

Revenue (1897) Department.

MISCELLANEOUS SERIES.

G J., No. 4562

DATED 31 Aug

[Despatch Abstract.]

Considering that her Laminar
remarks ^{in connection with} the Conduct of officers in charge of certain
relief works in Sanjam ^{are} objectionable

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

Public Works (1897) Department.

CURRENT No. 8283

J

Order

No. 11462, d/17th August 1897

Transferred to

the Revenue Department
with reference to para 4
of G.O. No. 517 Revenue dated
2nd July 1897

From J.C. Larmine Esq.
Suptg. Engineer, P.W.D.
To The Chief Engineer, P.W.D.

No. 420 G.N.

J.H. Campbell Col, R.E.
Secretary to Government.

Dated 9.8.97.

Received 14.8.97

Enclosures 2-4

Spare copies

For orders.

Please see para 4 of G.O. ⁵¹⁷₂₋₇₋₉₇

the P.W.D. forwards certain remarks
with reference to the observations
made by the collector of Ganjam in
his fortnightly narrative (page 9) ^{enclosed}
the above G.O. on the conduct of the
officers in charge of the relief

SUBJECT.

Collector's fortnightly narrative
of Ganjam District for the period
ending 15th May 1897 - Extracts
Copy of Ganjam Divisional Officer's
letter with remarks on the —

O.R. 5385

7 am. ant
14/8

HC

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.
790	D	12-7-27	

FURTHER PAPERS.

[illegible]

2421

3 middle
man

9.6

Remarks made by the Collector
Vice page 9 of B. No 422 of 8.6.97

Test work at joint
overseer Gopal Ch. Dey.

14) The overseer seemed to be actuated more by fear of his own body health than by care for the people under his control, and on my leaving, told the Deputy Subdivisional that he would stop the work as he was unwell.

What?

(b) As arrangement has been made for payment of dependents.

Relief works at Daigam and
Atagata.
In pr. Sub-Collector E. Hasan.

At Daigam and Atagata proper arrangements had not been made for water supply to the gaupat work though street works on the subject had previously been completed.

Action taken by the P.W. S.
20.5.97
2777

The overseer was very sick and had obtained leave of absence from the Collector himself for a day (12.4.97) to go down to Bishampur and see the doctor. He returned to duty by train the same evening, and did not require any further leave.

Mr. Lacey, the Sub-Div. officer in his no. 7652 of 9.7.97 reported upon this remark as follows:-
"The statement is not strictly correct and I am surprised at the Collector making such a statement founded on a precipitate report from his Deputy Sub-Divisionary." The overseer was not clear about the payment & management of dependents, and this was explained to him at the time of the joint inspection of the work by the Executive Engineer. Mr. Lacey made on 29.5.97. Vice inspection note.

Daigam Relief Work:- At the Executive Engineer's inspection on 20.5.97 it was noticed that wells had been constructed near the work when first commenced & that other wells were being dug - water was being distributed in the usual way.

Atagata Relief Work:- At the Executive Engineer's inspection on 25.5.97, it was noticed that water was being brought from a well at Atagata less than half a mile distant, and distributed in the usual way through troughs of split bamboo. A well was also being sunk in the neighborhood of the work - 4 coolies were engaged in carrying water.

Mr. Morrison
Executive Engineer,
Gaujam Division.

2777
2/2/97

If this is the kind of thing that has been done while the Sub-Divisionary is away, then our Department will be well circumstanced when under recent orders, I have known two Sub-Divisionaries in the same old & new hand even the impression that this was a matter entirely for the Executive Engineer to handle all payments are made by them & had frequently been advised by the Executive Engineer always are frequent.

Collector eventually expected to find well away at a rapid rate.

JCL received
S/E 11
9/8/97

But the farmer was afraid his stock would be mired why were no arrangements made for more than two months.

0 latter half of April

Joint meeting of

Daigam & Atagata

P.T.O

No: 44 SE
F

Gauguin Division Office Camp
Russumkandah D/ 31 July 1897.

From

W.C. DeMorgan Esq.
Executive Engineer, Gauguin D.

To

The Superintending Engineer
1st Circle, Waltair

Sir,

With reference to 700D dated 12th 27,
I have the honour to forward my remarks
on Collector's Fortnightly narrative, Gauguin
District for period ending 15th May 1897.

