

CONCERNS BOTH BRITISH INDIA
AND INDIAN STATES.

Revenue (1897) Department.

MISCELLANEOUS SERIES.

G.O., No. 3824-5

DATED 23rd July

[Despatch Abstract.]

Stating that the Resident in Mysore will be informed of the action taken by the Madras Survey Dept for rectifying certain mistakes committed in the planting of boundary stones on the Mysore-Arantapur boundary.

Stating that the Madras Survey Dept has rectified the error in the planting of boundary stones in the Sugur village ~~boundary~~.

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.
8730 997							

Revenue (1897) Department.

CURRENT No.



From

The Board of Revenue R. R. K. S. S.

See

Order wise

No. 2610 Sui

The Resident in Mysore will be informed accordingly.

2. The Board of Rev. will be requested to submit at an early date the enclosures to

Dated 14 July 1897

Received

Registered

Enclosures

Spare copies

The Board of Rev. and Rev.

To

The Resident in Mysore Sir,

In continuation of my letter no 2523 of 17th May 1897 I am directed to state for your information and for communication to the Mysore Darbar that

SUBJECT.

Referring to Government correspondence relating to certain disputes connected with the boundary between Kanjanchalli in the Madakasira Taluk, Anantapur District and Sagar in the Hiriyur Taluk, Chitaldroog & in Mysore.


ch
15/7

BACK PAPERS.


G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.
	3285		

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.



24 Sept 96



Letter No 3285 R 1144 dated the 24th September 1896 from the Secretary to the Government of Mysore to the First Assistant to the Resident in Mysore.

I am directed to state that the villages of Sugar in the Hiriyur Taluk, Chital-droog District, and Kanajanhalli, in the Madakesira Taluk, Anantapur Collectorate, are bordering on each other with a large stream between them, which forms a natural boundary.

2. The village of Sugar was surveyed in 1867, when the boundaries on the frontier were fixed after due enquiry, and no dispute until 1894 appears to have arisen regarding the boundary between these two villages.

3. In January 1894, the Sugar Patel reported to the Amildar that a measurer of the British Survey Department who was on duty in the Kanajanhalli village,

had

had crossed the border and fixed a stone in Survey No. 55 of Sugar. From enquiry made, it has been ascertained that one Stone in Survey No. 55 and two in Survey No. 58 of Sugar ~~of Sugar~~ have been newly fixed, thereby cutting off 18 acres and 12 guntas of land belonging to the State. The area & of these numbers and the extent affected are shown below:-

Present occupant if any.	Inage No.	Survey No.	Description of land	Extent		Assessment			Extent affected.	
				A	B					
i Dodroba	40+41	55	Dry	54	20	4	5	"	14	"
ii Unoccupied	50 part 51 and 52	58	do	11	10	1	"	"	4	12.

4. The portions colored red in the accompanying sketch represent the bits of land in question. The Survey map (enclosed) shows the stream to be the natural boundary between the two villages.

5. With this narration of facts, I am directed to request you to place the case


before

before the Resident, with a view to the Government of Madras being addressed, and the demarcation line as shown in the Mysore Revenue Survey Map of the village being adopted and the Stones newly fixed in the two Survey numbers aforesaid removed.

6. The sketch and the Survey Map forwarded herewith may be returned when no longer required.

Copy
Henry Clarke
 Registrar


10 Oct 96



No 3680
Enclosure one letter
two maps & one sketch.

FROM

THE RESIDENT IN MYSORE.



TO

The Chief Secretary to the Government
of Madras.

Dated Bangalore, the 10th October 1896.

SIR,

I have the honor to forward a
copy of a letter No. 3285 dated the 24th
ultimo from the Mysore Durbar, with
enclosures, to be kindly returned, bring-
(September 96) ing to notice that in January 1894,
some boundary stones were newly erect-
ed between the villages of Sugur in the
Hiriyur taluk of the Chitaldroog district
and Kanajankhalli in the Madaksira
taluk of the Anantapur collectorate by
a measurer of the British Survey
Department then on duty in Kanajan-
halli, with the result that 15 acres and

6
823254/97

4
8072231/96

2
8074407/97

12 guntas of land belonging to the Mysore State had been cut off.

2. I shall be obliged if His Excellency the Governor-in-Council will kindly cause the necessary enquiries to be made in the matter, and, if the Durbar's representation is found to be correct, direct the removal of the boundary stones in question.

I have the honor to be

Sir,

Your Most Obedient Servant

N. M. Young


Resident

Hf.

Dis. No. 10
Enclosures: 5

From

H. C. Manavendran Raja Esquire
Ag. Collector of
Anantapur



23 Feb 97
Anantapur Collector's Office,
23rd February 1897.

To

The Secretary to the Commissioners
of the Board of Revenue
Land Revenue
Madras

SIR,

In Adversing to Board's
reference on Government Register
No. 2231 of 1876 dated 5th November 76,
(Land Revenue), I have the honor
to state that the Subsildar of
Meadakur reports after a
personal inspection of the locality
that the two pieces of land under
reference measuring in all
A. 18. R. 12. V. a belong to the village
of Sagar in the Nenoor Taluk,
Chitaldrug District, Mysore,
that they have been wrongly
included in the British
fields of Hanapiahally.

