

27-8-87 20-4-88
Revenue (1897) Department.

MISCELLANEOUS SERIES.

G.O., No. 4442

DATED 25 Aug.

[Despatch Abstract.]

Requesting the Collector to report in Consultation with the officers of the D.P.W. whether a suitable site could be obtained for the construction of a ^{of a Comminid Office} Taluk Cutcherry and his Magistrate's office on the standard plan and what sum would be realized by the sale of the existing T.K. Cutcherry or its materials.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

CURRENT No. 7338

Order ^{Sum} No. 963 of 28th July 1877

Forwarded

to the Revenue Department,
with reference to GO.

No 3739 mis Rec. dated
17 Sept- 1896, the London.
of which are returned.

From

The Supy Engineer
v. Circle

No. 615. T.M.

Dated 20th July 1897.

Received 24-7-97

Enclosures ~~7~~^x (2 plans)

Spare copies

SUBJECT.

Taluk Bencherry Building
at Otapidaram — Reports
about the — with reference
to the construction of an
office room for the Tahsildar

MS. 326

On (with others)
20/7

the sub. jail and the proposed site for the construction of the Stationary Sub-Magistrate's Office, and the others showing the accommodation in the existing Taluk Cutcherry and the site proposed for the construction of an additional room for the Tahsildar at Ottapidaram.

Resolution

The plans forwarded by the Collector with his letter read above will be submitted to Government with reference to G.O. ^{1089 Ras} _{2.5.96.} Not used -

2. The accommodation provided for the Tahsildar according to the plan submitted by the Collector, appears to the Board to be inadequate, since it gives a superficial area of only 280 sq. ft., against 1,500 sq. ft. provided in the standard design for Taluk offices. The Board therefore considers that an office room of somewhat larger dimensions should be built for him.

3. From the site plan forwarded by the Collector

15
5
155

about a building.

Collector, it would appear that the Taluk Cutcherry at Ottapidaram is situated about 700 yds. by road from the Subjail and the proposed Stationary Sub-Magistrate's Office.

(True Copy and Extract)

Recd
Acting Secretary.

To

The Secretary to Government, P.D. ✓
with G.O. ¹⁴³¹ ₇₆ & two plans in original to be returned.

The Collector of Tirunelveli.

2. (m)

No. 615. T.N.

Trichinopoly, 20th July 1897;

From

Lt Col. O. V. Boddy R.E.
The Superintendent Engineer,
6th Circle.

To

The Chief Engineer.

SIR,

P. W. D.

9. ENCLOSURES.

1. L. W. Encl. No:

3509C with
5-10-96

2. Enclosures.

1. Copy of S. D. O's

report No. 4
28-3-97

1. Copy of Collection

letter No. 685
8-7-97

In returning herewith your
Enclosurement No. 3509C dated 5 October
1896, I have the honor to inform you
that the Tahsil Butehry Building at
Ottapidaram has been inspected by
the Sub. Divisional Officer, Srivasthnam,
and a copy of his report thereon is here-
with forwarded for your information,
from which it will be seen that the
building itself is in very good order,
requiring but slight repairs to make it
thoroughly efficient and that there is
no reason to anticipate that extensive
repairs will be required for many years.
Under these circumstances I think that
the building should be retained and
an office for the Tahsildar annexed thereto.

0655
8550/96
2339/97

I am of opinion that the Tahsildar's office should be constructed on the ground to the north of the building, the ground being low a high basement will be necessary.

2. Doubtless it would be more convenient to construct a combined Tahsildar and Sub Magistrate's Cutcherry, but, seeing that it is reported that the present Cutcherry would not be an object of much competition, if put up for sale by auction, I do not think that the expense of such a building is called for.

3. As directed in the Order in the Revenue Deptt, I consulted the Collector on the matter and a copy of his reply Ref. (B) on Cur. No. $\frac{685}{80}$ of 97 of 8 July 1897, is herewith submitted, from which it can be seen that he generally agrees in my views expressed above.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Yours most obedt Servant,

J. V. No. 1111 Lt. Col. R.E.
Superintendent, Circle

He has no room etc.

For order.

It appears that the Sd. Sub Magistrate of Ootacamund Punnery has his office in a renter building. Further it appears that the Tahsildar has no room for his court and that the existing Taluk Cutcherry is cramped for space, the Police Station being located in it.

To remedy these evils, the Collector proposed that a Sd. Sub Magistrate's office be built near the Jail to the north of it (Please see plan marked X in blue pencil) and that a separate room be built for the Tahsildar to the west of the Cutcherry compound.

