Revenue (1897) Department.

MISCELLANEOUS SERIES.

G.O., No. 3456-57

DATED 8th July 1897

[Despatch Abstract.]

Stating that on a reconsideration of the 2000 5-6-97
the Govt considers it sufficient to retain Sovereign
and not proprietory rights over the channel and dam
in the Beypur reserve
which the Engineer Dunbar wants to keep in repair and requests
the Board to furnish a further report on the question of
the fishery rights.

Letter

Making an alteration in the terms on which
the Beypore Dunbar may be allowed to keep in repair a
certain channel and dam in the Malabar district

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

~~G.O.~~	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.
7917	25-7-27						
1857							

Revenue (189) Department.

CURRENT No. 132

The Hon: un frose C. I. E.

From D. Robertson Esqr: I
Resident in Mysore
Bangalore

~~Secy~~

Order

Lord us with reference to the following D.O.

No.

Dated 30th June 1927

Received

Registered

Enclosures

Spare copies

SUBJECT.

Reg. the request of the Mysore Durbar to repair a certain dam & channel

Rec'd 2/7/27 6 P.M.

my dear Robertson,

Yours of the 30th ultimo regarding the misuse of a term in my letter ~~no~~ no 2880 A dy the 9th idem dealing with the request of the Mysore Durbar to repair a dam and channel. You are right in supposing that the correct expression is "Sovereignty" and not "proprietory". The Office copied the Board's wording and I regret I overlooked the point at the

the time. Kindly have the letter amended accordingly

yours sincerely.

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

vide paras 3 of the letter flagged H

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.
flagged G			

Note

The Board made use of the expression "proprietory ~~estates~~ rights" and the Hon. Secretary the Board's proposals. The Office it is regretted did not bring the point to the ~~Secy~~ notice of the Under Secy and Secy at the time.

Dittto
6.VII.97.

THE RESIDENCY MYSORE

Bangalore -
June 30. 1897

SECRETARIAT 13.XII.97 REV. DEPT.

Imperial Statistics

Please see your letter

No. 2880 A. dt. 9. June - stating

that the request of the Inspector Mines,

to repair a dam & channel, and,

in the process, to acquire some land

9/96

belonging to the British state of the
border — In the second condition
should not Proprietary and
Sovereignty ever inherent

Duncan Robertson

To/
The Hon'ble G. Hollis C.S.
Secretary

D/4 1,000—20-2-96.

Rights to be reserved in allowing the Mysore Durbar to repair a dam & channel in British Territory
A No. 132

REVENUE DEPARTMENT.

From The Resident of Mysore

Dated 30 June 1897.

To The Secy to Govt C.I.E.

FOR ORDERS.

The Resident of Mysore writes D.O. to enquire whether the word proprietary in the Govt letter flagged C. ought not to read sovereignty.

From the B.P. flagged A it would appear that the Board certainly meant "proprietary". For the D.F.O raised the question about catching fish at the dam and the Bd said there was no need for any condition about this if the proprietary rights were reserved.

The Bds proposal was set forth de. 1 above in the note for orders (half way down that flagged B) and the approval of the Bds proposals subject to the question of disafforestation.

It is presumed therefore

c 6/7/97

that H.M. meant that proprietary rights were both reserved & that Govt & that the Mysore Durbar was to allowed to repair the Dam & channel & take water for irrigation on condition of their paying 2½ years purchase of the combined jamabundy & land assessment on the land both taken up by the channel?

If only Sovereign rights are to be reserved some condition if to be submitted should be made about the fish.

JG
6.7.97

6/7/97.

I thought Sovereign rights were to remain with the Govt and that that was what the H.M. meant: an arrangement which has been come to in other cases. I submit this matter should be

6/7/97.

FOR ORDERS.

This does not appear too high considering the inconvenience & permanently subject the reserved forest & disafforestation

JG
5.7.97

We will be entitled to reserve Sovereign rights, but under the condition that the Govt choose those rights should not be used for fresh catchment. Whether this is an important matter or not I do not know. The Collr should be asked.

JG
7/7/97

We would be absurd to reserve proprietary rights, & make the Govt owner of the dam & channel

Revenue Secretarial,
9th July 1897.

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS REVENUE DEPARTMENT

Wept 10/7/97

D.S. 9/7/97

My dear Robertson,

~~I have taken orders~~ With reference to ~~on~~ your D.O. of the 30th ultimo regarding the use of the term "proprietary" in my letter No. 2880-A. dated the 9th idem, and the Govt have decided to retain only ~~our writing to you officially al-~~ Sovereign rights and I am ~~writing it into "sovereignty"~~ as ~~to you officially to that effect~~ indicated by you.

It will however be seen that the alteration in question has ~~made~~ rendered it necessary ~~to make~~ a further

further reference to the Board on the question of fishing rights which that body was of opinion should continue to vest in this Govt in the interests of forest conservancy

Yours sincerely

Sd/-
Ille
8-7-87

G. Shakespear

To
Lieut. Col. D. Robertson
Resident in Mysore.

PROCEEDINGS OF THE MADRAS GOVERNMENT.

Read again G.O. No 2880 R. 9 June 97

G.O. Mis. no. 3456 R. 8th July 97.

On reconsideration Govt is of opinion that it will suffice to retain only sovereign rights over the land & the lakes which ask by the dam & channel in question.

2. As this decision frees the question as to whether any condition should be imposed as to the selection of both at the dam, the Collector is requested to report at an early date whether the fasher is of sufficient importance to warrant special conditions in this behalf.

3. The forty letters which is despatched to the Resident in Mysore

Mlle 8.7.97

Letter No. 345 R.

To the Resident in Mysore

To the Board of Rev: dlt. G.O.

Recd 10/7/97

Recd 10/7/97

Sir

In continuation of my letter No 2880A dd 9 June 97 I am directed to inform you that H.E. the G.G. in reconsideration is of opinion that it will be sufficient to reserve sovereign rights only over the land to be taken up for the dam & channel in question.

2. This decision however does not question as to whether any condition should be imposed as to the fishing right of fishing at the dam.

Further information on this point has been called for from the Collector of Malabar; on receipt of which, the decision of H.E. the G.G. will be duly communicated to you.

[illegible]
8.7.97

When given for copying ——
When copied ——
When examined ——
When signed ——
} 189.

READ AGAIN G.O., No 189 DATED

ENCLOSURES TO C. No.

ORDER OF GOVERNMENT	A	A	A	A	A	A	A
LETTER OF GOVERNMENT.							
STATEMENT WITH DITTO.							
PAPERS NOT FILED UP, BEING MEANT FOR DESPATCH IN ORIGINAL.							

E.P.—
A = Copy in full.
B = Not to be copied.
P = Copy abstract only.
Q = Copy heading only