

Revenue (1897) Department.

PRESS SERIES.

G.O., No. 541

Dated 8th July 1897.

[Despatch Abstract.]

Reserved Forest.

The draft notification, under section 4 of the Madras Forest Act, for the proposed constitution of the Kandipur Extension S.I. block, in the Sattenapalli taluk, as a —, ordered to be published in the Fort St. George and the Krishna District Gazette.

BACK PAPERS.

No.	By	For	By	For	Date

MINISTER PAPERS.

No.	By	For	No.	By	For	Date
EN: 3718/00		174				
EN: 7276/00		27-00				



Revenue (1897) Department.

CURRENT No. 5341

Print

The Hon. Mr J. Grose. C. J. G.

Forest No 116.

Order No. 541 of 8th July 1897
6/7/97

From
The Secretary to the Commissioner of
Land Revenue
Board of Revenue

J. No. 212

The draft notification is
approved and will be published in the
Dork St George and Kistna St gazettes.

Dated 28th May 1897

Received 27.5.97

Registered 18.5.97

Enclosures 4

Spare copies

To the Bd. & LR with map.

Suppld. of P with notification

Note Please see Collector letter in
pages 3 & 4 of Mr B.P. & the
Board's Resolution of page 4.
Submitted that the agarabaram
may be left to prove his
title - if he can

Sending draft Notifier
for the proposed Constitution
of Kandipur extension
block in the Sattenapalli
taluk, Kistna St., as
reserved forest

2.97

5.7.97

5/7/97

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.
	787		7-11-24
	135		4-2-90

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

Collected, July 8, 1897.

DRAFT NOTIFICATION.

No. 11. — His Excellency the Governor in Council is pleased to notify, under section 4 of the Madras Forest Act (V of 1882), that it is proposed to constitute the block of land described in the schedule hereto annexed "reserved forest" under the Act.

SCHEDULE.

District.	Taluk.	Block.		Situation and Boundaries.
		Number.	Name.	
Kistna.	Sattenapalle.	180	Kandipad Extension No. 1.	SITUATION.— Adjoining Kandipad proposed reserve (No. 137) on the east and about one mile south of Perikepadu village. BOUNDARIES.—North.—From the north-east corner of Kandipad proposed reserve (No. 137) a point on the Perikepadu surveyed boundary to the south-east corner of survey No. 72 of Dodleru. East.—Thence a straight line south-west to a survey stone in Vannayapalem northern surveyed boundary nine thousand one hundred and twenty links due south of the village boundary stone situated in the south side of field No. 88 of Dodleru. South.—Thence along the northern surveyed boundary of Vannayapalem till it meets the eastern boundary of Kandipad reserve (No. 137). West.—The eastern boundary of Kandipad reserve (No. 137) to the starting point.

2. His Excellency the Governor in Council is further pleased, under clause (c) of the said section, to appoint Mr. E. A. Smith to be the Forest Settlement-officer, who shall inquire into and determine the existence, nature and extent of any right claimed by or alleged to exist in favour of, any person in or over the land comprised within such limits or to any forest produce of such land, and deal with the same as provided in Chapter II of the said Act; and the District Forest-officer, Kistna, for the time being, to attend on behalf of Government during the enquiry.

3. His Excellency the Governor in Council is further pleased, under the authority vested in him by section 14 of the said Act, to appoint the Collector of Kistna, for the time being, to be the officer of the Revenue Department, who shall hear appeals from the orders of the Forest Settlement-officer passed under sections 11, 12 and 13 of the said Act.

(Signed) A. W. LUSHINGTON,
District Forest-officer.

Ag. Secretary

Gazette
G.O. 541
8-7-97

341

Per Duesary

Draft Notification

His Excellency the Governor in Council is pleased to notify, under Section 4 of the Madras Land Revenue (V of 1882), that it is proposed to constitute the block of land described in the schedule hereto annexed "reserved forest" under the Act.

Annexed as Schedule:

District	Taluk	Block No. and Name		Situation and Adjoining
		No	Name	
Krishna	Saltunpali	180	Kandipad	Kandipad Proposed Reserve (No 137) on the Eastward about 1 mile South of Perikpade village

Boundaries. North. From the North East corner of Kandipad Proposed Reserve (No 137) a point on the Perikpade surveyed village boundary, along that boundary to the trigon station between Perikpade, Dodleru and unsurveyed Hill (No 31) then along Dodleru Southern Survey

boundary to the South East corner of Survey Number 72 of Dodleru

East. Thence a straight line South East to a Survey stone in Vannayapalem northern surveyed boundary 9120 links due South of the village boundary stone situated in the South side of field No 88 of Dodleru.

