Revenue (1897) Department.

MISCELLANEOUS SERIES.

G.O., No. 3380

DATED 5th July

[Despatch Abstract.]

Recording B.P. sanctioning the scale of remission proposed by the Coll.r of Madura in certain villages of the Melur saluk to which Periyar water was taken through the ryots own excertions.

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

Revenue (1897) Department.

CURRENT No.

The Honble Mr J. Grose C.I.E.

Order Misc no. 3380 d/6th July 97 5/7/97

Recorded.

2/7/97 1.7.97

From The Board of Revenue (Revenue Settlement, Land Records and Agriculture)

No. 4435 Mis.

Dated 18th June 1897

Received } 26^a

Registered }

Enclosures 2

Spare copies

Note. The Board has approved of the Scale of remission proposed by the Collector and sends a Copy of its Proceedings to Govt. ~~for~~.

Mk 30/6. The remission is of the charge for Periyar water taken by permission at the ryots own cost. The case is fully stated in the B.P. & it is submitted that the concession is just

2/7/97 1.7.97

SUBJECT.

Sanctioning the Scale of remission proposed by the Collector of Madura in certain villages of the Melur Taluk & which Periyar water was taken through the ryots own exertions.

C 2/7/97

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

No 4957 (Copy of Note P.)

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

Statement showing the extent irrigated with Periyar water in September 1896 and subsequent months through channels dug at the cost of the ryots.

Names of Villages	Months during which Periyar water was received and the extent irrigated.														Amount of expenditure
	September 1896		October 1896		November 1896		December 1896		January 1897		February 1897		Total		
	A	C	A	C	A	C	A	C	A	C	A	C	A	C	
Melavalavu	76	95	197	52	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	274	47	Sennagaram-patti and Thumbapatti spent Rs 400
Thumbapatti	77	76	"	"	417	6	"	"	"	"	"	"	494	82	
Attapatti	"	"	"	"	225	10	"	"	"	"	"	"	225	10	Melavalavu Rs 200
Malampatti	"	"	"	"	327	29	"	"	"	"	"	"	327	29	Attapatti Rs 230
Sennagaram-patti	347	51	88	23	50	40	"	"	"	"	"	"	486	14	Malampatty Rs 260
Melur	47	59	164	17	92	81	164	89	53	41	"	"	522	87	
Navinipatti	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	13	81	9	"	22	81	Ettimangalam Melur and Navinipatti Rs 1350
Ettimangalam	"	"	"	"	"	"	23	83	"	"	"	"	23	83	
Total	549	81	449	92	1112	66	188	72	67	22	9	"	2377	33	

(Signed) E. Srinivasa Chari
for Collector

(True Copy)

R. V. Srinivasan
Secretary

5307

SMSKD 24/6

Memo of Calculation.

		If the increased assessment Rs 3	Rs 2	Average	
Remission proposed for irrigation in September 1896	Rs	1	$\frac{1}{2}$	$2\frac{1}{2}$	= 30 per cent
—— do —— do — October 1896	—	$1\frac{1}{2}$	$\frac{3}{4}$	$1\frac{3}{4}$	= 45 per cent
—— do —— do — November 1896	—	2	1	$1\frac{1}{2}$	= 60 per cent

	Acres	Acres	Rs		Rs
Area irrigated in September	= 549.81	or $550 \times 2\frac{1}{2}$	= 1375;	remission at 30	= 412
— — do — in October	= 449.92	or $450 \times 2\frac{1}{2}$	= 1125;	remission at 45	= 506
— — do in November	=	$1112 \times 2\frac{1}{2}$	= 2780;	remission at 60	= 1668
— — do in December	= 188.72	or $189 \times 2\frac{1}{2}$	= 473;	remission in full	= 473
— — do in January	= 67.22	or $67 \times 2\frac{1}{2}$	= 168;	remission in full	= 168
— — do in February	=	$9 \times 2\frac{1}{2}$	= 23;	remission in full	= 23
		Total	5944	Total	3250

Total increase of assessment — Rs 5944
Total remission to be granted — Rs 3250

Balance Rs 2694 or Rs 2700 in round numbers.

(Signed) E. Srinivasachari
for Collector.

(True Copy)

R. V. Srinivasan
Secretary

(Sett. 24–2,000–29-10-96)

REVENUE BOARD OFFICE MADRAS.

Proceedings of the Board of Revenue

(Revenue Settlement, Land Records and Agriculture.)

Dated 18th June 1897.

No. 4435 Mis.

READ the following letter from the Collector of Madura dated 20th April 1897 Reference on Circular No. 761 / General of '97.

