector's Office, against him to show that he, petitioner was ever fined or even warned for being slothful or negligent in his work. If petitioner was not found useful, why was he transferred from the Birth & Death Registration Department, to do more important work in the Account and Correspondence Departments, and why was he sent for from the Census office, and given promotion to an Ag. Rs. 20 place in July 1891.

8. It is true that petitioner took permission to stay away one day, and attended early on the next day (a holiday) and was waiting for the papers, registered as much as was sent to him and left the office, after 11 A. M. as usual, on the 6th March '94, petitioner came to the office and worked, but he submits that there was no neglect such as to call for explanation. Even during holidays, the Head clerk warried your Excellency's humble petitioner on Rs. 15 to attend office, because he happened to be a non-bramin.

9. As to the remark in the Proceeding that "this was not the first occasion that petitioner was found fault with for bad work" petitioner requests that your excellency will be so gracious to call for records to shew whether he was at any time fined, or even warned by his superiors.

10. The Birth and Death Head Clerk, A. Hussain Beg Sahib, and the Vernacular Head Accountant A. Venkatarama Iyer, under whom petitioner was directly serving, and who are better judges than Mr. Bashiam Iyengar, would testify to petitioner's good work when he was under their control. The Census Suprintendant H. Stuart Esq., has also giving a good testimonial which is herewith enclosed.

11. It was the serious illness of petitioner's wife that compelled him to apply for leave at the time, and also to be absent on leave without pay for some months previously. Knowing the attitude of Bashiam Iyengar, against the petitioner, he

feared that the second leave may not be granted and so in a moment of anxiety, owing to his wife's illness, he stated that if his leave is not granted, the application may be accepted as resignation. He never dreamt that he will be dismissed from service or that the Collectors Proceedings will be held to debar him from re-employment in Government service. A few months back, your petitioner got an appointment in the Gun Carriage Factory, but his services were subsequently dispensed with because, on getting a copy of the Collectors Proceeding's, the Superintendant found the word dismissed entered therein. The main charge against petitioner was *that he absented himself without leave*. For that he should, under the civil service regulations be declared to have simply forfeited his appointment.

12. Your petitioner humbly begs your benign Excellency graciously to consider whether petitioner, who is a young man of only 31 years of age and who has not been convicted of any fraud, who has been working hard for over six years on a pittance of Rs 15 should be debarred permanently from being re-employed in any capacity in Government service. He therefore respectfully begs that your Excellency will at least be graciously pleased to cancel the Collector's order so far as to allow of petitioner being re-employed in Government service.

Enclosure to be returned.

MADRAS, }
March, 1897. }

J. Ratnasabapathy Mudali

Residing in No. 8, Muthia Mudaly Street, Teynampet