South. Thence along the northern surveyed boundary

of Vannayapalem till it meets the Eastern boundary
of Kandipad Reserve (No 137)
West. The Eastern boundary Kandipad Reserve (No 137)
to the starting point.

2. His Excellency the Governor in Council is further pleased,
under clause (c) of the said Section, to appoint *[Signature]*
to be the Forest Settlement Officer, who shall inquire into and
determine the existence, nature and extent of any right claimed
by or alleged to exist in favor of, any person in or over the
land comprised within such limits or to any forest produce of
such land, and deal with the same as provided in Chapter II
of the said Act; and the District Forest Officer, Kishna, for
the time being, to attend on behalf of Government during the
enquiry.

3. His Excellency the Governor in Council is further pleased, under
the authority vested in him by section 14 of the said Act, to appoint
the Collector of Kishna, for the time being, to be the Officer of the
Revenue Department, who shall hear appeals from the orders of
the Forest Settlement Officer passed under sections 11, 12 and 13
of the said Act.

[Signature]
District Officer
28-1-26

10

standby

REVENUE DEPARTMENT.

1897.

Board of Revenue

(Land Revenue),

MADRAS.


Printed June 23rd.

Proceedings, Forest No. 212, 28th May 1897.

MAY.

Submitting to Government, for approval and publication in the Fort St. George Gazette, draft notification under section 4 of the Madras Forest Act for the proposed constitution of Kandipad extension I block in the Sattenapalle taluk, Kistna district, as a reserved forest.

4/25/38 Jnb



2
F. R. 1326/97

E
Press
Please put this in type
Send proof copy
S. M.
S. M.

PROCEEDINGS OF THE BOARD OF REVENUE
(LAND REVENUE).

Read—again the following Proceedings of the Board of Revenue (Land Revenue), Forest Mis. No. 1475, dated 13th November 1896:—

Read—the following letter from J. N. ATKINSON, Esq., Collector of Kistna, to the Secretary to the Commissioners of Land Revenue (through the Conservator of Forests, Northern Circle), dated Masulipatam, 24th September 1896, C. No. 968, Forest:—

I have the honour to forward herewith draft notification, under section 4 of the Forest Act, for the reservation of Kandipad extension I, amounting to 761 acres.

2. It seems that the Hasanbad agharam western boundary has been surveyed in accordance with the stones on the map, but looking at the title-deeds and other accounts the Agharamdar does not seem entitled to the portion now included in the reserve, and it is not known how the survey came to be taken in the manner in which it has been.

3. The area was selected by Mr. Lushington in March 1894 and demarcated by Forester Subramanyam last May. It has not again been checked by the District Forest officer, but there should be no necessity for checking as it is so simple.

4. The forest growth is scrub like the main reserve, and these two reserves will be treated together with Bellamkonda, which is only about quarter mile off in the same working circle.

5. The extension would have been included with the original reserve, only the District Forest officer was wrongly informed on his first inspection as to the proprietorship of the land in question.

6. The statement of area and condition and certificate of demarcation are enclosed.

7. The area is all in unsurveyed portion and sufficient has been left out in adjoining villages for communal privileges.

ENCLOSURE No. 1.

Certificate.

Certified that Kandipad extension I block in Sattenapalle taluk in Kistna district was selected after a personal inspection by Mr. A. W. Lushington, District Forest officer, in March 1894, but the proprietorship was not found out until March 1896; it was preliminarily demarcated by Forester V. S. Subramanyam in May 1896, and that the block was not inspected by the District Forest officer nor the demarcation checked by him since it was demarcated.

(Signed) A. W. LUSHINGTON,
District Forest officer.

ENCLOSURE No. 2.

STATEMENT of area and condition.

