Revenue (1897) Department.

ROUTINE SERIES.

G.O., No. 597

DATED 22nd March 97

[Despatch Abstract.]

INFORMING Venkatanamah, late Kannam, of Bramanapalli Village, Kadiri Taluk, Cuddapah District, that, under section 23 of Madras Act III of 1895, as amended by Act of 1897, no appeal lies to Government.

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

Revenue (1897) Department.

CURRENT No. 1931

Taken out
S.P.
Tamarind

From Venkataramiah, late
Karnam of Bhimanapalli
Kadiri Taluk
Cuddapah Dt.

Order

No.

Dated 27. 2. 97

Received 3. 3. 1897

Registered 4. 3. 1897

Enclosures

Spare copies

SUBJECT.

Praying for restoration to the former post.

Reptd clerk
5.3.97

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

CHIEF SECRETARY
1-MAR. 1897
GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS

REVENUE DEPARTMENT
25 MAR 97
GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS

TO

HIS EXCELLENCY THE RIGHT HONORABLE,
Sir Arthur Elibank Havelock, G.C.M.G., G.C.I.E.,
Governor and President in Council,
FORT ST. GEORGE,
MADRAS.

PETITION
0687
REVENUE DEPT

MAY IT PLEASE YOUR EXCELLENCY,

The humble memorial of Venkataramiah Ex-karnam of Brahmanapalli included in the Group called Sankepalli of Kadiri Taluk Cuddapah District, Most respectfully Showeth:—

That your Excellency's memorialist was karnam of Brahmanapalli. At the recent revision of the village establishment the said village as well as Gandlavandlapalli was grouped with Sankepalli, Sankepalli being made the parent village. For the karnam of the group thus formd one Rangiah kurnam of Gandlavandlapalli was appointed by the Sub-Collector ignoring the superior claims of the humble memorialist. This order was upheld by the Collector and the appeal preferred by the humble memorialist to the Board of Revenue was rejected as being out of time vide Board's Proceedings No. 282 Miscellaneous dated 23rd January 1895.

HENCE THIS MEMORIAL.

The memorialist humbly submits that the Collector's order was passed on 10th September 1894. The memorialist applied for copy of it on 13th September and the copy which was sent by post reached the memorialist on the 20th October 1894. Appeal to the Board was sent up on the 10th January 1895. Hence from the date of order to the date of the presentation of appeal it is 4 months. Deducting one month and 7 days which was occupied in obtaining copy of the order the appeal was clearly 7 days within the prescribed limitation of three months. Perhaps it will be said that such appeals as these belong to that class for which time fixed is one month. It was the impression of all that time for appeal in Revenue matters was three months. The order of one month is a recent one communicated only to Cutcherry Officials and not to Village Officers. Questions of limitation often perplex experienced Revenue and Judicial Officers and it can hardly be expected of a village karnam to decide on those matters. It is with such charitable view the Government have pleased to order that in granting copies for purposes of appeal in Darkhast and Regulation Cases the time of the appeal should be noted on the copies. The humble momorialist humbly leaves it to His Excellency to consider whether or not it is exceedingly hard to make this unsettled question of limitation

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

the ground to deprive a competent and faithful village servant of 24 years standing of a life and right which has been in his family from time out of mind. The memorialist most respectfully prays that the appeal may be ordered to be admitted and disposed of on the merits.

The Collector says that as the memorialist was found incompetent by both Tahsildar and the Sub-Collector he was rightly passed over for a revision appointment.

It must be submitted that neither Tahsildar nor Sub-Collector *found* the memorialist incompetent. On the other hand the Tahsildar speaks well of him but he only said it *may* be difficult for the memorialist to manage 4 villages and wanted to try him for 6 months. It is not known on what grounds he bases his opinion. Perhaps Rangiah is younger but that does not render memorialist incompetent.

In appointing the said Rangiah the gracious orders of Government are not heeded at all. The orders are that the offices should be bestowed on competent Mirasidars, in the absence of whom outsiders may be selected and that no one person should be appointed to offices in two different villages. The memorialist is a Mirasidar and competent. Respondent Rangiah is not a Mirasidar, though born in the family having the merasi right, he was given away in adoption to the karnam's family of Peddakotla village of Anantapur Taluk, Anantapur District, in virtue of which he lost the merasi of his natural father and acquired a fresh right in Peddakotla village. He was appointed karnam of Peddakotla group, so that two office in two different villages of two different Districts were bestowed on one man. Seeing this objectionable appointment, the respondent apparently resigned the offices of Peddakotla in favour of his minor son of about 4 or 5 years; and virtually holds two offices himself.

In conclusion the humble memorialist most humbly and respectfully solicits that your gracious Excellency be pleased to order the restoration of the humble memorialist.

For which act of kindness he shall ever pray.

Your Excellency's Most Obedient,
and
Humble Servant.

27-2-97

Government of Madras.

Read c/s^o 1931 of 97

REVENUE DEPARTMENT.

Endorsement No. 597 R. dt 22nd March 97

Dp 22/3/97

THE petitioner is informed that no appeal lies to Government under Section 23 (1) of Madras Act III of 1895 as amended by act II of 1897

Note

The petition is dated 27th Feb 1897

A. 20 mar 97

(By Order.)

(o.c) [illegible]

~~Under~~ *Secretary to Government.*

FORT ST. GEORGE,

189 .

To the petr with Encls

Dis. 25-3-97

SAI/20/3/97

When given for copying ——
When copied ——
When examined ——
When signed ——
} 189 .

READ AGAIN G.O., No. DATED
189 ,

CURRENT No.

ENCLOSURES TO C. No.

ORDER OF GOVERNMENT	A	A	A	A	A	A	A	
LETTER OF GOVERNMENT								
STATEMENT WITH DITTO								
PAPERS NOT FILED UP, BEING MEANT FOR DESPATCH IN ORIGINAL.								

N.B.—
A = Copy in full.
B = Not to be copied.
P = Copy abstract only.
Q = Copy heading only.