Revenue (1897) Department.

MISCELLANEOUS SERIES.

G.O., No. 454
& 455

DATED 25 Jany 1897

[Despatch Abstract.]

Referring the question of opening a register of trade marks at each principal Customs House for the orders of the Govt. of India.

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

Revenue (1896) Department.

CURRENT No. 9089



From The Secretary to the Commissioners of Salt, Abkari and Separate Revenue.

No. 4991 Mis.

Order

Dated 3rd December 1896.

Received 12-12-96

Registered 12-12-96

Enclosures

Spare copies

SUBJECT

Submitting to Government with remarks, letter from the Collector of Sea Customs Madras suggesting that the fact that Registration of trade marks has been allowed in Madras might be brought to the notice of the Government of India

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.
	1220	Rev	25-3-96
	625		14-7-91

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

Copy of letter from the Govt of Bengal, Revenue & in forwarding Govt Circular Orders letter, Collector &c

7/10

1,000
19-4-95.

REVENUE BOARD OFFICE
MADRAS

Proceedings of the Board of Revenue

(Separate Revenue),

Dated 3rd December, 1896

No. 4991 Mis

Read the following letter from the Collector of Sea Customs, Madras. No. 5926 G. dated 10th November 1896.

"I have the honour to refer the following for the orders of the Board

In April 1891, the Government of India put forward certain proposals in connection with the registration of trade marks at the principal Custom Houses in India and called for an expression of the views of the Local Governments and the Chambers of Commerce Madras, Bombay, Calcutta and Rangoon

(as

* Vide Government of India letter No 2, 1584, dated 15th April 1891 printed in Board's Proceedings No 3569 (SR), dated 14th August 1891.

x & c on G.O. 625 Rev 14-7-91

(as representing the mercantile Community) as to the expediency of the measure, adding that if the proposal commended itself, the instructions for the opening of a register would be given as an executive order and not as a rule under the law regulating Merchandise marks.

3. This office in reporting on the proposal remarked that the introduction of such a scheme would be useful; the Madras Chamber of Commerce also approved of the proposals generally. The Board and Government concurred in the views expressed by this office and the Government of India was addressed accordingly by the Madras Government. vide Correspondence printed in Board's Proceedings No 35 [†]9 (SR), dated 14th August 1891, but no orders appear to have been issued by the Government

† On G.O. 6 S- 14-7-91

Government of India formally authorizing the adoption of the Scheme.

4. On the 21st November, 1895, Mr. Skrine, the Collector of Customs, Calcutta, issued a notice for the registration of trade marks, apparently as an executive order, and with the approval of Government* a similar notice was issued by this Office. From the accompanying copy of a letter[x] which has been furnished to me by Mr E. A. Baker, the present customs Collector, Calcutta, it will be observed that the Government of Bengal considers that Skrine's action in issuing the circular of November 1895 improper, especially as the Scheme was considered by that Government in 1891 and abandoned at the instance of the Mercantile community. No such objection has

* Vide Board's Proceedings No 6(SR) dated 30th March 1896.

x From the Secretary to the Government of Bengal, Financial Department, to the Board of Revenue Bengal, No 1648 SR dated 25th August 1896.

Copy below C.P. 353/97

has however been raised either by the chamber or Mercantile Community here. Several Trade Marks have already been registered by this office and the prescribed fees collected.

5. As uniformity of practice at the principal Customs ports has so frequently been urged by the Government of India and the adoption of the scheme has never been specifically sanctioned by that Government, I beg to suggest that the point be referred and the orders obtained for the continuance or otherwise of the system introduced at this Port, to avoid complications hereafter.

6. Pending further orders, I propose to defer action on any applications that may be in the interim be received for the registration of Trade marks.

Resolution

Submitted to Government

2. In Government orders No 1220 Revenue Department dated 25th March 1896, Government allowed the registration of Trade marks in the Madras Custom House on payment of a fee of one rupee as proposed by the Collector. He now proposes that as the practice has been discontinued at Calcutta, the fact that Registration has been allowed in Madras be brought to the notice of the Government of India in continuation of the report submitted to it in Government orders No 625 Revenue Department dated 14th July 1891. A report may be submitted as suggested, if Government thinks it necessary

(True Copy and Extract)

Commanded
Assistant Secretary

To

The Secretary to Government Revenue Department

Copy to the Collector of Sea Customs, Madras

9/7/96

Revenue (1897) Department.