7
823282/97

5
823282/97

1
823282/97

Xanajanahally village Madaksera
Taluk and that the three de-
marcation stones planted
in the recent survey of this
District may be removed.
As per ^{our} ~~over~~ Paimash, a great
portion of the British Territory
goes into the present Survey
limits of Mysore (Sugoor
village), but the Government
in its order No 148 dated 3rd
July 1868 acquiesced in the decision
arrived at by Mr. Kelsall
and Lieutenant Coussemaker
in August 1867; and the Mysore
Government included these
lands into its territory
during its survey which
took place about 30 years ago.
The case in question
appears to refer to one of
the boundary disputes
alluded to in para 37.

of Bellary Collector's letter
No. 41 dated 5th June 1868 read
in the Government Order quoted
above; but none of the correspondence
relating to this subject is forthcoming
in the records of this office or in
those of the Taluk Office. I am
however of opinion that the
lands belong to the Mysore
territory and suggest that
the Survey Department may
be requested to remove the stones
in (S.) Nos 55 and 58 ^{now} ~~then~~ objected to
by the Mysore Durbar. The
enclosures to the Board's
proceedings under reply
are herewith returned.

Survey?

I have the honor to be
Sir

Your most obedient servant
D Venkatachari
25/2/97
Acting Collector

CD
24-2-97
H. B. M.

25/2/97

From

The Collector of

Anantapur

To

The Secy. to the Commrs

of the Board of

Revenue, Land

Dated 23rd


Revenue,

Madras

Despd.

February 1897.

Recd.



No.

Enclosures 5

SUBJECT.

Submitting report
on the removal of certain
boundary stones between
Manajalapally, Madakur
Taluk, Anantapur District,
and Sugar of Mey-
-sore state

(C. O. Form No. 13.)

SURVEY OFFICE, MADRAS,

3 July 1897

No. 283

R.

From

H. O'C. Cardozo, Esq., C.I.E.,

Superintendent, Madras Survey,

To

The Secretary to the
Commissioners of Land Revenue,
Madras.

REFERENCE.

Board's Proceedings dated
9 March 1897, on General Register
Nos 2231 and 1107 of 1896-97,
forwarding for remarks of the
Superintendent of Survey, letter
with enclosures from the Collector
of Anantapur regarding in-
correct demarcation of part of
the Anantapur - Mysore boundary.

Sir, In continuation of
my letter No $\frac{206}{B}$, dated 29 April 1897,

I have the honor to enclose a report on the dispute between Mysore and Anantapur -

2. Mr. Martin, Dy Superintendent, Madras Survey, went to the ground with the Tahsildar of Madakasira and the Amildar of Hiviger Taluk, Mysore and in their presence removed the three boundary marks objected to by the Mysore authorities -


He also buried flush with the ground a theodolite stone station (not a boundary mark) on the south bank of the stream and marked X in the sketch map on page 3 of the report -

3. The enclosures to the Proceedings under reply are returned -

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

W. Martin

Superintendent
Madras Survey.



Lee
3/1/97



Proceedings of the Board of Revenue

(Land Revenue),

Dated 14th July 1897.

No. 2610 Miscellaneous

Note for Decpt.

Papers to be
sent to Govt.
RD. with the Bd's
prop of this date

SR 3252/97

15-7-97

READ Letter from the Collector of Anantapur, dated 23rd Feb 1897.

Di No. 1024.

Read also letter from the Superintendent, Madras Survey, dated 3rd July 1897, No. 283/B.

Resolution.

The papers read above will be submitted to Government with reference to its order No. 4353 Dms, dated 5th November 1896 and in continuation of B.F. No. 1393 Pentine, dated 8th May 1897.

2. The Superintendent of Revenue Survey reports that the three boundary marks objected to by the authorities of the Mysore state have been removed from Survey Nos 55 and 58 of Engur village in the Hiriyur Taluk of the Chitaldroog District.

Sp.

To be returned
to Revenue Board
with reply.

No further action seems at present necessary

(True Extract)

W. H. H. H.
Ag. Secretary.

To The Secretary to Government P.D. ✓
Letter No. 3254 and 1107/97 and Enclosures
and 3/Plans.

See

To the Rev. and of Rev. Land Rev.
Des. 28-7-97

Read Cus. 6135 of 97

Order misc no. 3824 of 23rd July 97.

The Resident in Mysore will be informed accordingly

W. H. H. H.
22/7/97

Letter no. 3825.

To

The Resident in Mysore
Sir,

In continuation of my letter no. 2523 of 17th May 1897, I am directed to state for your information and for communication to the Mysore Durbar that the three boundary marks wrongly erected by the Madras Survey Dept in Survey no. 55 and 58 of the Sagar village, in the Heringur taluk, Chitaldrug District, have since been removed therefrom and that a chesdolite Stone Station on the South bank of the Stream lying between the above village and the British territory has been turned flush with the ground.

2. I am to enclose for your perusal and for favour of return

Des. 28-7-97

return when done with the report
on the subject received from the
Dy Supt of Madras Survey, in
which detailed information is
furnished as to the origin of the
mistakes and the steps that
have been taken by him in recti-
fying the same. I am also to
return herewith the three sketch
maps received with your letter no
3680 of 10th Oct: 1896.

mm
20. VII. 97

Wll
22. VII. 97

Wll
22. VII. 97

When given for copying _____
When copied _____ } 189
When examined _____
When signed _____

READ AGAIN G.O., No.
189

DATED

CURRENT No.

ENCLOSURES TO G. No.

ORDER OF GOVERNMENT

LETTER OF GOVERNMENT.

STATEMENT WITH DITTO.

PAPERS NOT FILED UP, BEING WANT FOR DISPATCH
IN ORIGINAL.

H.F.—

A = Copy in full.
B = Not to be copied.
P = Copy abstract only.
Q = Copy heading only