Revenue (1897) Department.

ROUTINE SERIES.

G.O., No. 591

DATED 22nd March 97

[Despatch Abstract.]

INFORMING Venkatachala Mudaliar & Thiagaraya Mudaliar, late Munsidars for Monegar office of Annamalai Village, Pallachi Taluk, Coimbatore District, that, under section 23 of Madras Act III of 1895, as amended by Act II of 1897, no appeal lies to Government.

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

Revenue (189/) Department.

CURRENT No. 1194

Dy. 12 Feb 97

From Venkatachala Mudaliar &
Thiagaraja Mudaliar
Muraliders for the Monium Office
Anamalai, Pollachi Taluk
Coimbatore Dt.

Order

No.

Dated 19th January 1897

Received 8-2-1897.

Registered 9-2-97.

Enclosures 7

Spare copies

SUBJECT.

Praying that they may be appoint-
-ed to the monium office of
Anamalai, Coimbatore Dt.

Desk Clerk 9/2/97

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

PETITION

REVENUE DEPT.

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS
19 FEB 97
SECRETARIAT DEPARTMENT

*CHIEF SECRETARY
6 FEB. 1897
GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS*

To

His Excellency Sir Arthur Havelock
Governor in Council
of the Presidency of Fort St George
Madras

The humble petition of Venkatachala Mudaliar and Thiagaraya Mudaliar Mirasidars for the moniem office of Anamalai Pollachi Taluk, Coimbatore district, most respectfully showeth.

2. That your petitioners beg to submit that the moniem office of Anamalai belongs to them from the time of Sultan Tippu & from Fusties 1209 and 1212.

3. That the said village of Anamalai with its four hamlets viz Kaliaparam, Vellakaran pudur, Odaya-kulam, and Peria Pothu, was split into 5 separate villages, during the time of Settlement.

4. That the moniem office of Kaliaparam, was given to the petitioners' brother Somasundra Mudaliar and

and your petitioners were ordered to file suits for the moniem offices of ster villages.

5. That your petitioners accordingly filed suits for Anamalai, Vayakulam and Perica Podu before the Divisional officer, who decided that our claims will be considered on the vacancy of the present incumbant.

6. That your petitioners filed suits for the moniam office of Perica Podu, when it fell vacant before the Divisional officer, who decided that the suit was prefered for a new office. Whereupon your petitioners were about preferring an appeal to your honours Court.

7. That your petitioners appealed to Government whereupon the records of the lower Courts, Board's and Collector's orders were called for and that same were duly submitted a year ago and orders were passed as that.

8. That your petitioners was given to understand that the Collector has reported with records establishing our nearest claims. That your petitioners request his excellency's kind perusal of the records in question.

9. The Revenue Board thinking that they should not Cancel their former orders, dismissed the suit under Act 3 of 1895 and why the suit was dismissed under the act is a question for Consideration.

(1) The question is under Contention from 1885.

(2) Act 3 of 1895 does not apply for the case

(3) The act establishes the miraasi right by succession

(4) The village was devided during settlement, that is 1895 and not perior to it.

10. The suit decision is that the Appellant should apply when vacancy occurs.

(1) It is now 3 years since Perica Podu became vacant

(2) Anamalai became vacant a year ago

(3) Vayakulam became vacant 5 or 6 months ago.

This will clearly show how the question is not barred by limitation of by act 3 of 1895.

11. (1) The headmanship of the monegar office in these villages is now chiefly claimed and contended for the last 16 years. The emoluments alloted to the village officers of these villages were given over from the puttah lands of the Appellant's forefathers, and assessed wastes.

(2) Of these, 3 wet fields were held by my brother Sinnadurai Pudaiyar on puttah and the rest were held by other monegars on Puttah, by favour shown to them by Inam settlement officers during settlement and the lands were unjustly disposed of by sale or otherwise.

12. Properly speaking if the Appellant's family is judged for the miraasi office of the subdivided villages, it will be evident that all the emoluments allotted to these offices should be made over to us, and the rest, if sold, will fetch Rs 25,000, giving a considerable profit to Government & consequently request that the Collector may be referred to, for an investigation to be made in the matter. If this is done, it will be seen that all offices and lands will be eventually transferred to my family.

13. That your excellency will be graciously pleased that the records of the Lower Court may be sent for

and perused, and the appellant restored thereto.

14- I beg to submit the following records.

Collector's Endorsement No. [illegible] of 1885 — 1

Dist. judgment Nos. 889 of 1886 — 2

Board's order of 1893 — 1

Board's order of 1895 — 1

Notice to the Collr — 1

Total 6

Endorsement Last Fowlis

Head Assistant Collector's Evidence — 1

Total 7

I beg to remain

Most respected Sir

Your Excellency's most obedient Servants

Venkatachalamudaliyah

Govindarajulu

19th January 1897

Government of Madras.

Read cor. 1194 of 97

REVENUE DEPARTMENT.

Endorsement No. 591, dy 22nd March 97

22/3/97

THE petitioner~~s~~ are informed that no appeal lies to Government under Section 23 (1) of Madras Act III of 1895 as amended by act II of 1897.

Vm 24/3/97.

C.R. 20 mar 97

(By Order.)

(C.C.)

Under-Secretary to Government.

FORT ST. GEORGE,

189 .

To the petitioners with Encls

No. 24.3.97

Note

The petition to Govt. is dated 11th January 1897.

C.R. 20/3/97.

When given for copying ____
When copied ____
When examined ____
When signed ____
} 189 .

READ AGAIN G.O., No. 189 , DATED

CURRENT No.

ENCLOSURES TO C. No.

ORDER OF GOVERNMENT	A	A	A	A	A	A	A	
LETTER OF GOVERNMENT ..								
STATEMENT WITH DITTO								
PAPERS NOT FILED UP, BEING MEANT FOR DESPATCH IN ORIGINAL.								

N.B.—
A = Copy in full.
B = Not to be copied.
P = Copy abstract only.
Q = Copy heading only.