

Revenue (1897) Department.

MISCELLANEOUS SERIES.

G.O., No. 542

DATED 28th January 1897

[Despatch Abstract.]

Re-transferring to the F.D. with
mark endorsement of that
Dept on letter from the Govt of
India relative to the amend-
ment of art. 915 C.S.R.

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

Revenue (1897) Department.

CURRENT No.

From

The Finance Department

Order no.

Referred to

No. 36.

the Board of Revenue,
to the Supdt. Revenue Survey
and to the Director
of Govt. Cinchona
Plantations for very
early report.

Dated 8th January 1897.

Received 8th

Registered 9th

Enclosures 2.

Spare copies

See no. 1 for

any reference to

heads of Department. The first
sentence of the new article is

Let the Board of Revenue, &c. send

two copies to

Res. Secy. & Secy.

which need not be

revised unless the Secy.

is of the opinion that the

present draft is not

fitting for the purpose.

It is to be noted that

the Secy. has

SUBJECT.

Referring for favor of

early remarks, letter from

the Govt. of India, Finance

and Commerce Department

regarding the proposed

revision of Article 915

of the C. & R.

159/97

Through the Secy.

159/97

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.
			0

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

[69]
1,000-5-12-06.

Financial Department.



Memorandum No. 36

Read the following paper:—

From the Government of India, Finance and Commerce Department, No 5239 P, dated 12th December 1896.

Referred to the Revenue Department for favour of early remarks.

Fort St. George, }
8th January 1897. }

A. L. Hanuman
7/1/97
for Chief Secretary.

To

The Revenue Department. (with current in original to be returned) ✓
--- Public Department
--- Educational Department.

Q. W. A. [unclear]
 Please [unclear]
 Note [unclear] 18/11-
 12.1.76

C. No. 1824/97

Note on the second asst.
 P.D.

Will you please let me know whether you wish ~~the Revenue Dept.~~ to have the opinion of the Board of Revenue in this matter.

The question is essentially one for the Financial Department to answer and if all that that Dept. wants is the opinion of the Revenue Secy. the proper course ~~to follow~~ seems to be that a note should be ~~sent~~ prepared in that Department for orders and that it should be sent to the Revenue Secy for remarks. I do not think it was intended that orders on the question should be taken in the Revenue Department. Am I

It is for Rev. Dept. to decide whether the Board of Revenue is reqd.

Truly, the [unclear] differentiation between the Rev. Secy. and the Rev. Dept.
 C.W.

Now again is a question for the Rev. Dept. to decide. If there are any ~~particular~~ matters particularly Rev. calling for orders I think the Rev. member should see but this is a point left to the discretion of the Rev. Secy. As a matter of fact Edw. has said to the member

right, please?

C.P.
16 Jan 97

D
4-1,000-11-6-96.

REVENUE DEPARTMENT.

C No. 184 of 1897.

From the Financial Dept.

The Hon. Mr. C. P. C. S. R.

Dated

189

FOR ORDERS.

8/28/1/97

In the current
read above, the
Financial Dept
forwards for remarks
a communication
from the Govt of India
in which the latter
enquires whether
there is any objection
to amending art
915 (a) of the C.S.R.
as follows:- In the
case of an officer
who is not gazetted
leave may be granted
by the authority
whose duty it would
be to fill up his ap-
pointment if vacant.
The Local Govt may,
with or without restric-
tions, confer power

C. 26/1/97.
R. 29/1/97.

"of granting leave on any officer who, in its opinion can judge of the expediency of granting the leave and who can without reference to higher authority, make the necessary arrangements for carrying on the absentee's duties during the leave. The Local Govt may at any time withdraw powers conferred under this clause."

The present rule runs thus:- "In the case of an officer who is not gazetted, leave may be granted by the authority whose duty it would be to fill up the appointment if vacant. The Local Govt may empower heads of Depts or Courts of

FOR ORDERS.

"in such or collection in the Madras Presy to grant privilege leave to officers under their orders who are appointed by Govt."

2. It will be seen that the first sentence of the ~~new~~ proposed article involves no change and the second and third sentences simply enlarge the power at present exercised by the Local Govt. The proposed rule closely follows art 910 (b) which applies to gazetted officers and there seems no objection to the alteration suggested. Submitted that the paper may be

Govt. Ins. No. 5420/28th Jan'y 1897

Retransferred to the Financial Dept with the remark that there is no

27/1/97

220.4-2-97

no objection to the
proposed revision
of the article in question.

(P.
21/9/97)

[Signature]
18/11/97

100
21/11/97
Have no objection,
but apparently the paper
has come to me by mistake

BS
27/1/97

apparently - 20.
[Signature]
1/28/11/97

To the Financial Dept

Note
The draft has been
approved by both the Hon.

Notes.
A.S.
4/2/97

} enter this remark in
red ink in the margin
which goes to the
Financial Dept.

1/2
22/1/97

When given for copying
When copied
When examined
When signed } 189.

READ AGAIN, G.O., No.
189

URGENT No.

ENCLOSURES TO C. No.

ORDER OF GOVERNMENT

LETTER OF GOVERNMENT

STATEMENT WITH DITTO

CAPERS NOT FILED UP, BEING MEANT FOR DESPATCH
IN ORIGINAL.

A A A A A A A

N.B.-
A = Copy in full.
B = Not to be copied.
P = Copy abstract only.
Q = Copy heading only.