CURRENT No. 3573

Order.

From The Secretary to the Commissioner, Salt, Abkari and Separate Revenue

Routine No. 40

Dated 9th January 1897

Received 14th

Registered 15th

Enclosures 1

Spare copies

SUBJECT.

Ordering that a Copy of certain letter referred to in Board's Proceedings this No 499 dated 3rd December 1896, be forwarded to Government

Regd.
16.9.97

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

Financial Department

Separate Revenue Branch

No 4648 S.R

From H.H. Risley Esq C.I.E

Secretary to the

Government of Bengal

To The Secretary to the Board of Revenue

Dated Calcutta, the 25th August, 1896.

Sir,

I am directed to acknowledge the receipt of your letter No 684 B dated the 28th July, 1896, submitting for the orders of Government a copy of a letter from the Collector of Customs Calcutta, on the subject of the introduction, by the late Collector, Mr Skernie, of an unauthorized scheme of registration of trade marks. The Board of Revenue enquire whether the Scheme should be continued in its present form or on the modified lines suggested by the present Collector Mr E.N Baker

Of

1,000
19-4-89.

REVENUE BOARD OFFICE
MADRAS

Proceedings of the Board of Revenue

(Separate Revenue),

Dated 9th January 189 7,

No. 40 Routine

Read memorandum from Government, Revenue Department No 9082 796 dated 7th January 1897.

Resolution

Ordered accordingly.

(True Extract)

Communicated

Assistant Secretary

to

Secretary to Government

Revenue Department

11/1/97.

1897
REVENUE DEPARTMENT.
Received No. 1
Registered No. 1879

189.

Board of Revenue

(Separate Revenue).

MADRAS.

To

Proceedings, dated 189 ,
No.

Subject.

C. Nos 9082 of 96 & 353 of 9

The Hon. Mr A. W. Bliss. C. S. E. G. O. Mis. No 454 dy 25 Jany 97

Ordered that the following letter be despatched to the Govt of India.

Dp 25/1 — 21/1/97.

To The Board of Revenue (Sep. Rev) Dd. 29.1.97

Letter No. 455

To The Secretary to the Govt of India Dept of Finance & Commer

Dd. 29-1-97

25/1/97

Sir,

I am directed to invite attention to the correspondence ending with Mr Galloni's letter No. 626 dy 14.7.91, on the question of opening a register of trade ma at each principal custom house and to request that a copy of the final orders passed by the Govt of India on the subject, may be communicated for the informa

C. 22/1/97. R. 25/1/97

of this Government.

2. About the beginning of last year, the Collector of Sea Customs, Madras, issued, with the approval of this Government, a notice for the Registration of Trade marks at the Madras Custom House, on payment of a fee of one rupee, following a similar notice which had been issued in November 1895 by Mr Skrine the Collector of Customs, Calcutta and of which a copy was forwarded to the Collector of Customs, Madras by a leading firm here. But it now appears that Mr Skrine's action in issuing the notice was unauthorised and that the Government of Bengal has since directed the discontinuance of the system of registration introduced by him, more specially as such a proposal had been considered in 1891 and abandoned at the instance of the mercantile community. No such objection has, however, been raised in this Presidency either by the Chamber of Commerce or the mercantile community

community and several trade marks have already been registered and the prescribed fees paid. But as it is desirable that there should be uniformity of practice at all principal Customs Ports in an important matter of this nature and as the adoption of the scheme itself has never been specifically sanctioned by the Government of India, I am to refer the question for the orders of that Government

21/1/97

C.R.
20 Jan 97

hen given for copying ——
hen copied ——
hen examined ——
hen signed ——
} 189

AD AGAIN G.O., No. 189 , DATED

CURRENT No.

ENCLOSURES TO C. No.

ORDER OF GOVERNMENT	A	A	A	A	A	A	A
LETTER OF GOVERNMENT.							
STATEMENT WITH DITTO.							
PAPERS NOT FILED UP, BEING MEANT FOR DESPATCH IN ORIGINAL.							

N.B.—
A = Copy in full.
B = Not to be copied.
P = Copy abstract only.
Q = Copy heading only.