Revenue (189/) Department.

MISCELLANEOUS SERIES.

G.O., No. 465

DATED 26 January 1897

[Despatch Abstract.]

Stating that Syedan Murtuza Khan Saib, Saidapet, Vellore, should produce Copies of Collectors ~~of~~ orders on his petn. dy 13 P. 2282 No. dy 16 May 96

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

Public (1896) Department.

CURRENT No.

Sent to Bd. of R.

Dy 26/1

CHIEF SECRETARY GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS 4 JAN 1897
SECR. GOVT. MADRAS RECD. DEPT.

From M. Stuart Esqr. I.C.S.
Private Secretary to
H. E. The Governor.

Order Mis No. 465 dy 26th Jany 1897.

No.

The petitioner should produce copies of the collector's order ~~on his petition~~ and of B.R. No 2202 mis dated 18th May 1896.

Dy 27/1

Dated 29th December 1896.
Received 6th January 1897.
Registered 7th
Enclosures 5.
Spare copies —

To the petitioner. I cannot see any mention of the D.R. dy 4.2.97 + Cannot we write him for it. KD 21/1/97 + Cannot we write him for it. Is it relevant & necessary to be answered in any case? If so, should we write to call for it at once?

Dy 28.1.97

please see
the ~~petition~~ sheet
flagged 7. The B.R. quoted in
is the original order
of the Board, which presumably
sets out the Board's reasons
for rejecting the petitioner's
petition. In that case no
report from the Board will be
needed KD 25/1/97

the draft
dy

SUBJECT.

Transfers a petition dy 28th Dec 1896 from Ghulam Murtaza Khan Sahib, Saidapet, Vellore praying that a pension may be granted to him, or that certain topes planted by his forefathers in the Taluq of Polur may be restored to him.

By order,

H. D'Cruz
Private Secretary

Dy 1/2/97
7/2/1897

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

To,

His Excellency The Right Honour
Sir Arthur Havelock
Governor-in-Council
of Fort St. Geo
and its Dependencies,
Madras.

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS
17 MAY 97
REVENUE DEPT

PETITION
No. 11
REVENUE DEPT

The humble petition of
Ghulam Murtuza Kh
great grandson of Jaffe
Alli Khan, Jaghirdar
of Polur,

Most Respectfully Sheweth:—

That your Excellency's Petit
most submissively begs to state that he prefers
his claim for the restoration of Topes held in
Inam in the Taluk of Polur on 18th Novem
1889 (Vide Copy of the printed petition enclosed).
He was then ordered by the then General Duty
Deputy Collector to produce witnesses in support
of his claim. Accordingly he produced vouche
and respectable witnesses who proved beyond
doubt that the Topes in question were planted
by his forefathers. In spite of both documente
and oral evidence, the then Deputy Collector provided
your Excellency's Petitioner with an Endorsement
noted in the margin.

That your Excellency's Petitioner
subsequently renewed his claim under more
tangible grounds as is spoken of by the Tahsildar
of Polur in his report Dis No 85 of 1895 to the
Collector of N. Arcot. But the latter
without undergoing into the merits of the strong
recommendation made by the Tahsildar per-
mitted him to put up the Topes to auction

Order.

The Petitioner is informed that the undersigned sees no efficient grounds to make over the Baghayats in question to him on patta as prayed for.

2. The petition is dismissed.

Sd/ K. Narayana Iyer
Asg: Depty. Collector.

Camp Wandiwash,
20th July 1891.

To,
The Petitioner.

s permanent holdings subject to taram assess-
ment (vide Copy enclosed).

That Petitioner subsequently
appealed to the Board of Revenue against
the decision arrived at by the Collector, but
they in their Endorsement No 4300 Mis dated
18th September 1896 declined to grant his request.

That it has been acknowledged
by the local authorities that the Sopes in question
are of Nawab origin. Traces of such a
consideration are found in Adungal and
settlement accounts of the village, and
such being the state of things they have
granted 3 of the Sopes to some Brahmins
and Hindus in patta as if they had been
their ancestral property. Such an act
of the local authorities is itself open to
objection.

That Petitioner now depending
entirely upon your Lordship's mercy and equity
has addressed this petition with a confidence
hope that your Lordship will be pleased
to call for all the records from the General Duty
Deputy Collector, Arni and decide the
case according to its merits.

For which act of kindness,
Petitioner, as in duty bound, shall ever pray
for your Lordship's long life, sound health
and bright prosperity.

3/
Ept 373/97

Vellore,
23rd December 1896.

Petr 28/12/97

علاؤ الدین خان

Signature of Ghulam
Murthuza Khan.

To

His Excellency The Right Honourable
Sir Arthur Havelock
Governor of Fort St.
George.
Madras.

The humble petition of
Ghulam Murthuza
Khan, great grand
of Mohamed Ali
Khan, elder brother
of Nawab Chan
sahob, residing in
Saidapet, Vellore

Most Respectfully Sheweth:—

That Petitioner humbly begs
to represent that by virtue of the treaty entered
into between the English and the French on
6th of January 1761 the British Government con-
cluded and received in their support the membe
of the family of the said Nawab Chanda sa
and in pursuance of the terms of the treaty they
brought with them Khairunnisa Begum wife
of Nawab Chanda sahob and left her to reside
in Madras in the building of one Sukkaram
Chetty on a monthly pension of one thousand
pagodas.

That after her demise none
of her heirs represented their claims for the con-
tinuation of the same, since they had much to
maintain themselves.

That Petitioner is the only
surviving heir for the enjoyment of a portion of the
pension enjoyed by the said Khairunnisa Begum
and as what he had to depend upon having be

RECEIVED
8 MAR 97
ASSISTANT CLERK
NORTH ARCOT

Jo,

PETITION
9126
REVENUE DEPT

The Under Secretary
to the Government of Madras,
Revenue Department,
Madras.

RECD GOVT MADRAS
19 FEB 97
REV. DEPT

Most Respected Sir,

With reference to your honour's Endorsement No 465 dated 26th January 1897 Calling on me to produce a Copy of the Collector's Order on my petition and of Board's Proceedings No 2282 dated 18th May 1896 I beg to state that the Collector's Order and the Proceedings of the Board No 4300 Mis dated 18th September 1896 were transmitted by me per book post registered to the address of the Private Secretary to His Excellency The Governor of Madras along with my petition. No such Proceedings No. 2282 Mis dated 18th May 1896 were passed by the Board nor they are in my possession. I sanguinely hope that during the disposal of my case the Report of the Tahsildar of Polur Taluk and the document submitted by me which the said Tahsildar alludes to in the said Report will be taken into Consideration. I further beg to add that the Collector of North Arcot and the Commissioner of Land Revenue were pleased to dispose of my case without undergoing into its merits, although it is evident that a Special Concession be necessarily granted to my
and humble request.

For which act of Kindness, I shall, as in duty bound, ever pray for your honour's long life and prosperity. I beg to remain

Respected Sir,
your most obedient Servant,
Sd/- V.S.
Signature of Ghoolam Moortheza Saiabeb

Vellore,
8th February
1897.

4/ 5/3/97

11/ 7/8/97

en copied	189
en examined	
n igned	

G.O., No. DATED

O

D

o C. No.

Order of Government	A	A	A	A	A	A	A
...ITER OF GOVERNMENT.							
STATEMENT WITH DITTO.							
...APERS NOT FILED UP, BEING MEANT FOR DESPATCH IN ORIGINAL.							

N.B.—
A = Copy in full.
B = Not to be copied.
P = Copy abstract only.
Q = Copy heading only.