Revenue (1897) Department.

ROUTINE SERIES.

G.O., No. 590

DATED 22nd March '97

[Despatch Abstract.]

INFORMING V. T. Thirunangadusawmy Md.r, late Arnegar, of Kashinjunc Village, Gudiyadam Taluk, North Arcot District, that, under section 23 of Madras Act III of 1895, as amended by Act V of 1897, no appeal lies to G[illegible]

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.
	Cur. 230	9/95					

Revenue (1897) Department.

CURRENT No. 1557

25 Feb 97

Order

From V. T. Thiruvengadasawmy Mudaliyar.
Late Stonegar, Kashinjure Village, Indigalam Taluk
No. N. Arcot Dt.

Dated 6th
Received 24th } Feb 1897
Registered 25th
Enclosures
Spare copies

SUBJECT.

Praying for restoration to his former post.

Register clerk 25/2/97

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

19 FEB 97
GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS
CHIEF SECRETARY
16-FEB. 1897
GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS

To

HIS EXCELLENCY THE RIGHT HONORABLE
PETITION THE GOVERNOR IN COUNCIL,
Fort St. George.

0130
REVENUE DEPT.

The humble memorial of V. T. THERUVANGADASAWMY MODELIAR, *late Monegar, Kashinjure Village, Gudiyatam Taluq, North Arcot District.*

MOST RESPECTFULLY SHOWETH,

1. That your Memorialist craves respectfully to lay before Your Excellency and Council his deplorable case, and in doing so indulges in the fervent hope that the peculiar circumstances of his unfortunate case will be dispassionately viewed, and a just consideration will be given him.

2. That your Memorialist was appointed Monegar of the Village of Kashinjure, Gudiyatam Taluq, North Arcot District, in 1883, and from that time till September 1895 he discharged his duties to the satisfaction of every one of his Superiors.

3. That your Memorialist begs very respectfully to urge that his dismissal by the Sub-Collector of Vellore on the 21st September 1895 was unjust, and without any reasonable cause, and assigns the following reason for saying so :—

4. That my enemy Ramanada Moodelly, whose father I supplanted in a Regulation Suit, bearing me a grudge, and with a view to ruin me brought and instituted a false charge against me alleging that I received and collected monies from the Ryots belonging to Government, and dishonestly misappropriated and converted the said sum of money to my own use.

5. That this complaint by Ramanada Moodelly being unfounded, he in collusion with the Tahsildar who is an intimate friend of his conjointly wrote several *arzies* against me to the Divisional Officer who ordered my suspension, when Ramanada Moodelly was made to act in my place.

6. That the Acting Sub-Collector's judgment alleges that there were no permanent embezzlement by me. He alleges that my explanation in respect of dates was satisfactory, and he acquitted me on that point, and as there was no fault on me it should be deemed by Government that I was faultless.

7. But the Sub-Collector in the latter portion of his judgment after disbelieving the serious charges against me, submits that because your Memorialist had shown carelessness and disregard for work, he was therefore dismissed, and it is now left to Government to judge whether such simple charges against your Memorialist merited a dismissal or only a censure.

8. That your humble Memorialist respectfully begs to contend that the charge even of carelessness against him could not at all be proved as will be seen on reference to your Memorialist's explanations :—

9. That the allegations that Memorialist left his work without leave, that the item of Rs. 35-1-8 collected from the ryots by him was dishonestly withheld by him and was not duly forwarded by him to the Treasury, and that he submitted altered accounts are all false, malicious, and beyond any proof, and when Government looks into the facts of his case the truth of Memorialist's statement will be clearly seen:—

10. That being very ill, and after submitting a medical certificate and appointing Mootooperumah Moodelly as a substitute, your Memorialist proceeded on a week's leave, and so the statement that he proceeded without leave is entirely false and unfounded, and to substantiate which your Memorialist now begs to submit with his memorial the said *Medical Certificate* and his *Pay Abstract* on seeing which Government will without any hesitation believe that your Memorialist was without fault, and the false statements against him were pure concoctions. (*Vide* Exhibits 1 and 2).

11. That Memorialist, while very ill, first submitted a medical certificate, and proceeded on a week's leave, but finding that he did not recover within that time he submitted a second medical certificate (registered) for an extension of leave to which he received no reply, nor has the Tahsildar made reference to the same in his report on your Memorialist to the Sub-Collector.

