

Revenue (1897) Department.

ROUTINE SERIES.

G.O., No. 631

DATED 25th March 97

[Despatch Abstract.]

INFORMING Pillusla Narasimham, late Kannam
of Pillusla Village, Palnad Taluk, Kistna
District, that, under section 23 of Madras Act III of 1895, as amended by Act of 1897, no appeal lies
to Government.

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

Revenue (1897) Department.

CURRENT No. 43

*to be over
1897*

Order

From
Pillutla Narasimham
of Pillutla, Palnad Taluk
Kistna Dist.

No.

Dated December 1896
Received 4th January 1897
Registered 5th " "
Enclosures Six.
Spare copies

SUBJECT,

Praying for the Marri
Kani's office.

*Recd
5/97*


*to
be
evidence
in*

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.



His Excellency, the Governor
in Council, Madras

PETITION The humble Memorial of

Pillutla Kararumham
of Pillutla, Palnad taluk
District District

May it please your Excellency

The Copies of the lower Courts'
decisions are herein enclosed.

Appeal grounds

There is no question about the
superior right of the appellant, who
proved by the best documentary evidence,

ie, father Karariah, grandfather
Sundararamiah and great grandfather
Karariah held the caruikani's post
successively and without any break.

2. It unfortunately happened that the
father of the appellant was dismissed
about Jasali 1255 or 1256; and he
happened to live till about a year
ago. So until his death, the
appellant had no room to move his
claims. And after his death, the
cause of action arose, and the suit
was brought within 3 years from the
date of the cause of action.

3. Consequently the appellants' claims
cannot be said to have been barred.

4. If the appellants' father was in
office at revision, and his claims

were considered along with the then
existing staff, it can be said that the
claims of the appellant were finally settled.
When it was not such, the appellant
who is barred from moving his claims
until the death of his dismissed father
has every right to put forth his claims, and
after the death of his father; and the
arrangement made without any reference
to his father cannot be final in
respect of the appellants' right.

5. Hence the question whether the willage
in question was effected or not does not
affect the appellants' suit.

6. As the suit was brought in time,
as the appellant has established a better
title to the post in question than

the defendant by the best documentary
evidence, and as the present act does
not interfere in any way with the
old mirasi right, he humbly prays
that your Excellency will be pleased
to remove the suit for being imposed
of on merits which is not hitherto
done.

For such an act of
Kindness

He begs to remain

Your Excellency's Most

Obedient Servant

9/12/1886

ॐ नमो भगवते वासुदेवाय

Government of Madras.

Read no. 43 of 97.

REVENUE DEPARTMENT.

Endorsement No. 63/R 2/25-3-97

HP 25/2/97

THE petitioner is informed that no appeal lies to Government under Section 23 (1) of Madras Act III of 1895. as amended by act II of 1897.

Note

The petition was submitted in December 1896.

19.
24 March 97

(By Order.)

(Sd)
W. S. S. S.

Under-Secretary to Government.

FORT ST. GEORGE,

189

To the petrs
with encl.

63.29-3-97

ec 24/3/97

Read over A 3 of 11

1902/10/12

by Act II of 1902

the petition was submitted in December 1901

(1902)

A A A A A A A

1902/10/12

the petition was submitted in December 1901

When given for copying _____
When copied _____
When examined _____
When signed _____ } 189 .

READ AGAIN G.O., No. _____
189 , DATED _____

CURRENT No. _____

ENCLOSURES TO C. No. _____

ORDER OF GOVERNMENT A A A A A A A

LETTERS OF GOVERNMENT

STATEMENT WITH DITTO

PAPERS NOT FILED UP, BEING WANT FOR DESPATCH IN ORIGINAL.

N.B.—
A = Copy in full.
B = Not to be copied.
P = Copy abstract only.
Q = Copy heading only.