

Revenue (1897) Department.

MISCELLANEOUS SERIES.

G.O., No. 3449

DATED 21st July

[Despatch Abstract.]

Pointing out endemic mistakes in the
India list for 1897 to the Editor.

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

D 1,000-S 4-97.

*For use in the
 collection of the
 India List of 1897*
 District Collector's Office,
 Ootacamund, July 1897
 C. Stokes,
 India List of 1897
 I find repeated a catalogue
 of our Revenue System
 that might perhaps be
 enlarged in future editions.
 P. 316. "The land revenue
 System of Bombay" differs
 from the rayatwari system
 of Madras, by not requiring

To the

14

15

16

17

18

21/7/97

57-583-161

D.O.

From Mr. Trevelyan J.C.S.

Dated 6th July

1897.

FOR ORDERS.

a modification of the
assessment every year."

Yours sincerely
H. Trevelyan.

P.S. on p. 338, the Grand
Total of Railway Lines is 4000
miles wrong for 1893. Instead
of 14,591 sh^d be read 18,459.
That for 1891 also is wrong,
16,381 being the right figure.

The Hon. Mr. G. Rose C. S. S.
H. E. the Governor.

This list of mistakes
sh^d be
sent to
Mr. G. Rose
14/7/97
J. H. 19/7/97

It is plain that the Bombay
system as described in the paper
differs in no way from the
Madras system.

The correct figures taken from the
Director General of R.R. report 1896-7
1891 — 17,284 1/4
1893 — 18,463 1/4

To the Secretary to Govt. Railways, Bombay,
for perusal of committee and to the
Director General of R.R. for perusal
The correct figures are
1891 — 17,284 1/4
1893 — 18,463 1/4

In the above D.O. Mr. Trevelyan
suggests that the following passage
which occurs at p. 316 of the India List
and the India Office List 1897 might be
expunged:—

"The land revenue system of Bombay
..... differs from the rayatwari system of
Madras, by not requiring a modification of
the assessment every year"

He also points out two mistakes in the
length of Railway lines as given in the
same list

	1893	1891
Incorrect figure as given in the list	14,591	17,283 ✓
Correct figure	18,459 ✓	16,381

For orders as to whether these errors sh^d be pointed out.

11/7/97

FOR ORDERS.

"it (the land revenue system of Bombay) differs from the rayatwari system of Madras, by not requiring a modification of the assessment every year"

To

The Editor of the India dist,

India Office, S.W.

Letter no. 3449 of 21st July 1897

I am directed to invite your attention

to the passage in the India dist for 1897 transcribed in the margin and to request that it may be deleted in future editions of that publication. The Bombay system as described in the para in which the above passage occurs differs in no way from the Madras system.

2. I am also to draw your attention to two mistakes in the length of Railway lines in India open for traffic as given at page 338 of the same list.

	1893	1891
Incorrect figure	14,591	17,283
Correct "	18,463	17,284

18/7/97

AR 27769