The North East Monsoon threatened to be a failure in September and October last. The ryots of Sennagaram-patty and some other villages in the Melur Taluk to which the Periyar channel had not

not been extended, applied for permission to dig channels themselves and take the Perigar water from the end of the 12th reach of the Periyar main channel at Pulipatty, five miles from Melur. The permission was accordingly granted and the fact was brought to the notice of the Board in the Special Deputy Collector's Quarterly Progress report submitted with this Office Disposal No. 511 dated 9th February 1897. As already reported, though the ryots incurred much trouble and expense in this matter, they did not derive much benefit

[illegible] BP 400
25-5-97

and were not much better off than villages not supplied with Perigar water at all. The Divisional Officer and the Special Deputy Collector are of opinion that some concession should be shown to them; as the water was taken to these villages by the ryots at their own cost and suggest that remission of the enhanced rates may be granted according to the following Scale.

under rule 7 of the rates appended to Bill flagged B the assessment on all wet land in the Madurai Malur Taluks was raised on the introduction of the Periyar water.

If the additional rate is

	Rs	Rs	Rs
Remission for irrigation Supplied in September	3	$2\frac{1}{2}$	2
	1	$\frac{3}{4}$	$\frac{1}{2}$
Do in October	$1\frac{1}{2}$	$1\frac{1}{8}$	$\frac{3}{4}$
Do in November	2	$1\frac{1}{2}$	1

2. The proposal is — reasonable and the Scale of remission, as fair a scale as can be devised - I have therefore the honor to request that the Board will be pleased to accord its sanction for granting these remissions -

3. It is proposed not to charge enhanced assessment at all for 1st crop in case of lands first supplied with Periyar Water in December or Subsequent months, as there was an ample rain supply.

4 - A Statement showing the extent irrigated with Periyar Water in September and Subsequent months by means of the private channels excavated at the ryots' cost and a rough memo of the calculation showing how the Scale of remissions now proposed to be granted was arrived at, are herein enclosed for the Board's information - The ryots Spent Rupees 1,030 - 0 - 0 on excavation and the general result of the above proposals is that Rupees 3,250 are remitted and Rupees 2400 charged -

Read also letter from the Collector of Madura - dated 5th June 1897 — Reference on Current No. 761/Guard of

of 97 requesting favour of early orders on this Office letter Reference on Current No. 761 dated 20th April 1897 — recommending the remission of portion of the enhanced Perizar assessment for certain lands in the Melur Taluk.

Resolution.

In the letter first read above, the Collector of Madura reports that — owing to the threatened failure of the North East Monsoon rains in September and October last, the ryots of certain villages in the Melur Taluk, situated near Pulipatti where the Perizar main channel ends, carried water to their lands after obtaining the necessary permission by channels dug at their own cost, but that — owing to subsequent heavy rainfall, their lands did not derive any special benefit from the irrigation and were not much better off than lands in villages not supplied with Perizar water. He therefore recommends that a portion of the increase in assessment, to which the lands are liable in consequence of the supply of Perizar

5

Rules to B.P. recorded in No. 4817 28 [illegible]

Perigar Valei may be remitted according to the following Scale:-

For irrigation
Supplied in

September	30 per cent of the increase in assessment on the average
... October	45 %
... November ...	60 %
... December and Subsequent months ...	100 %

2. The Collector has forwarded ~~the~~ two Statements, one showing the area irrigated in each month and the other the amount of remission according to his proposals. The latter Statement shows that about Rupees 3250 will have to be remitted, out of a total increase of Rupees 5944 in the assessment.

3. It is not stated whether at the time permission was granted to the ryots to dig their own channels any concession was asked for or promised regarding the payment of enhanced assessment, considering however that the channels were dug at the ryots' own expense and that Perigar Valei appears to have been taken for a short time only and not for the entire period during which the crops were on the ground, the Board concurs in the Collector's view that the full increase in assessment should not be levied and approves the

the scale of remission proposed by him ~~by him~~ 4. The expenditure incurred by the ryots in digging channels is stated in the Collector's letter to be Rupees 1030 but according to the entries in the last column of the first of the two Statements referred to in para 2 above, this amount represents the expenditure in only five out of the eight Villages. It is presumed that the total expenditure, including that incurred in the three remaining Villages was Rupees 1350 as entered at ~~the~~ bottom in

in the column referred to.

(True Copy and Extract)

R. V. Srinivasan
Secretary.

To.

The Collector of Madura

Copy to the Secretary to Government, Revenue Department.

Copy to the Secretary to the Commissioners of Land Revenue.

Sent 24/8

When given for copying ____
When copied ____ } 19
When examined ____
When signed ____

READ AGAIN G.O., No. DATED
189 .

CURRENT No.

ENCLOSURES TO C. No.

ORDER OF GOVERNMENT	A	A	A	A	A	A	A
LETTER OF GOVERNMENT.							
STATEMENT WITH DITTO.							
PAPERS NOT FILED UP, BEING MEANT FOR DESPATCH IN ORIGINAL.							

N.B.—
A = Copy in full.
B = Not to be copied.
P = Copy abstract only.
Q = Copy heading only