

CONCERNS BOTH BRITISH INDIA
AND INDIAN STATES.

Revenue (1897) Department.

Concurrence of the Secretary
MISCELLANEOUS, SERIES.

G.O., No. 4064-5

DATED 5th Aug.

*printed
by
145-12
10-3-95*

[Despatch Abstract.]

It states that the Resident at Hyderabad will be addressed on the subject of the clearing of the boundary line between H.H. the Nizam's dominions and the Nistara District.

Lt Col

Offering certain suggestions regarding the demarcation of the boundary line between H.H. the Nizam's dominions and the Nistara District.

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.
1340 998	875	Rev.					
1878/98							
to 148 d 10-3-98							

Revenue (1897) Department.

CURRENT No. 6188



From.

The Board of Revenue, Land
Revenue, Madras.

Order

No. 2579 Misc.

Dated 13th July 1897

Received 21.7.97

Registered 22.7.97

Enclosures 5

Spare copies

SUBJECT.


Submitting for the orders of --
Govt. letter from the Collr. of Kistna submitting a report as to the apportionment of the cost of demarcating the boundary line between the Kistna District & H.H. the Nizam's Government.

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.
	371	Rev	15-6-95

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.



Proceedings of the Board of Revenue (Land Revenue).

NO.2579 Misc.dated 13th July 1897.

Read letter from J.N.Atkinson Esq.,Collector of Kistna to the Secretary to the Commissioners of Land Revenue,Madras R.C.No.7034-Ver.dated 26th September 1896;and the following reference thereon dated 23rd October 1896:-

The Collector is requested to explain the principle on which the estimated cost of clearing the boundary line has been apportioned between the Nizam's and the British Governments.

2. Under existing orders,the boundary line is to be cleared from year to year.Unless the cost of the next annual clearance is likely to be much less than that now estimated it is a matter for consideration whether the clearance may not be undertaken at longer intervals than a year.The Collector is requested to report on the point.

3. The rates and the amounts entered in the estimate should be carefully checked,as,in some instances,the amounts calculated in this office differ from those noted in the estimate.

Read also letter from the same officer Ref.NO.7034-Ver.dated 25th March 1897;and the following reference thereon dated 15th April 1897:-

The Board considers that,in requesting H.H.the Nizam's Government to undertake a portion of the work of clearing the boundary line,the principle underlying the proposed division should be clearly explained and the Collector is therefore requested to call on the Head Assistant Collector for a full explanation of the proposed apportionment.

2. The principle,as now reported,is that where the

boundary poramboke is included in the ayakut of a British village, the northern and eastern lines are to be cleared at the cost of the British Government and the southern and western lines at the cost of the Nizam's Government and that where the boundary poramboke is included in the ~~yz~~ ayakut of a village in the Nizam's Dominions the Northern & Eastern lines are to be cleared by the Nizam's Government and the Southern and Western lines by the British Government. But it is observed that this principle has not been adhered to in all cases in the Collector's estimate, while in some cases no information is furnished as to whether the village concerned is the Nizam's or a British village and whether the line runs to the North, East, West or South of the village. Information on these points should be noted when resubmitting the estimate.

3. It is observed that it is proposed to charge a portion of the cost of clearance to the Zemindar of Chintalapati Vantii. The Collector is requested to state why he considers the Zemindar liable for any portion of the cost of clearing a territorial boundary line and whether the Zemindar is willing to bear the charge.

Read also letter from J.H. Batten Esq., Ag. Collector of Kistna to the Secretary to the Commissioners of Land Revenue, Madras, Ref. on C NO. 7034-Ver. dated 28th June 1897.

Resolution.

Submitted for the orders of Government.

2. With reference to para 2 of Board's Proceedings No. 2765 Misc. dated 31st May 1895 disposed of in G.O. No. 371 Rev. dated 15th June 1895 the Collector of Kistna submits an estimate of Rs. 1078 for clearing the line of boundary between his district & the territory of H.H. the Nizam. It is stated that when once the line is thoroughly cleared, the cost of keeping it clear afterwards will be small, if the matter be attended to at proper intervals. The Board recommends that the estimate may be approved and that the Government of H.H. the Nizam may be requested to bear a moiety of the cost and to permit the entire work to be carried out under the orders of the Collector.

The Secretary to Govt., R.D., with 4 letters and 1 estimate.

The Collector of Kistna.

(True Copies & Extract)

Acting Secretary.

The Hon: Mr. Price C. S.

Note

When the report of the Sd. of the boundary between Kistna and Hyderabad was received in 1895, the Board instructed the Coll: A to have the line cleared every year. The Coll: now submits an estimate (amounting to Rs 1078) for the first clearing and suggests that half may be recovered from H.H. Nizam's Dumar and the Dumar's permission obtained for his undertaking the entire work. The H.A.'s proposal was to follow the principle adopted in the Survey Dept and to recover from H.H. the Nizam's Dumar the cost of clearing two sides of every field on the boundary, the cost of clearing the other two sides being borne by this Govt and the a Zemindar whose lands border on the Nizam's territory. This proposal is not approved by the Coll: and the Board who think that the cost shd be equally divided between this Govt and the Dumar and that no portion should be recovered from the Zemindar as the boundary is a territorial one. The views of the Coll: & the Board are it is submitted obvious & correct.

Read Cus. 6188 of 97

Order misc. no. 4064 of 5th Aug. 97
The Board's recommendation is accepted and the Resident at Hyderabad will be addressed accordingly.

2 It is observed that the Board has not expressed any opinion as to the apportionment of the cost of re-clearing the line in the future or the agency by which such re-clearing should be carried out. The Govt. considers that the same procedure as the one now proposed for adoption should be followed in the case of future re-clearings also.

The Resident at Hyderabad
Lr,

Adverting to para 2 of the Prop of the Board of Rev: Madras, a copy of which was forwarded to you with the Hon: Mr. Price's letter n: 2024 13th Aug: 1895, I am directed to

C 30/7/97
R 4/8/97

28.7.87
 28.7.87

A = Copy in full.
B = Not to be copied.
P = Copy abstract only.
Q = Copy heading only