Mr. Arnold points out that the present Taluk office was in many ways unsuitable that the Treasury room was abnormally small and that the

* Please see plan marked X in blue pencil.

* Order under G.O. No. 3725
Dated 17 Sept 96

1897/98
12/11/97

proposal to accommodate the
Tahildar in a room outside
the ^{main} building whence he could
not effectively supervise his
Establishment was objectionable
Report was therefore called for
as to whether it would not be
more advisable & economical
in the long run to build at
once a Taluk catchery &
By Submagistrate's Office in
the Standard plan.

His own report (by the
local ^{SDO} ~~magistrate~~ Officer) that (1)
the existing Taluk catchery
is sound & will wear well
& not fetch a good price
if sold; (2) the Tahildar could
have his room to the north of
the Taluk catchery, land being
taken up for the purpose. He
could supervise his establish-
ment thro' the door & windows
of the Taluk catchery (3) That
the By Submagistrate's Office
Standard plan (Standard plan) might
also be built on the land to be
taken up to the north ^{west} of the
Taluk catchery, ^{as the site proposed to the north of the Subjail is most owing}
but the Treasury room could be

that moreover the room proposed was
too small

~~as the site proposed to the north of the Subjail is most owing~~

~~Some what enlarged~~
The Collector agrees that
the existing Taluk catchery
should be retained, that a
room should be built for the
Tahildar to the north of
the existing catchery on
land to be acquired for the
purpose. That he further
suggests that the Treasury room
should be enlarged by ~~being~~
3 ft towards the north. He
would have the site to the
north of the Subjail for the
proposed By Submagistrate's Office
& Police station.

The first question is
Should not a new combined
Taluk catchery & By Sub-
Magistrate's Office be built? It
will be observed that the Collector
plan site leaves the Tahildar
outside the main building which
I submit is a most serious
objection. Sooner or later than
old fashioned Taluk catcheries
are bound to give place to
a standard plan Taluk
Catcheries. Half the bad work
& delay of a Taluk Office is
due to the illarranged & scanty

Very objectionable
29

accommodation afforded by
the old model catcheries. It
is clear that a Sg-submagis
Office has to be built. His
Submagis that in the best
interests of the public service
it would be most economical
to build a standard plan
Taluk catchery & submagis
Office combined.

If it be thought otherwise
the question is where is
the Sg-submagis Office to be?
The Col. says to the north of
the Subjail. But the P.W.D.
report that site moist &
therefore unsuitable. They propose
the land to the westward north
west of the Taluk catchery
but there is not space enough.
For plan see plan marked Y
in blue pencil. The space
available measures approximately
70 feet by 50 feet, whereas
an Sg-submagis Office takes
up about 78 feet by 64 feet.
Please see standard design
perhaps with G.O. No 227 W. But
perhaps there will be no objection
to acquiring the land marked on
the plan. Anyhow further information
on the point is necessary.

1/11/97.

It certainly would be. Can
a site be got for it in the
neighbourhood? What would be
made of the site of
the old catchery or its
materials?

JG
19/8/97

1/11/97.

Say for.
The Hon the Secy P.W.D.
for the form of committee initials

1/11/97

Recd. No 6480.

G.O. No 4442 d/25 Aug 97

The Govt observes that the
arrangement proposed by the Collector
and Superintending Engineer still
places the Taluk Officer's room
outside the main building which
in the opinion of the Govt is
very objectionable.

2. Having regard to the
also to the ill-arranged & scanty
accommodation afforded by the
present Taluk catchery and
the acknowledged need for
a new Sg-submagis Office,
the Govt is certainly of opinion
that ^{it is in the} best interests of
the public service ^{and} it would
be most economical ^{in the long run} to build
a standard plan Taluk
catchery & submagis Office
combined.

3. The Collector is accordingly
requested to report in consultation
with the Officer of the P.W.D.
whether a suitable site can
be got for such a building.

be got in the neighbourhood
and what sum could be
realised by the sale of the
existing Taluk catchment
or its materials.

Wd
24.8.97 11/11/97

25/8/97

24.8.18.97
to
The P. O. of Rev. (Ltr)
with letter & 2 maps.
P. W. kept.

When given for copying _____
When copied _____
When examined _____
When signed _____

189

READ AGAIN G.O., No.
189

DATED

CURRENT No.

ENCLOSURES TO G. No.

ORDER OF GOVERNMENT

A A A A A A A

LETTER OF GOVERNMENT.

STATEMENT WITH DATTO.

PAPERS NOT FILLED UP, BEING MEANT FOR DESPATCH
IN ORIGINAL.

N.B.—

A = Copy in full.
B = Not to be copied.
P = Copy abstract only.
Q = Copy heading only