

Revenue (1897) Department.

ROUTINE SERIES.

G.O., No. 652

DATED 26th March 97

[Despatch Abstract.]

INFORMING Raja Muthu Periaharam Naicker, late Manniam
of Petday Village, Chidambaram Taluk, South Arcot
District, that, under section 23 of Madras Act III of 1895, as amended by Act II of 1897, no appeal lies
to Government.

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

Revenue (1897) Department.

CURRENT No. 200

To Lie over.
This is the third appeal in respect of a Regulation suit - the B. was transferred to the B. & disposed of by his order 18-12-95.
18/12/97

Order

From Raja Muttu Krishnamani Naicker
 Residing at Peltay
 Chidambaram Taluk
 T. Arcot D.

No.

Dated 24th December 1896

Received 8th January 1897.

Registered 9th

Enclosures 19

Spare copies

SUBJECT.

Praying for the port of
 Monim of the village.

Ref. 11-97

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

Chidambaram, 24th December 1896.
PETITION

0028

To.

REVENUE DEPT.



His Excellency the Governor in Council
For David George.

The humble memorial of Raja Brathukali.
Shuasaaray Uaiether son of Peruga Krishnaswamy
Uaiether residing at Pethay, Chidambaram Taluk,
South Arcot District.

Most Respectfully Sheweth:

That your Excellency's humble memorialist
is the adopted son of Peruga Krishnaswamy Uaiether
son of Kondappa Uaiether who held the office of Dargadar
and Inamdar of Pethay as a hereditary right and died
when your Excellency's humble memorialist was a
minor.

2. — That, on the demise of the said Kondappa
Uaiether and on certain other Charges, the hereditary
right to the said office befall on your Excellency's
humble memorialist and he, with the intention
of qualifying himself for the said office which
he hoped to hold, studied and presented himself
for the Examination to qualify Candidates to the
— appointants

appointments of Village Munsiffs and passed it suc-
cessfully. —

3. — That when, at the time of revision, the
village of Pettay and Tangore were clubbed together as
Pettay vattam, Lutchumana Padmaiah, the illiterate treasurer
of the smaller village of Tangore (one fifth of the other)
entrusted Nataraja Iyer and your Excellency's humble
memorialist put forth their claims whereupon, the
then Acting Head Assistant Collector Mr. J. C. Srinivasan
Iyer appointed Lutchumana Padmaiah, with Krish-
naswamy Padmaiah, as Deputy to assist him with
the following remarks:— "Raja Mathukrishnaswamy
Iyer says he has claims for Pettay but does not
produce any record"

4. — Upon reading ^{the} several documents herewith
enclosed, your Excellency will find that several attempts
of your Excellency's humble memorialist to produce
the record referred to in the foregoing paragraph and
establish his claims right were in vain and that
justice was not done in that an illiterate man
owning only the claims right of the first times
smaller village was appointed to the office with a
deputy to assist him when your Excellency's humble
memorialist, a pious candidate with ten times
as much of movable and immovable property
and the hereditary claims right of the larger village
was available being an applicant at the same time.

5. — Your Excellency's humble memorialist
begs

begs most respectfully to point out that in the
appointments of the Munsiff was in office and
his father predecessor, neither his right nor
Educational qualification was taken into consid-
eration which is in contradiction to the provisions
contained in many of the proceedings of the
Board of Revenue and the Government of Madras.
6. — Your Excellency's humble memorialist
begs further to point out that when his Petition
to the Board of Revenue dated 26 September 1894
was in the hands of the Collector of South Arcot
referred to him for disposal, the Respondent therein
failed to visit Lutchumana Padmaiah departed this
life by reason whereof the office became vacant
and remained so for some months when the Collector
who had custody of your Excellency's humble me-
morialist's said Petition could have, if he had
noticed his Petition and considered his claim,
appointed your Excellency's humble memorialist
to the office. —

7. — That under the above circumstances
your Excellency's humble memorialist believes
that no notice whatsoever was taken of his
petition referred to in the foregoing paragraph
when after the death of the illiterate Lutchumana
Padmaiah another illiterate man namely Ram-
aswamy Padmaiah was, sometime in 1895 ap-
pointed to the office with the old system of a deputy
to

to assist him.

8. — That all the documents speaking about the Minasi and the Petitions with their reply are herewith sent stitched together for your Excellency's kind perusal and reference

9. — Under the above circumstances your Excellency's humble memorialist prays that this his memorial may be received and that he may be granted redress; all that he wants is a full impartial enquiry into the merits, rights and capabilities of your Excellency's humble memorialist and that if justice was not done to him he may be appointed receiver of Pottay Vathura — Your Excellency's humble memorialist prays for such other and further relief as to your Excellency may seem fit and proper

For which act of charity and justice your Excellency's humble Petitioner shall ever pray
Rajagopal Govindaraj

Government of Madras.

Read no 200 of 1897

REVENUE DEPARTMENT

Endorsement No. 652426 = March 97

The petitioner is informed that no appeal lies to Government under Section 23 (1) of Madras Act III of 1895. as amended by act II of 1897.

Note

The petition is
dt 24-12-96

(P.
24 March 97)

(By Order.)

(cc)
11/3/97

Under Secretary to Government.

FORT ST. GEORGE,

189

To the pet^r
with the Encl^s

652426-3-97

23/3/97

189

DATED

CURRENT No.

ENCLOSURES TO C. No.

ORDER OF GOVERNMENT

LETTERS OF GOVERNMENT

STATEMENT WITH DITTO

PAPERS NOT FILED UP, BEING MEANT FOR DESPATCH
IN ORIGINAL.

N.B.—

A = Copy in full.

B = Not to be copied.

P = Copy abstract only.

Q = Copy heading only.