Revenue (1897) Department.

MISCELLANEOUS SERIES.

G.O., No. 3421

DATED 7th July

[Despatch Abstract.]

Declining on financial grounds to accord sanction to the ~~temporary~~ entertainment of a watchman for guarding the new building of the Asst assistant Collrs' office, Ramnad, Madura Dt.

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

Revenue (1897) Department.

CURRENT No. 1+994

SECY. GOVT. OF M
15 JUN 97
REV. DEPT.

From The Board of Revenue
Land Revenue.

Order

No. 2021 Mis.

Dated 2nd June 1897.

Received 15.6.97

Registered 16.6.97

Enclosures 3

Spare copies

SUBJECT.

Submitting to Government proposition statement for the entertainment of a watchman on Rs 4 per mensem to guard the mens building of Head Assistant Collector's office, Ramnad, Madura.

pm 13/6

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

Treasury Account-DEPARTMENT.

FORT ST. GEORGE,
Accountant-General's Office,
27th May 1897.

No. 1641

From W. H. Michael Esqre,
Dy. ACCOUNTANT-GENERAL TO THE
GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS,

To
THE Collector of Madura,
Secretary to the Commissioner
through the ~~Board of Revenue~~,
of
Land Revenue.

SIR,

I have the honour to return your letter No. 517 Genl of 1897 dated the 17th May 1897 and the Proposition Statement therewith received and to request that they may be retransmitted to this office through the Board of Revenue for transmission to Government.

I have the honour to be,
Sir,
Your Most obedient Servant,

W. Michael
Dy. Accountant-General

(189) DEPARTMENT.

From ACCD'S GEN. REG. No 2[illegible] of 1897
LAND REVENUE.

THE ACCOUNTANT-GENERAL,

MADRAS,

To

THE Collector of Madura

through the Secretary to the Commissioner

BOARD OF REVENUE. MADRAS.

No.

Dated
Received } May 1897

No.

SUBJECT.

Regarding the retrenchment
the Enclosed proposition
Statement with tables
from the Collector of Madura
for transmission to Govt

Cor. 10,000—1-8-96.

2,000
28-1-97.

REVENUE BOARD OFFICE. MADRAS.

ACCOUNTANT GENERAL'S OFFICE 9 JUN 97 MADRAS

Proceedings of the Board of Revenue

(Land Revenue),

Dated 2nd June 1897.

No. 2021 Miscellaneous.

READ—Letter from W. H. Michael Esquire, Deputy Accountant General to the Government of Madras to the Collector of Madura, through the Secretary to the Commissioner of Land Revenue, No. 1841, dated 27 May 1897:-

Resolution.

The proposition statement received from the Collector of Madura and returned to him by the Accountant General through the Board of Revenue is incomplete as the numerical strength and cost of Collectors, Sub Collectors and Deputy Collectors establishments have not been included in it. A revised

Statement prepared in this office
is submitted for the sanction of Govern-
-ment with reference to G.O. Mis:-
No. 2402, dated 12 May 1897.

(True Extract)

[illegible]
Acting Secretary.

To

The Secretary to Govt, R.D.

The Accountant General with two letters to be returned and with proposition statement in duplicate.

Copy to the Collector of Madura

of

The Hon. Mr Grose C.S.I.
The Hon. Sir Henry Blake K.C.M.G.

C 30/497

Mis Go. 2402 d/ 12-5-97
and
Ctr. 4994 of 97

Note for orders.

It appears that the H.A.C's office building at Ramnad was completed by the middle of January last as per original estimate and that the Executive Engineer requested the H.A.C. to take over charge of the building. As however, the original estimate did not provide for the fixing of record racks and matting of the floor &c. a supplemental estimate to supply the omissions was recently submitted to Govt and the H.A.C. thinks that it would be highly inconvenient to remove his office into the new building

until the additional works are ~~executed~~ ~~placed~~ finished.
But the superintending Engineer having reported that the building must be taken charge of by the Revenue Dept (the extra works being considered subsequently). the H.A.C has directed one of his clerks to take over charge of the building and arranged to put in a watchman on Rs pm, as he could not spare any of his own men for the purpose. In the above circumstances, the Collr requests that the temporary employment of the watchman may be Sanctioned. The Board supports the proposal and reports that the charge can be met from general savings under 3. Land Revenue - charges of Dt administration Temporary establishment.

2. The Collr reports that the period for which the watchman will be required cannot be ascertained locally as it depends on when the new works now proposed, will be completed.

Orders are requested as to whether the employment of the watchman may be sanctioned for an indefinite period until the works are completed or whether it should be sanctioned for some definite period, say not exceeding 6 months, the P.W.D being at the same time requested to see that the works are completed before

the expiry of that period if possible.

The erection of new racks or shelving can be carried out in a very short time and while this office is under occupation. It is not easy to understand what the difficulty is or why the H.A. Asst. Collr. can not just carry his own men in charge. How is the H.A. Asst. Collr's office accommodated now.

23.6.97.

Reply
It is now accommodated in a building rented from the Rajah of Ramnad vide Head asstt. collector's letter under Cp. No. 5696 dt. 29/12/92 flagged H.

C.R.
25/6/97

The explanation of the difficulty seems to lie in the fact that this office & residence are either one & the same building or very close to one another. I submit that this watchman is not wanted and this not be sanctioned.

27/6/97.

I do not see why the H.A.Collr. cannot be managed to arrange for the care of the building which is the cost to Govt: time will be within 9 o'clock in the.

C.R.
29/6/97

29/6/97

Cir.

I agree. Our financial condition is such that no extra expenditure — which does not entail an increase of revenue, should be incurred unless it is absolutely necessary. This is not.

47/97

1/7/97

Read again G.O. No. 2402 dated 12-5-97
" also C.R. No. 994 of 97

G.O. (Mis) No. 3421 dt. 7th July 97.

In view of the present financial pressure H.E. the Governor in council is of opinion that no extra expenditure which does not lead to an increase of revenue, should be incurred, unless it is absolutely necessary. In the present case no such necessity has been proved to exist and the Govt. declines to sanction the temporary entertainment of a watchman proposed by the Collector. The Head Assistant Collector should manage to arrange for the care of the new building without any extra cost to the state till he may find it convenient to remove his office into it.

7.7.97.

To The Board of Revenue (C.R.) with Enc. Secy. 10-7-97

(From orders)

C.R.
5 July 97