12. That the charge of alteration in accounts against your Memorialist is quite false as the writing up of accounts is not done by your Memorialist but by the Kurnam. That your Memorialist makes his collections from Ryots according to a list given to him by the Kurnam on the first of the month, and after your Memorialist collects an amount of money he sends word to the Kurnam who brings up his accounts, checks the collections with your Memorialist, when it is forwarded to the Treasury by Memorialist through the *Toty* who after paying it in returns to the Kurnam the accounts. This is the routine of work in the taluq. To allege that Memorialist could have altered these accounts is not only *impossible* but *false* and *malicious* as the account books are held and kept by the Kurnam, and your Memorialist has no access to them at all. In corroboration of this statement of your Memorialist he begs to cite the Revenue Inspector as a witness.

13. That the sum of Rs. 35-1-8 said to be temporarily embezzled by Memorialist in the judgment of the Sub-Collector for a fortnight was despatched at once to the Treasury by him through Toty Kandan. That when the said money was sent by him through Toty Kandan to the Treasury, the Kurnam was away with the Tahsildar on *Jamabandi* with the account books to Syneegunta Gramam which is 18 miles away. Your Memorialist was 16 miles away from the Treasury. The Toty Kandan accordingly went to pay the money to the Head Accountant who refused to receive it from him without the account book. Toty Kandan then brought the money back to Memorialist when your Memorialist was very ill. That your Memorialist, although according to the circular issued by Tahsildar he could hold monies for 15 days, for the sake of security took the said money and, handing it to Mootooperumah Moodelly who was deputed to act for your Memorialist with instructions to forward the money to the Treasury as soon as the Kurnam produces accounts after his return from circuit, he went away to Bangalore on sick

leave to recruit his health. But before leaving for Bangalore, your Memorialist immediately wrote and posted a letter to the Tahsildar relative to the sum of Rs. 35-1-8 which he was forced to leave with Mootooperumah Moodelly.

14. Toty Kandan, Kurnam Moothoo Pillai and Mootooperumah Moodelly were cited by the Memorialist in the Tahsildar's enquiry, all of whom corroborated the above fact. The money, the Government will see, was left with Mootooperumah Moodelly for better security and safety only owing to the absence of the *Karnam* with the accounts, and the refusal to receive the said money at the Treasury in the absence of the account books. This is sufficient to prove beyond a doubt that Memorialist was innocent of any foul thought or dishonest action.

15. The Sub-Collector has it will be seen acquitted the Memorialist of many charges made by the Tahsildar against him as being frivolous which evidently could not have been committed by your Memorialist.

16. That Memorialist begs to submit that prior to the Tahsildar referred to in his present Memorial, he has been working under the orders of about twelve other Tahsildars all of whom gave your Memorialist a satisfactory character, and he earned a good name not only from them but also the Inamdar of the adjacent *Gramum*, Virthumput, who even asked the Collector to make Memorialist supervise his Gramum (*Vide* Exhibit 3.)

17. That your Memorialist forwarded an appeal to the Honorable Members of the Board of Revenue who dismissed it. (*Vide* Boards Proceedings No. 4864, dated 28th October 1896)

18. That Memorialist begs very respectfully to contend that the allegations that he misappropriated and embezzled Government money, and that he in any way acted against the interest of ryots have not been proved against him, and that from the time of his entertainment in 1883 up to 1895 for about 12 years he has borne an unblemished and spotless character. He therefore craves that, under these circumstances, his dismissal will be cancelled and his re-entertainment ordered. With the exception of Ramanada Moodelly his enemy there are 700 ryots over whom your Memorialist exercised control, and not one of them ever spoke or wrote against your Memorialist.

19. Praying a dispassionate view will be taken of your Memorialist's case after perusing the facts laid out describing his innocence, and considering that he has been an old and faithful servant of the Government for the past twelve years and that he has never once committed a fault during the tenure of his service, the Government will be pleased to order the re-entertainment into service, of your Memorialist and for which Memorialist as in duty bound

WILL EVER PRAY.

MADRAS,
16th *February* 1897.

When given for copying _____
When copied _____
When examined _____
When signed _____
} 189 .

READ AGAIN G.O., No. DATED
189 ,

CURRENT No.

ENCLOSURES TO C. No.

ORDER OF GOVERNMENT	A	A	A	A	A	A	A	
LETTER OF GOVERNMENT								
STATEMENT WITH DITTO								
PAPERS NOT FILED UP, BEING MEANT FOR DESPATCH IN ORIGINAL.								

N.B.—
A = Copy in full.
B = Not to be copied.
P = Copy abstract only.
Q = Copy heading only.