I have etc

(sd) W.C. DeMorgan
Exec Engineer

No: 4206.N. dated 9th August 1897
Gauguin D.

Copy submitted to the Chief Engineer,
P.R. Department with enclosures and remarks
by the undersigned.

J. C. Lawrence
Asst Engineer 1st Circle
10/8
1897.

025.4
828 3/57

x Letter half of march	collectors visit at	Dauguin & J. ...
o Letter half april		

C No. 7095

From

- 1 The Hon Mr. Goss. C.S.E
- 2 The Hon Sir H. Bliss. K.C.I.E.
- 3 H.E. the Governor

H.D

Dated

189

FOR ORDERS.

Please see para 4 of G.O. 517
2-7-97
The P.W.D forwards the remarks
of the Executive and the Deputy
Engineer on the observations of the
Collector of Tanjore on the conduct
of the officers in charge of the
relief works at Jorai, Dayjore &
Abagada.

Jorai. The collector's remarks
based on ~~the~~ the report
of the Dy. Subildar is stated to
be not strictly correct.

In regard to absence of ar-
rangements for payments to depen-
dents it is explained that the
overseer in charge was not clear
as to ~~the~~ the management
and payment of dependants
and that the matter was set right
at the next visit of the ~~the~~ ^{freemason} ~~the~~ ^{freemason}

Engineers,
Dayjore & Abagada
P.T.O

opened in the latter
half of April

Collector's visit 11th May

The collector's remarks is not based on
what the Mr. Bliss told him and it
does not appear in what respect it is
incorrect. But Larminier's remarks
are most objectionable in tone and
I submit call for censure.

It is Mr. D. M. Goss who says the
statement is not correct & found to be
a precipitate report for the 7th Sep.
Mr. Larminier's comment is flippant
& No. August Mr. Leary

- x Letter half of March } collector's
- o Letter half April } visit

at neither place had proper arrangements been made at the time of the collector's visit for making supply. ~~It~~ seems to be admitted ~~through the collector~~ that ^{the} arrangements originally made were found to be insufficient and that new wells were being sunk. Considering that the wells were visited by the collector two months and one month respectively after they were opened, Mr Lamine's ^{slippant} ~~slippant~~ remark that "the collector evidently expected wells to be ready at a day's notice" seems hardly justifiable.

3. For orders as to whether any further action is necessary ^{whether} or the papers may be recorded.

RS
19-8-97
I submit Mr Lamine's remarks that his remarks are objectionable in tone harsh and unbecoming an officer in his position. I have had little to do with Mr Lamine officially ~~otherwise~~ but directly but I imagine that he is a truly rather fighting officer who wants nothing right. If the spirit which these remarks is not put down with a strong hand the administration will suffer.

Yes, the remarks about being "two masters" & the collector's position were in expecting wells to be ready at a day's notice seems curious.

21/8/97
22/8/97

FOR ORDERS.

20/8/97

C. No 7095

C. No 4562 d/31 Aug 97

Considering that the Daygan work was inspected by the collector nearly two months after it was started there is no justification for Mr Lamine's ~~slippant~~ remarks that the "collector evidently expected to find wells ready at a day's notice". The C. E. will inform Mr Lamine that this remark and the ^{slippant} ~~slippant~~ observation about P.W. subordinates having to serve two masters in the opinion of Govt are objectionable in tone, harsh, and unbecoming an officer in the position of a Subdy. Engineer (List from orders)

21/8/97
the C. E.
the Lamine Commr
21/8/97

RS
26-8-97

The P.W. for Counter initials

When given for copying _____
When copied _____
When examined _____
When signed _____ } 189

READ AGAIN G.O., No. _____ DATED _____
189

COPY No. _____

ENCLOSURES TO G. No. _____

ORDER OF GOVERNMENT A A A A A A A

LETTER OF GOVERNMENT.

STATEMENT WITH DITTO.

PAPERS NOT FILED UP, BEING MEANT FOR DESPATCH
IN ORIGINAL.

H.F.—
A = Copy in full.
B = Not to be copied.
P = Copy abstract only.
Q = Copy heading only