

200 R. 78-5-77
289 R. 71-8-77
Revenue (1897) Department.

MISCELLANEOUS SERIES.

G.O., No. 682

DATED 4th Febry.

[Despatch Abstract.]

Referring to the Collector of Krishna for report a
petn received from the trustee of Srisomaswaraswami
temple at in Gadwada taluk alleging that the encroachment
of the ancient demarcation by the survey officers of
certain temple land

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.
4394 1887							

Revenue (189) Department.

CURRENT No. 787.

Order

From *Koneti Kodandaramiah*
Trustee of Sri Lomaswaraswami
Pagoda, situated in Palkonda,
Gudimada Taluk, Krishna Dt.

No.

Dated 11-1-97

Received 18-1-97

Registered 26-1-97.

Enclosures 9

Spare copies

SUBJECT.

Regarding the incorrect de-
marcation and survey of the
inam lands attached to the
above pagoda.

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

Read again G.O. $\frac{2354}{10.12.96}$
also eno. 787 of 97

Order misc. no. 632 d/4th Feb/1897

Referred to the Col-
lector of Krishna for ^{high repul} ~~only re-~~
~~marked.~~ It should be specially
reported ^{stated} whether the pet^r had
due notice of the disposal of
the boundary dispute in Jan^r
1896, referred to in the Collector's
Ent^r on pet^r eno. $\frac{6958}{Nov}$ of 96
d/31st March 1896, and whether
the said ent^r was issued by the
Collector in his capacity as an
Appellate authority.

To the Collector of Krishna
with papers to be used

5-2-97

2-2-97

Note

The Pet^r's complaint is that
at the Survey of ^{Padurandy} ~~his~~ taluq in 1894-95
a portion of the same lands
belonging to the temple of which
he is the trustee was classed as
Govt^{land} that the decision of the
Survey Officer was never formally
communicated to him tho' he
put in

to the Officer
in an application, ~~for forwarding~~
~~him~~ ^{for} copies, that on appeal
to the Collector towards the close of
1895, the ~~Collector~~ ^{letter} in his writ of
31st March 1896 ^{stated} that his case was
disposed of in Feb^r 1895, that on
preferring a second appeal to the
Board, that ~~the~~ authority replied
that it had no jurisdiction to enter-
tain the appeal and that when
he asked the Board for permission
to file an appeal in the Civil Court
the Board said that the sanction
of Govt. is required for the same
under Sec 25 of Act XXVIII of 1860. The
Pet^r now prays that such sanction
may be accorded to him

In the absence of a
copy of the Survey officer's decision,
the ~~exact~~ ^{facts} ~~circumstances~~ of the case
cannot be ^{exactly} ascertained, nor can
it be said from the papers now
before Govt. whether ~~that~~ ^{the case is one in which it} can or should
interfere under Sec 25 of the Act and
give the Pet^r an extension of the appeal time
the ~~draft~~ ^{about} draft
is accordingly submitted for approval.

29.1.97

When given for copying _____
When copied _____
When examined _____
When signed _____

189.

READ AGAIN G.O., No.
189

DATED

CURRENT No.

ENCLOSURES TO C. No.

ORDER OF GOVERNMENT

A A A A A A A

LETTER OF GOVERNMENT

SENT WITH DITTO

PAPERS NOT FILED UP, BEING MEANT FOR DEPOSIT
IN ORIGINAL.

N.B.—

A = Copy in full.
B = Not to be copied.
P = Copy abstract only.
Q = Copy heading only.