

CONFIDENTIAL.

Revenue (1897) Department.

MISCELLANEOUS SERIES.

G.O., No. 3792

Confidential 954

Dated 22 July 1897

[Despatch Abstract.]

Ordering that a letter be despatched to the
Right Honble the Secretary of State for India
requesting that in case an application is made
to the Privy Council by the plaintiff in the
matter of the printing of the records relating
to the Pittapur succession appeal arrangements
may be made for the Court of Wards being
represented & for the adjournment of the
hearing of such application being obtained
until the Court's solicitor have had an opportunity
of obtaining instructions from India.

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.
10.	3791	Rev.	22-7-97.				

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.
	No 7795		97				
Mrs	4771A	Rev.	10-9-97.				

Revenue (1897) Department.

CURRENT No. 5802

Confidential No 64

From

The Secretary to the
Court of Wards

Order

No. 1094 mis
Conf. No 37

Dated 9th July 1897.

Received 12th July 1897

Registered 12th

Enclosures nil.

Spare copies



SUBJECT.

Submitting to Govt. letter
from Mr P. Subramania aiyar
of 5th July 1897 and requesting that
certain action be taken to pro-
vide for the contingency of an
application being presented to
the Privy Council in the matter
of the printing of the records re-
lating to the Pettapur Suc-
cession appeal.


BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

CONFIDENTIAL.



Proceedings of the Court of Wards,

Dated 9th July 1897.
No. 1094 his
Conf. No. 37

Read the following :-

Letter from Mr. R. Ry. P. Subramania aiyar, Attorney at law, to the Secretary to the Court of Wards, dated the 5th July 1897, regarding Pettapur Privy Council appeal :-

I understand that the Plaintiff intends to appeal to the Privy Council against the order of the High Court directing that the whole of the records in the appeal should be printed and transmitted to the Privy Council. The Plaintiff applied to the Court here for a copy of the order passed by the High Court, but the same has been refused. I gather that Mr. Raja T. Rama Row intends to move the High Court either tomorrow or as soon after, for the grant of a copy of the order. Of course without a copy of the order, the Plaintiff cannot go before the Privy Council and I am doubtful whether the order of the High Court is at all appealable. Whatever it is, it is evident

The papers have
refused to print a
copy of the order -
It is reported that the
plaintiff is arranging to
move the Privy Council
for special leave to
appeal against the
order of the High Court
(N. 5956/97)

that the Plaintiff intends to take some action in the matter and it is would be necessary that, when the matter goes before the Privy Council, we ought to be represented there lest the matter should be decided ex parte. As the Plaintiff would urge that, unless orders are passed urgently he would be put to considerable loss and delay if the records are to be printed here according to the order of the High Court passed lately, the Privy Council might fix a short date for hearing this matter and with the concurrence of the Honble Mr Bhashyam Iyengar, I write to say, that it ~~is~~ would be necessary for the Court of Wards, in that event, to be in a position to send instructions to Counsel in England, regarding this matter, at much ~~an~~ earlier date. I do not suppose the reference to Government regarding the appointment of Counsel will be disposed of within a short time and before the question is disposed of, this matter might come up before the Privy Council.

Resolution

Submitted to Government in continuation of C.P. No 1057 mis, dated 1st July 1897.
2.

C.No 5463/97
Submitted
Separately

2. The Court would suggest that the Secretary of State be requested to arrange for the Court being represented and an adjournment of the hearing of any such application, if presented, being obtained until the Court's Solicitors have had an opportunity of obtaining instructions from India
(True copy and extract)

W. H. H. H.
Ag. Secretary.

To the Secretary to Govt. R. S.
.. Mr P. Subramania Iyer
.. the Colly Godavari.

20/7/97

CONFIDENTIAL.

1895.
Court of Wards,
MADRAS.

To

Proceedings, dated 1895.
No.
Conf. No.

Abstract.

Confidential.

Revenue (1897) Department.

