

Revenue (1897) Department.

MISCELLANEOUS SERIES.

G.O., No. 4033 A.

DATED 3rd August

[Despatch Abstract.]

Sanctioning the retention of Poyypatti and four other blocks in the Salem Dt and ordering the publication of a draft notification cancelling the initial reservation of Gaudeluckur extension and five other blocks in the Dt.

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

Revenue Financial—(1897) Department.

CURRENT No. 5812



See for.

Read again G.O. 2686
25.5.97
G.O. 2686
26.5.97
also Cms 5542 of 97
Order n^o 4033A
3.42 Aug. 1897.

From

The Board of Revenue
Land Revenue
Madr^{as}.

Just No. 670 Jm

Dated 8. July 1897

Received

Registered

Enclosures

Spare copies

Subject to the proposals
for adding to the area
available for the local
villages by throwing out
portions of the Abbarani,
Vellalakundam & Mavar
blocks, the Govt. approves
approves of the reservation
of the marginally notes

13. Payyapatti blocks as recommended
 31. Abbarani by the Board. The
 25. Vellalakundam Govt. observes that
 27. Mavar the character of
 29. Shelar the Shelar block is
- such that its reservation is not
likely to produce any of the
adverse reservation.

2. The Board's proposal
to abandon the marginally

SUBJECT.

Resolving orders on report from
the District Collector on the
treatments of Payyapatti and
certain other blocks and sending
to Government draft notices
proposing the cancellation
of the initial notification
of Kumbur & other blocks

13/7

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.
	2686 mis		26.5.97
	2653 mis		25.5.97
B.P. #	303		11.7.96
S.O.	305		2.7.96
	145		26.3.96
B.P. #	598		3.10.93

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.
	719		8.8.91
B.P. #	707		16.12.96
S.O.	268		10.4.96
	6771 mis		17.13.89

initial in blocks is ~~accepted~~
 Jambuthu Extension accepted and
 7. Kombur the notification
 21. Bothamalai Cancellling their
 Extension initial reservation
 Pungulam S.W. with to publish
 Extension in the 70 & 80 George District
 Pungulam Western
 Extension
 Andiaffanur Extension

Sagittas. 11/3/97

11/3/97

11/3/97

Office. Before is some with
 3 blocks
 11/3/97

also S.O's 121/96, 116/96 & 547/95

As. 7-8-97

The No. of Rev. (H.R.) with 5 inapt
 + 2 ends
 Supd. Govt. Press
 with modif. u

3/2

The three blocks are in the Tiruppur taluk (please see docket of B.P. S.O. 2656 mis & 25.5.97). The nos given in the case of the three blocks are the serial nos in the Statement printed in page 4 of S.O. 305 dated 2.7.96 but as from that statement the blocks in the Tiruppur TR were excluded (as maybe seen from the last sentence of para 3 of the B.P. disposed of in S.O. 305 of 96), there are no serial numbers corresponding to the three blocks referred to in the Higher Secretary's present note. The S.O. is in the file, may be revised in the light of the instructions

The Hon: Mr J. Grose. C. I. E.

@ para 6 of B.P. S.O. 305 & 2.7.96

* Nos 12, 13 and 19 to 33 of the list printed on page 4 of G.O. 305 dated 2.7.96.

flagged B & C

- 25 Vallalagundam
- 13 Payyapatti
- 29 Sheler
- 30 Jambuthu extension
- 30. Ulabarani
- 19 Kombur
- 21 Bothamalai extension
- 27 Abanar

flagged A

27/11/97

Read again G.O. 26
 25.5
 G.O. 2686
 26.5.97
 also C.O. 5842

For orders

In passing orders on a certain petition from the inhabitants of the Uttankarai taluk, complaining of excessive reservation, the Board of Revenue asked the Collector of Salem to report whether out of the total number of seventeen blocks in respect of which settlement was either in progress or was about to be commenced, the reservation of some of the blocks might not be given up. In his letter, ^{disposed of} contained in G.O's 2656 and 2686 of May 1897, the Collector reported to the Board the desirability of abandoning the eight marginally noted ~~seven~~ blocks. But as the Conservator of forests was of opinion that ^{five out of} the ~~seven~~ blocks should be retained and the Board considered that the Collector's recommendation for the abandonment of the blocks was based on a misapprehension of the meaning of its Resolution No. 805 mis dated 6th June 96 printed in G.O. No. 305 dated 2nd July 96, the proposals were returned to the Collector to be revised in the light of the instructions

which the Board at the same time issued and which were intended to correct, what the Board thought, erroneous impressions on the part of the Collector.

2 The Current now before Government is a reply to the reference. The Collector now ~~states~~ states that his recommendation to abandon the marginally noted two blocks was based upon wrong figures which showed that the area available for free grazing and exercise of Communal privileges was insufficient, but ~~now~~ as the correct figures show that there is sufficient area for the purposes mentioned above, the Collector withdraws his objection to the reservation of the blocks. The reservation of these two blocks, may, it is submitted, be sanctioned.