Total area of the taluk.	Extent of occupied and unoccupied Government land in the taluk.	Area of reserves already notified under section 16.	Area of reserves under settlement.	Area of reserves submitted for notification under section 4.	Character of land proposed for reservation.	Sketch-map.
1	2	3	4	5	6	7
Sattenapalle .. 714	Occupied .. ACS. 286,876 Unoccupied. 144,685	ACS. 59,947	ACS. 7,336	Kandipad extension I. ACS. 761	Scrub jungle similar to main reserve.	Forms enclosure to Collector's letter, C. No. 968, dated 24th September 1896.

ENCLOSURE No. 3.—Draft notification.

ENCLOSURE No. 4.—Sketch-map.

Endorsement by A. W. PEET, Esq., Conservator of Forests, Northern Circle, dated Camp, Waltair, 5th October 1896, R. C. No. 690.

Forwarded.

Resolution—Forest Mis. No. 1475, dated 13th November 1896.

Before the Board can recommend the notification of the area as land at the disposal of Government, the Collector is requested to submit a report showing in much greater detail the reasons for believing that the surveyed boundary is incorrect and may now be challenged by Government.

(True Copy and Extract.)

(Signed) R. C. C. CARR,
Secretary.

To the Collector of Kistna (through the Conservator of Forests, Northern Circle), with four enclosures, returned.

Read—also the following letter from J. N. ATKINSON, Esq., Collector of Kistna, to the Secretary to the Commissioners of Land Revenue (through the Conservator of Forests, Northern Circle), dated Masulipatam, 18th April 1897, D. No. 2901, Forest:—

With reference to Board's Proceedings, Forest Mis. No. 1475, dated 13th November 1896, returning the papers connected with the proposal for reservation of Kandipad extension I block, I have the honour to report as follows.

2. As a rule it seems (judging from the Narasaraopet taluk map) that it is not usual for the Survey Department to make a separate survey of agharam villages when they adjoin blocks of hills, and it is not understood why such a survey should have been carried out in the Sattenapalle taluk.

3. As a matter of fact, it is very unlikely that any such survey was carried out, for had there been a survey, there must surely have been demarcation; but no trace thereof or of any survey stations are now visible. I may add that a former Forest Settlement officer M. R. Ry. D. Jagannadha Rao declined to accept the boundaries of the adjoining agharam of Vannayapalem as shown in the survey plan to be correct. When settling Bellamkonda reserve he handed over to the Agharamdar a part of what the survey plan showed to be outside the agharam limits. Then there are these curious facts with regard to Hasanbad Agharam. Ram's register, compiled sometime between the years 1794 and 1800, which was the standard record previous to the Inam Fair register, gives the extent of Hasanbad agharam as 833.29 acres. The Inam Fair register shows that the inam was measured previous to grant of title-deed, and the area then found was 1,016.30 acres, i.e., 183.01 acres, or nearly 22 per cent, more than in Mr. Ram's time. And now we have a plan put forward which makes the area 1,410.77 acres, or 38 per cent. more than at the time of grant of title-deed and nearly 70 per cent. more than in Mr. Ram's time.

4. The area selected for Kandipad extension I takes in the whole of the area shown in the taluk map as unsurveyed hill No. 31 and part of what is then shown as Hasanbad No. 59.

Government {1897} of Madras. REVENUE.

4 BOARD OF REVENUE (LAND REVENUE), FOREST No. 212, 28TH MAY 1897.

5. This boundary adopted excludes in what is shown in that map as Hasanbad village—an area *exceeding* the area granted at the time of settlement which latter area was properly measured. It may be assumed on the one hand, therefore, that none of the proposed extension belongs to Hasanbad.

6. On the other hand an examination of the old village records—paimash accounts—of the Government villages Perikipad and Dodleru shows that an area, considerably exceeding that shown as unsurveyed hill No. 31, belongs to them on the side of that, unsurveyed hill No. 31 and Hasanbad. It is clear also that the southern boundary of Dodleru, instead of running in a more or less straight line west-north-west, should take a bend southwards just about the point selected by the District Forest officer for the starting point of the eastern boundary of the proposed extension.

7. It may therefore be assumed, on the other hand, that the whole of the land included in the proposed extension is the property of Government, and, as a *prima facie* case has been made out, the land may be notified under section 4 of the Forest Act, and the onus of disproving the Government title will therefore lie with the Agraharamdar, if he chooses to do so.

ENCLOSURES Nos. 1 to 4.—Certificate, &c.

