Revenue (1897) Department.

MISCELLANEOUS SERIES.

G.O., No. 4718

DATED 8 Sept^r

[Despatch Abstract.]

Agreeing with the Board of Rev^e and the Coll^r in considering that the intervention of the court of wards is unnecessary in the management of the &c Nellipalam Estate, Malabar Dist.

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O	No	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

Revenue (1897) Department.

CURRENT No.

The Honble Mr J Grose C.I.E

Order Mis no 4718 dt 8 Sept 97.

J.G
7/9/97

The Govt agree with the Board and the Collr in considering that the intervention of the Court of Wards is unnecessary

ff 9/97.

To the Board of Revenue (L.R.) with yeno relt

7-9-11-Sept

L.R.
31 aug 97

Note Please see para 6 of the B.P; there is a joint owner who is in possession of the property and she is major. The Govt doubt whether it has power to interfere

From

The Board of Revenue, Land Revenue Madras

No. 3083 Mis.

Dated 18th August 1897

Received

Registered

Enclosures

Spare copies.

SUBJECT.

Subng. for the orders of Govt.—letters from the Collr. of Nilgiris — regarding the Neliyalam estate & expressing the opinion that the intervention of the Court of Wards is unnecessary in this case.

e 2/9/97

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.
	1078		
	779		
	4050		
	2157		10/8/97.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

8,000
10-12-96.

REVENUE BOARD OFFICE. MADRAS.

Proceedings of the Board of Revenue

(Land Revenue)

NO.3083 Mis.dated 18th August 1897.

Read the following papers.

(1). Again Board's Proceedings No.5178 Mis.dated 17th Novr.1896, recording with remark letters from the Collr.of Nilgiris reporting - the death of Murugendra Rajah, one of the two proprietors of the -- Neliyalam Extate.

(2). ~~Also~~ Again Board's Progs.No.5480 Mis.dated 10th Decr.1896, recording G.O.recording pending further report Board's Progs.recording letter from the Collr.of Nilgiris re: the death of Murugendra Raja, one of the two proprietors of the Neliyalam Estate.

(3). ~~Also~~ Again Board's Progs.No.980 Routine dated 2nd.April 1897, communicating to the Collr.of Nilgiris for early attention reminder --- from Govt.regarding the further report promised in regard to the - management of the Neliyalam estate.

(4). Again Board's Progs.NO.1815 Mis.dated 18th May 1897 recording with remarks letter from the Collr.of Nilgiris reporting that the District Court has appointed a guardian for the minor son of the - late Murugendra Raja, joint proprietor of the Neliyalam estate.

(5). Again Board's Progs.No.2040 Mis.dated 3rd June 1897 recording G.O.recording Board's Progs.recording with remarks letter from the Collr.of Nilgiris reporting that the District Court has appointed a guardian for the minor son of the late Murugendra Raja, joint pro prietor of the Neliyalam estate.

(6). Letter from J.H.A.Tremenheere Esq., Collector of Nilgiris to - the Secretary to the Commissioners of Land Revenue, Madras R.C.No. 1338-96, dated 2nd.August 1897.

Resolution.

Resolution.

The papers read above will be submitted to Government with reference to G.Os.No. ~~188~~ 4988 Rev.dated 7th December 1896 and NO.1018 - Rev.dated 26th May 1897.

2. It will be seen from Court's Proceedings NO.2055 dated - 5th December 1894 that the Neliyalam estate in the Nilgiri District ___ which had been under the Court's management was handed over to Belliah alias Murugendra Rajah and to Bowrammal in accordance with a compromise decree passed by the Sub.Court of Ootacamund in the interpleader suit - filed with reference to Board's Proceedings No.217 dated 11th May 1892.

3. Belliah died in September last leaving a widow aged 16 - years, a minor son two years old and a minor daughter 6 months old.

4. At the instance of the members of the family the District Judge of Coimbatore has appointed the joint-owner Bowrammal above re___ ferred to as the guardian of the minor's property and his mother as the guardian of his person under Act VIII of 1890 (India)

5. In these circumstances the Board agrees with the Collector that ~~the~~ the interference of the Court of Wards is unnecessary in this case. It is indeed doubtful whether the Court of Wards would have power to interfere, the property being in the possession of a joint owner.

(True Extract)

Secretary.

TO.

The Secretary to Government, Revenue Department with Collr's.letter & 6.enclosures to be returned.

Q.B.

When given for copying ____
When copied ____
When examined ____ } 189.
When signed ____

READ AGAIN G.O., No 189 DATED

CURRENT No.

ENCLOSURES TO O. No.

ORDER OF GOVERNMENT	A	A	A	A	A	A	A
LETTER OF GOVERNMENT.							
STATEMENT WITH DITTO.							
PAPERS NOT FILED UP, BEING MEANT FOR DESPATCH IN ORIGINAL.							

N.B.—
A = Copy in full.
B = Not to be copied.
P = Copy abstract only.
Q = Copy heading only