3 of the other three blocks, the Collector leaves to the discretion of the Board the question of reserving the two blocks noted in the margin. The area available for extension of Cultivation is 120 per cent of the Cultivated area in the case of the Mbanar block and 122 per cent in the case of the other. The Collector feels doubt as to whether the above percentages will suffice. But the Conservator in his forwarding endorsement proposes that with regard to the Mbanar block, the area now

* 13. Poyyapatti
32. Ililbarani.

⊙ In the case of the Ililbarani block, it is proposed to increase the available area by throwing up a portion of the block.

Yes
25
which is dependent on consent

* 25 Kellalakundam.
27 Mbanar.

8/10/22

available for the millagers outside the reserve may ~~be~~ be added to by throwing out a portion of the reserve consisting of assessed waste. As regards the Kellalakundam block, the Collector himself states that if the boundary of the reserve be altered so as to exclude a part of the Sendroyapoliem village as well as the surveyed fields of Kattuseppalai, there can be no objection to ^{its} reservation. The Board recommends the retention of the two blocks in question subject to the modifications as detailed above ^{and} proposed by the Conservator and the Collector.

The Board's recommendation, it is submitted, may be accepted.

Yes
25
21-11-22
4. The only remaining block ^{the abandonment of which is not off the ground} is Shelur. The Collector is decidedly against the reservation of the block because
(i) It is too steep for the growth of timber.
(ii) The growth is very poor except with the exception of a narrow belt of jungle at the top.
(iii) Many years close protection will be required before it can be of any benefit.

On the other hand, the Conservator and the Board are in favour of reserving the block on the ground that it is on the outer slopes of the Kollimalais and that its

reservation is

it is important in the interests of the villages themselves to guard against their being overborne by the villages on the plains. Orders are requested

on the point. As it is admitted on all hands

that reservation is a measure in the hands and as measures

deserving it is submitted that as the benefit

likely to accrue from the reservation of

the block is doubtful, it is submitted,

the block may as well be abandoned.

5 The notification cancelling the

initial reservation of six blocks as to the

abandonment of which the Conservator,

the Collector and the Board are all agreed,

may be ordered to be published in the

Lat St. George Gazette.

I agree with the Bd & the
Conservator. Being of the char-
acter it is this block will
not add to the over-reservation
 evils

Yes
Jambhuk Extension
Kombu
Bothamalai Extension
Dungulan & W. do
do Western do
Audiappan Extension

Yes
29/7/97

444
25-7-97

777

25-7-97

Draft Notification

His Excellency the Governor in

Council is pleased to Cancel Notifi-

- cations Nos. 30, 124, 406, 449, 137 and

142, published at pages 89, 283, 863,

945, 399 and 402 Part I of the North

St. George Gazette dated 23rd Janu-

- ary 1894, 19th March 1895, 30th July

1895, 20th August 1895, 24th

March 1896 and 24th March 1896

respectively in so far as they

relate to the proposed Constitution

of the Jambhur, Bothamalai, Extension

Jambhur Extension, Dungulan

South-West Extension, Dungulan

Western Extension and Audiappa-

- pur Extension blocks in the

Salem District, as Reserved Forests

under Section 4, of the Forest

Act.

H. Monsey

sg. Collector

25-7-97

approved by the Board.

(111) Vellala Sundam. The Collector's proposal to practically - restrict reservation to unsurveyed hill poramboke may, the Board consider, be accepted.

ly Shelur. The Collector recommends the abandonment of the block on the ground that it is too steep for any cultivation and that with the exception of a narrow belt of jungle at the top, it bears very little good forest. But the Conservator in his forwarding endorsement reports that the block is situated on the outer slopes of the Kollimalais and considers it ~~important~~ important in the interest of the villagers themselves to protect these outer slopes against unrestricted goat-browsing. He accordingly recommends its retention. The Board approves of the Conservator's recommendation to reserve the proposed area. V Ubharani. As regards this block, both the Collector and the Conservator are of opinion that the proposed boundary should be modified so as to leave ample margin for free grazing for the cattle of the villagers concerned. This suggestion meets with the approval of the Board. The Collector is accordingly requested to see that the necessary alteration in the boundary is carried out at settlement.

The draft notification referred to in para. 1 supra will now be submitted to Government with the request that they may be approved and ordered to be published ~~in~~ in the Fort Saint George Gazette.

(True Extract)

W. M. S. S.
AG. Secretary

To the Secretary to Government, R.D. with notification, letter and the in
Conservator's endorsement in original to be ret'd.
To the Collector of Salem thro' the Conservator, C.C.

When given for copying _____
When copied _____
When examined _____
When signed _____

189

READ AGAIN G.O., No.
189

DATED

DUBBEN. No.

ENCLOSURES TO G. No.

ORDER OF GOVERNMENT

LETTER OF GOVERNMENT

STATE WITH DIRE.

PAPERS NOT FILED UP, BEING MEANT FOR DESPATCH
IN ORIGINAL

A A A A A A A

N.B.

A = Copy in full.
B = Not to be copied.
P = Copy abstract only.
Q = Copy heading only