Endorsement by A. W. PEET, Esq., Conservator of Forests, Northern Circle,
dated Waltair, 3rd May 1897, R. C. No. 2158.

Forwarded.

Recd.

Enclosures

Regd.

1897.

Spare copies

G.O., No. 541, 8th July 1897.

JULY.

RESOLUTION—Forest No. 212, dated 28th May 1897.

In his letter disposed of in the Proceedings read above, the Collector submitted proposals under section 4 for Kandipad extension I, including within its limits a portion of the surveyed boundary of the Hasanbad agraharam, and reported that the portion in question was left out of the main reserve by the District Forest officer for want of correct information as to its proprietorship. He also added that the Agraharamdar does not seem entitled to this portion according to the title-deeds and other accounts, and questioned the correctness of the survey boundary. The Board then requested the Collector to report in detail the reasons for believing that the surveyed boundary of the agraharam in question was incorrect. The Collector now reports, on a detailed examination of the Ram's register and the Inam Fair register of the agraharam, and of the old village records of Perikipad and Dodleru villages, that it may be assumed that the whole of the land comprised within the proposed extension is the property of Government, and therefore proposes to notify the land under section 4, leaving the onus of disproving the Government title on the Agraharamdar. In these circumstances, the Board is inclined to support the Collector's proposal, and accordingly submits to Government the draft notification in respect of the block for approval and publication in the *Fort St. George Gazette*.

(True Copies and Extract.)

Acting Secretary.

To the Secretary to Government, Revenue Department, with
draft notifications (one original and two printed) and a map, to be returned.
" Collector of Kistna.
" Conservator of Forests, Northern Circle.
" Forest Settlement officer, Kistna, &c., districts.

Exd. A F. Eccleston.

Reserved Forest.

The draft notification, under section 4 of the Madras Forest Act, for the proposed constitution of the Kandipad Extension No. I block, in the Sattenapalle taluk, as a —, ordered to be published in the Fort St. George and the Kistna District Gazettes.

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS.

REVENUE DEPARTMENT.

Read—the following Proceedings of the Board of Revenue (Land Revenue), Forest No. 212, dated 28th May 1897:—

Read—again the following Proceedings of the Board of Revenue (Land Revenue), Forest Mis. No. 1475, dated 13th November 1896:—

Read—the following letter from J. N. ATKINSON, Esq., Collector of Kistna, to the Secretary to the Commissioners of Land Revenue (through the Conservator of Forests, Northern Circle), dated Masulipatam, 24th September 1896, C. No. 968, Forest:—

I have the honour to forward herewith draft notification, under section 4 of the Forest Act, for the reservation of Kandipad extension I, amounting to 761 acres.

2. It seems that the Hasanbad aghaharam western boundary has been surveyed in accordance with the stones on the map, but looking at the title-deeds and other accounts the Aghaharamdar does not seem entitled to the portion now included in the reserve, and it is not known how the survey came to be taken in the manner in which it has been.

3. The area was selected by Mr. Lushington in March 1894 and demarcated by Forester Subramanyam last May. It has not again been checked by the District Forest officer, but there should be no necessity for checking as it is so simple.

4. The forest growth is scrub like the main reserve, and these two reserves will be treated together with Bellamkonda, which is only about quarter mile off in the same working circle.

5. The extension would have been included with the original reserve, only the District Forest officer was wrongly informed on his first inspection as to the proprietorship of the land in question.

6. The statement of area and condition and certificate of demarcation are enclosed.

7. The area is all in unsurveyed portion and sufficient has been left out in adjoining villages for communal privileges.

ENCLOSURE No. 1.

Certificate.

Certified that Kandipad extension I block in Sattenapalle taluk in Kistna district was selected after a personal inspection by Mr. A. W. Lushington, District Forest officer, in March 1894, but the proprietorship was not found out until March 1896; it was preliminarily demarcated by Forester V. S. Subramanyam in May 1896, and that the block was not inspected by the District Forest officer nor the demarcation checked by him since it was demarcated.

(Signed) A. W. LUSHINGTON,
District Forest officer.

ENCLOSURE No. 2.

STATEMENT of area and condition.

