

Revenue (1897) Department.

ROUTINE SERIES.

G.O., No. 623

DATED ²⁴ March 97

[Despatch Abstract.]

INFORMING Venkata Subrahmanyam, late Moumiam,
of Thengavarai Village, Perrigattulam Taluk, Madura
District, that, under section 23 of Madras Act III of 1895, as amended by Act II of 1897, no appeal lies
to Government.

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

Revenue (1897) Department.

CURRENT No. 829



From

The Board of Revenue
Land Revenue Madras.

No. 713
528 Misc.

Dated 24th February 1897.

Received

Registered

Enclosures

Spare copies

SUBJECT.

Submitting to Government with remarks the petition of Venkatasubba -iar, Maniam of Thengurai village, Perikulam Taluk, Madura District claiming the office of the Headman.

Order

Ref put up the order on the petition of 1894.
To be over 4/3/97
Q.D. 2068 28-3-97

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.
en-3045	194		20 68 28-5-94

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

To
His Excellency the Right Honorable Governor in Council
Madras

The respectful petition of
Venkata Subba Ayyar, present
Mattamgar, Thengarai village
Periyakulam, Madura Dist.

Most humbly Sheweth:—

That the head Mansiff of
Periyakulam is a place which the petitioner's
grandfather had long been in possession of; that
at the time of the revenue the petitioner's father
was appointed as a manigar; that he, then,
petitioned the Sub-collector for the place that has
been long enjoyed undisturbed in the family;
that the Sub-collector thereon ordered the petitioner's
father on the 3rd July 1890 to bring in a regu-
lation suit which was accordingly instituted
as No. 17 of 1891 and that the suit ended in
the petitioner's father's favor after an elaborate
enquiry and the decision arrived at was also
confirmed by the collector on appeal.

2. That the decision being appealed
against to the Honorable Board by the present
incumbent, the Board without notice to the
petitioner's father, reversed the decision care-
fully arrived by the Sub-collector and the
collector and gave the place to Lakshminarayana
Ayyar;

3. That the Honorable Board in Procs
No. 588 of 6th February '94 passed against the
petitioner's father, did not to the petitioner's
great misfortune note that G.O. 927 of 23-10-93
did

did not empower G. O. 1168 and subsequent orders to have retrospective effect and hence to affect suits instituted before 24. 11 '92 and this suit No 17 of 1891 pending before that date could not be affected by it

4. That the Government order passed in 1894 against the petitioner's father, the petitioner most humbly begs to say, is against its own G. O.'s and is also against the subsequent legislation Act III of 1895

5. That according to the regulation suit and the revision rules then in force, the petitioner's father had been appointed by the Sub-collector as being 'better qualified on the grounds both of Mirati right and experience and to disturb the petitioner's father from a place that has been successively enjoyed by the family for generations in favor of one who cannot claim to be such and about whom the Sub Collector remarked that 'it would be unsafe to allow him to keep in his hands the responsible powers of Village Munsiff he, being a young man of only a few years service, mixing himself up in civil and Criminal Cases' is very hard on the petitioner

6. That though last not least, the petitioner's father's Contention had been all along for the Head Naltemagor's place that carried with it a salary of Rs 10 while the petitioner gets only five Rupees and has to act on occasions when the Head Munsiff's place falls temporarily vacant, the last

2068
28-5-94

last occasion being between July 23 to August 24
of 1896 when the other was in suspension.

1. That therefore the petitioner most humbly
begs to pray that the place of Head Nattamagar
that has been long enjoyed in the family, that
has been decided to be so by the Sub-Collector and
the Collector and as such is exclusively belonging
to the petitioner's father ought to be given to the
petitioner after inviting a report from the
Collector whose report the petitioner begs to add
will in the interest of pity and justice meet
the requisite ends

For which act of charity the petitioner
shall as in duty bound
ever pray

23rd September
1896

Beg to remain
Your Excellency's

most obedient and dutiful servant

Nattamari Venkatasubbaiah

I have submitted herewith, as directed
by the Collector, the 5 documents which are
material to the case

- I Resignation of the munsiff's office for 11/1/97
 - II Permission order
 - III Sub-Collector's judgement in
regulation suit of 1891
 - IV Collector's order in appeal
 - V Board's order on appeal
preferred by the Respondant
- For
Nattamari Venkatasubbaiah

last session being the 23rd of August
of 1896 when the petition was presented
and laid upon the table.

That therefore the petition must stand

and I pray that the place of the petitioners
that has been long enjoyed in the family, that
has been decided to be so by the law collector and

the collector and as such is now being kept
to the petitioners father ought to be given to the
petitioners after making a report from the

collector and report the petitioners right to add
will in the interest of right and justice and
the petitioners father from

a place that has been successfully enjoyed
for a long time of the petitioners father from
and about

and about 1896
and about 1896
and about 1896

and about 1896
and about 1896
and about 1896

and about 1896
and about 1896
and about 1896

and about 1896
and about 1896
and about 1896



Proceedings of the Board of Revenue
(Land Revenue).

NO. 713 Misc. dated 24th February 1897.

Read again Board's Proceedings No. 588 Misc. dated --
6th February 1894 passing orders with remarks on the appeal petition
from Lakshminarayana Iyer re: Munsiff Nattamai office of Thenkai--
rai village Periakulam Taluk Madura District.

also
Read endorsement of J. Twigg Esq, Collector of Madura
Dis. No. 654 dated 16th February 1897.

Resolution.

Submitted to Government with the remark that the --
the Sub. Collector's suit decision dated 9th June 1893 which was con-
firmed by the Collector was cancelled by the Board with reference --
to Rule I of G.O. No. 1004 Revenue dated 21st November 1893 and para
3 of Board's Proceedings No. 670 dated 23rd November 1893.

(True Extract)

Ree
Secretary.

To.

The Secretary to Government, Revenue Department with Collector's
letter in original ~~and its enclosures~~ and its enclosures and with a
copy of Board's Progs. No. 670 dated 23rd Novr.

1893.

189 .

Board of Revenue

(Land Revenue),

MADRAS.

To

Subject.

L. C. 22. 11

Government of Madras.

Read no. 1829 of 97

REVENUE DEPARTMENT.

Endorsement No. 623 of 24th March 97

THE petitioner is informed that no appeal lies
to Government under Section 23 (1) of Madras
Act III of 1895 as amended
by act II of 1897.

note
The petition to Govt
is dt 23-9-96.

(P.
23 March 97

(By Order.)

(Sd)

[Signature]

Under Secretary to Government.

FORT ST. GEORGE,
189 . }

To the petrs
tho' Board L.R.
with Encls

18.26.3.97

2/22/3/97

When given for copying _____
 When copied _____
 When examined _____
 When signed _____

189 .

READ AGAIN G.O., No.
 189 ,

DATED

CURRENT No.

ENCLOSURES TO C. No.

ORDERS OF GOVERNMENT A A A A A A A

LETTERS OF GOVERNMENT

STATEMENT WITH

PAPERS NOT FILED UP, BEING MEANT FOR DESPATCH
 IN ORIGINAL

N.B.—

- A = Copy in full.
- B = Not to be copied.
- P = Copy abstract only.
- Q = Copy heading only.