

Revenue (1897) Department.

MISCELLANEOUS SERIES.

G.O., No. 3534

DATED 10th July

[Despatch Abstract.]

Comm^d to the P^o of Rev^y P^{ro}ss^y Manie
kepts^y ordering that the cost of repair &
improvements to the quay at Mangarotta
be met from Post funds.

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

Government { 1897 } of Madras. MARINE.

5/17/97



Recd.

Enclosures

Regd.

1897.

Spare copies

G.O., No. 577, 25th June 1897.

JUNE.

G.O. No. 3534 of 10th July 1897

Communicated to the Bd. of

Mus

11/7/97

Quay at Hangarkotta

The cost of repair and improvements to the — ordered to be met from Port Funds, to which fund will be credited all future collections; and the correspondence on the subject communicated to the Presidency Port Officer.

List

ack.

9/17/97

To the Board of the (see Mus)

Depd. 15-7-97.

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS.

MARINE DEPARTMENT.

Read—the following papers:—

From Commander H. A. STREET, R.I.M., Presidency Port Officer, Madras, to the Secretary to Government, Marine Department, dated 22nd February 1897, No. 1222/52 G.

I have the honour to acquaint you, for the information of Government, that I have received from the Collector of South Canara an estimate amounting to Rs. 500 for widening the southern portion of the quay at the port of Hangarkotta, and another from the Port officer of Mangalore, amounting to Rs. 189-8-10, for repairing this quay wall at the expense of Port Funds.

2. Being doubtful whether the proposed expenditure would be a legitimate charge on Port Funds, I made enquiries as to the source from which the original cost of construction of the quay was met. This does not appear to have been met from Port Funds. The Collector states that it is not known whether it was met from Customs Revenues, but points out that in March 1884 the then Master Attendant of Madras sanctioned Rs. 194-5-7 for repairs to this same structure, which I find is so.

3. I find, however, that the quay wall is treated as a Customs wharf, and fees under the Customs Act charged on goods left on it for over four days and credited to Customs. It seems to me, therefore, that either the repairs and improvements necessary should be met from Customs in view of the revenue it derives, or that the revenue funds. I beg that the matter may be referred to the Revenue Department for consideration.

4. Meanwhile, as the repairs proposed by the Port officer, Mangalore, are of an urgent nature and should be carried out at once to prevent the quay wall being washed away during the ensuing monsoon, I have, at the instance of the Collector, sanctioned the estimate of Rs. 189-8-10 from Port Funds, pending the orders of Government on paragraph 3 of this letter.

Proceedings of the Board of Revenue (Separate Revenue), Mis. No. 2029, dated 15th May 1897.

Read—the following letter from the Collector of South Canara, dated 10th April 1897, Dis. No. 544 Eng.:—

In reply to Board's Proceedings, No. 1090 (S.R.), dated 19th March last, I beg to submit the following remarks.

2. With reference to the question raised by the Presidency Port Officer in paragraph 3 of his letter read in the above Proceedings, I am of opinion that the cost of extension and repair to the quay in question should properly be met from the Port Fund, as the outlay on its repair in previous years was debited to that fund, and there is now no particular reason for diverging from this precedent. It may be mentioned that similar charges in respect of the Mangalore quay also appear to have been met from the Port Fund, and the sale-proceeds of land reclaimed by the extension of the foreshore, amounting to Rs. 10,210, were under G.O., No. 198, Marine, dated 16th September 1865, credited to that fund.

3. As regards the wharfage fees referred to by the Presidency Port Officer the average annual collections of which amount to Rs. 4-7-7, there is no objection to the same being credited to the head of revenue from which the quay is maintained and improved. The Presidency Port Officer's letter is herewith returned as directed.

Resolution—Mis. No. 2029, dated 15th May 1897.

Submitted to Government with reference to its endorsement, Mis. No. 1374, Revenue, dated 11th March 1897.

2. The Board agrees with the Collector and recommends that the repair and maintenance of the quay be debited as hitherto to Port Funds. There is no objection to the wharfage fees collected being credited to the Port Fund.

(True Copy and Extract.)

(Signed) EDMUND MILLETT,
Assistant Secretary.

Mis. No. 2916, Revenue.

Transferred to the Marine Department with an expression of concurrence in the Board's remarks in paragraph 2 of its Proceedings.

OOTACAMUND,
10th June 1897.

(Signed) A. R. KNAPP,
for Ag. Secretary to Government.

ORDER—No. 577, Marine, dated 25th June 1897.

Communicated to the Presidency Port Officer.

2. The cost of repair and improvements to the quay at Hangarkotta will be met from Port Funds, to which fund will be credited all future collections.

(True Extract.)

C. R. P. S. S. S.
Under Secretary to Government.

To the Presidency Port Officer.
" Accountant-General.
" Revenue Department.

Exd. T. K. Narayana Aiyangar.

When given for copying _____
 When copied _____
 When examined _____
 When signed _____

189.

READ AGAIN G.O., No.
 189

DATED

CURRENT No.

ENCLOSURES TO C. No.

ORDER OF GOVERNMENT

A A A A A A A

LETTER OF GOVERNMENT.

STATEMENT WITH DITTO.

PAPERS NOT FILED UP, BEING MEANT FOR DESPATCH
 IN ORIGINAL.

N.B.—

- A = Copy in full.
- B = Not to be copied.
- P = Copy abstract only.
- Q = Copy heading only