Total area of the taluk.	Extent of occupied and unoccupied Government land in the taluk.	Area of reserves already notified under section 16.	Area of reserves under settlement.	Area of reserves submitted for notification under section 4.	Character of land proposed for reservation.	Sketch-map.
1	2	3	4	5	6	7
Sattenapalle .. 714	Occupied .. 286,876 Unoccupied. 144,685	ACS. 59,947	ACS. 7,336	Kandipad extension I. 761	Scrub jungle similar to main reserve.	Forms enclosure to Collector's letter, C. No. 968, dated 24th September 1896.

ENCLOSURE No. 3.—Draft notification.

ENCLOSURE No. 4.—Sketch-map.

Endorsement by A. W. PEET, Esq., Conservator of Forests, Northern Circle, dated Camp, Waltair, 5th October 1896, R. C. No. 690.

Forwarded.

Resolution—Forest Mis. No. 1475, dated 13th November 1896.

Before the Board can recommend the notification of the area as land at the disposal of Government, the Collector is requested to submit a report showing in much greater detail the reasons for believing that the surveyed boundary is incorrect and may now be challenged by Government.

(True Copy and Extract.)

(Signed) R. C. C. CARR,
Secretary.

To the Collector of Kistna (through the Conservator of Forests, Northern Circle), with four enclosures, returned.

Read—also the following letter from J. N. ATKINSON, Esq., Collector of Kistna, to the Secretary to the Commissioners of Land Revenue (through the Conservator of Forests, Northern Circle), dated Masulipatam, 18th April 1897, D. No. 2901, Forest:—

With reference to Board's Proceedings, Forest Mis. No. 1475, dated 13th November 1896, returning the papers connected with the proposal for reservation of Kandipad extension I block, I have the honour to report as follows.

2. As a rule it seems (judging from the Narasaraopet taluk map) that it is not usual for the Survey Department to make a separate survey of aghaharam villages when they adjoin blocks of hills, and it is not understood why such a survey should have been carried out in the Sattenapalle taluk.

3. As a matter of fact, it is very unlikely that any such survey was carried out, for had there been a survey, there must surely have been demarcation; but no trace thereof or of any survey stations are now visible. I may add that a former Forest Settlement officer M.R.Ry. D. Jagannadha Rao declined to accept the boundaries of the adjoining aghaharam of Vannayapalem as shown in the survey plan to be correct.

When settling Bellamkonda reserve he handed over to the Agraharamdar a part of what the survey plan showed to be outside the agraharam limits. Then there are these curious facts with regard to Hasanbad Agraharam. Ram's register, compiled sometime between the years 1794 and 1800, which was the standard record previous to the Inam Fair register, gives the extent of Hasanbad agraharam as 833.29 acres. The Inam Fair register shows that the inam was measured previous to grant of title-deed, and the area then found was 1,016.30 acres, *i.e.*, 183.01 acres, or nearly 22 per cent., more than in Mr. Ram's time. And now we have a plan put forward which makes the area 1,410.77 acres, or 38 per cent. more than at the time of grant of title-deed and nearly 70 per cent. more than in Mr. Ram's time.

4. The area selected for Kandipad extension I takes in the whole of the area shown in the taluk map as unsurveyed hill No. 31 and part of what is then shown as Hasanbad No. 59.

5. This boundary adopted excludes in what is shown in that map as Hasanbad village—an area *exceeding* the area granted at the time of settlement which latter area was properly measured. It may be assumed on the one hand, therefore, that none of the proposed extension belongs to Hasanbad.

6. On the other hand an examination of the old village records—paimash accounts—of the Government villages Perikipad and Dodleru shows that an area, considerably exceeding that shown as unsurveyed hill No. 31, belongs to them on the side of that unsurveyed hill No. 31 and Hasanbad. It is clear also that the southern boundary of Dodleru, instead of running in a more or less straight line west-north-west, should take a bend southwards just about the point selected by the District Forest officer for the starting point of the eastern boundary of the proposed extension.

7. It may therefore be assumed, on the other hand, that the whole of the land included in the proposed extension is the property of Government, and, as a *prima facie* case has been made out, the land may be notified under section 4 of the Forest Act, and the onus of disproving the Government title will therefore lie with the Agraharamdar, if he chooses to do so.

ENCLOSURES Nos. 1 to 4.—Certificate, &c.

Endorsement by A. W. PEET, Esq., Conservator of Forests, Northern Circle, dated Waltair, 3rd May 1897, R. C. No. 2158.