CURRENT No. 5956

Confidential No. 66



From

The Court of Wards

Order

No. 1118 Mis.
Conf. No. 40

Dated 13th July 1897.

Received 15th July

Registered 15th July

Enclosures

Spare copies

SUBJECT.

Submitting to Govern-
ment in continuation of C.P. 1094^{mis}
9-7-97
further letter from Mr P. Subra-
monea Iyer in the matter of
printing of records for transmission
to the Privy Council in the Pittapur
Case.

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

CONFIDENTIAL.



Proceedings of the Court of Wards,

Dated 13th July 1897
No. 1118 Mis.
Conf. No. 40

READ the following letter from Mr. P. Subramoneya

Aiyar, Attorney-at-Law, dated 9th July 1897.

In continuation of my last letter I beg to inform you that Mr. Raja S. Rama Row applied to the High Court on Tuesday for a copy of the order passed by Messrs. Justices Shephard & Davis directing the whole of the transcript of the records should be sent to the Privy Council. The Chief Justice and Mr. Justice Shephard before whom the application was made, refused to grant a copy of the order as well as to make any order on Mr. Rama Row's petition. I understand that the Plaintiff is arranging to move the Privy Council for special leave to appeal against the order of the High Court.

Resolution.

Submitted to Government in
continuation of C. P. No 1094 Mis.
of the 9th instant.
/ True Copy & Extract /

To The Secretary to Govt. G.D.
cc'd Copy to Mr. P. Subramoneya Aiyar
the Collr. of Godavari.

W. Hooker
Ag. Secretary

CONFIDENTIAL.

1895.
Court of Wards,
MADRAS.



To

Proceedings, dated 1895.
No.
Conf. No.

Abstract.

C. Nos. 5802 and 5956.

The Hon. Mr. Justice C. J. E.

20/7/97

C.O. No. 3792 22 July 1897

Ordered that the following letter be despatched to the Right Honourable the Secretary of State for India.

Despatched 24/7/97
No: 9 dated
26th July 97.

To the Court of Wards

26/7/97

My Lord,

On C.O. 5463/97
(Sent separately inc. 2)

In continuation of our despatch

No. 8, dated 24th instant, we have the honour to inform your Lordship that the Plaintiff in the Pittapur Suit who has filed an appeal to the Privy Council against the judgment of the High Court dismissing his claim to the estate, recently applied to that Court for an order directing that only the principal papers in the appeal should be printed and transmitted to the Privy Council; but the High Court rejected the application and directed that the whole of the records in the appeal should be printed as usual. The Plaintiff applied for a copy of this order with a view to appeal

to

to the Privy Council against it. The Honourable the Judges of the High Court - before whom the application was made, refused to grant a copy of the order ~~and~~ ^{or} to make any order on the petition. We now understand that the Plaintiff is arranging to move the Privy Council for special leave to appeal against the order of the High Court and as he would urge that unless orders are passed urgently he would be put to considerable loss and delay if the records are to be printed here according to the order of the High Court, the Privy Council might fix a short date for hearing this matter, in which case it would be necessary for the Court of Wards to be in a position to send instructions to Counsel in England. We have therefore the honour to request that your Lordship would arrange for the Court ^{of wards} being represented - and an adjournment of the hearing of any such application, if presented, being obtained until the Court's Solicitors have had an opportunity of obtaining instructions from India.

19/12/11

17/12/11

When given for copying _____
When copied _____ } 189
When examined _____
When signed _____

READ AGAIN G.O., No. _____
189 , DATED _____

CURRENT No. _____

ENCLOSURES TO C. No. _____

ORDER OF GOVERNMENT A A A A A A A

LETTER OF GOVERNMENT. _____

STATEMENT WITH DITTO. _____

PAPERS NOT FILED UP, BEING WANT FOR DESPATCH IN ORIGINAL.

D.E.-
A = Copy in full.
B = Not to be copied.
P = Copy abstract only.
Q = Copy heading only.