Forwarded.

Resolution—Forest No. 212, dated 28th May 1897.

In his letter disposed of in the Proceedings read above, the Collector submitted proposals under section 4 for Kandipad extension I, including within its limits a portion of the surveyed boundary of the Hasanbad agraharam, and reported that the portion in question was left out of the main reserve by the District Forest officer for want of correct information as to its proprietorship. He also added that the Agraharamdar does not seem entitled to this portion according to the title-deeds and other accounts, and questioned the correctness of the survey boundary. The Board then requested the Collector to report in detail the reasons for believing that the surveyed boundary of the agraharam in question was incorrect. The Collector now reports, on a detailed examination of the Ram's register and the Inam Fair register of the agraharam, and of the old village records of Perikipad and Dodleru villages, that it may be assumed that the whole of the land comprised within the proposed extension is the property of Government, and therefore proposes to notify the land under section 4, leaving the onus of disproving the Government title on the Agraharamdar. In these circumstances, the Board is inclined to support the Collector's

proposal, and accordingly submits to Government the draft notification in respect of the block for approval and publication in the *Fort St. George Gazette*.

(True Copies and Extract.)

(Signed) N. S. BRODIE,
Acting Secretary.

To the Secretary to Government, Revenue Department,
with draft notifications (one original and two printed),
and a map to be returned.

" Collector of Kistna.
" Conservator of Forests, Northern Circle.
" Forest Settlement-officer, Kistna, &c., districts.

Order—No. 541, Revenue, dated 8th July 1897.

Forest No. 116.

The draft notification is approved
and will be published in the *Fort St.
George and Kistna District Gazettes*.

(True Extract.)

(Signed) G. STOKES,
Ag. Secretary to Government.

To the Board of Revenue (Land Revenue), with map.
" the Superintendent, Government Press, with notification.

NOTIFICATION.

His Excellency the Governor in Council is pleased to notify, under section 4 of the Madras Forest Act (V of 1882), that it is proposed to constitute the block of land described in the schedule hereto annexed "reserved forest" under the Act.

SCHEDULE.

District.	Taluk.	Block.		Situation and Boundaries.
		Number.	Name.	
Kistna.	Sattenapalle.	180	Kandipad Extension No. 1.	SITUATION.—Adjoining Kandipad reserve (No. 137) on the east and about one mile south of Perikepadu village. BOUNDARIES.— ~~North~~ From the north-east corner of Kandipad proposed reserve (No. 137) a point on the Perikepadu surveyed boundary between Perikepadu, Dodleru and unsurveyed Hill field No. 89 of Dodleru. ~~South~~ Thence along the northern surveyed boundary of Vannayapalem till it meets the eastern boundary of Kandipad reserve (No. 137). ~~West~~ Thence a straight line south-west to a survey stone in Vannayapalem northern surveyed boundary nine thousand one hundred and twenty links due south of the village boundary stone situated in the south side of village boundary, along that boundary to the junction of the boundary of Vannayapalem till it meets the eastern boundary of Kandipad reserve (No. 137); then along Dodleru southern surveyed boundary to the south-east corner of survey No. 72 of Dodleru. ~~East~~ Thence a straight line south-west to a survey stone in Vannayapalem northern surveyed boundary nine thousand one hundred and twenty links due south of the village boundary stone situated in the south side of village boundary, along that boundary to the junction of the boundary of Vannayapalem till it meets the eastern boundary of Kandipad reserve (No. 137).

2. His Excellency the Governor in Council is further pleased, under clause (c) of the said section, to appoint Mr. E. A. Smith to be the Forest Settlement-officer, who shall inquire into and determine the existence, nature and extent of any right claimed by or alleged to exist in favour of, any person in or over the land comprised within such limits or to any forest produce of such land, and deal with the same as provided in Chapter II of the said Act; and the District Forest-officer, Kistna, for the time being, to attend on behalf of Government during the enquiry.

3. His Excellency the Governor in Council is further pleased, under the authority vested in him by section 14 of the said Act, to appoint the Collector of Kistna, for the time being, to be the officer of the Revenue Department, who shall hear appeals from the orders of the Forest Settlement-officer passed under sections 11, 12 and 13 of the said Act.

Exd. Shaik Karim.

25 Copies.

Cancelled
(vide CN.
37/18/100)